ANC 3/4G May 9, 2022 Public Meeting Q&A and Chat Log

Q&A LOG

L DeBord 7:07 PM

Have all of the local businesses been made aware of these small business grants and how to apply?

This question has been answered live

L DeBord 7:29 PM

So many people have sent in comments about the SAP, in person and via Zoom. It would be very useful to see a list of pros and cons sent in by the community. Would this be possible? Many area residents feel that this pandemic period of time is not the ideal to make these sorts of decisions since we still don't know what post-pandemic back to work will look like. Why can't this be put on the back burner until life gets back to some sort of normal?

Mary Rowse 8:04 PM

Are Chevy Chase ANC Commissioner afraid of asking OP for an extension? Because that's what it looks like.

This question has been answered live

lee Schoenecker 8:07 PM

Where is Chairman Speck's shorter version. The short version is probably the way to go. However their is a danger in a shorter version that will allow the OP to do anything. I very much like Peter;s version and sort of agree, if possible that both go forward with the shorter version being predominant. In any case, the ANC and the citizens of Chevy Chase must continue to be continually be evolved. The ANC was basically responsible for getting the small area plan, and it should have a very strong say in the continuing development of SAP development; such involvement, in practice going beyond " great weight." The effort the various ANC 3-4 G put into the SAP go way beyond what most ANC's do; and thus, the ANC must continue to have a very strong role. in the development of Zoning.

Connie K. N. Chang, ANC 3/4G-05 (You) 8:11 PM

Please go to anc3g.org and click on the link to this public meeting under What's New and you'll see at the bottom of that page a link to the Meeting Info page where you'll find the two draft resolutions and the video recording and chat log later.

Diane Thompson 8:08 PM

It is a stunning betrayal of the community for the ANC to even consider adopting a last minute so-called response on which no one has had the opportunity to respond. If members of the

ANC had the opportunity to consider and respond to Mr. Speck's aternative then so should the community. Everyone knew what the deadlines were...seemingly Comm. Gosselin and the colleagues he worked with were the only ones who took those deadlines and the need for community involvement seriously. It definitely feels like this processed is being hijacked and there is no reasonable explanation for why this is happeneing. The specificity and detail is the only way this community has any effective say in this process.

lee Schoenecker 8:08 PM Lee Schoenecker,

Pat Roth 8:15 PM Has the ANC asked for an extension for the comment period ?

lee Schoenecker 8:17 PM

Excuse my spelling above. Again, I very much like what Peter has done. Yet, I think we should go with the shorter version, but Peter's version should sort of be an additional set of comments to the main shorter version; and that further, Peter's substance become a very important part of the ensuing process.

lee Schoenecker 8:25 PM

OK, you have reached out, by you have done that remotely. Needed are public meetings at the Chevy Chase Center in combination with further outreach zoom meetings. The problem with remote outreach is that it is remote, and that is one of the reasons whey people are saying that what the ANC has been doing is not responsive. The "devil is the nature of remote communication" without primary, in-person involvement of the public, including those who are most directly involved. Lee Schoenecker

lee Schoenecker 9:05 PM

Chairman Speck: Could you very basically, outline the process as you have personnally outlined tome. Your outlining of the process might help people to understand that there is a lot of process ahead for substantive input. Lee Schoenecker

Thomas Orgren 9:22 PM

Has Attachement 1 been run past people familiar with the economics of constructing affordable housing? in the 4/22 ANC meeting your expert witnesses testified that 80 units was the minimum for a non-profit development. Is this size building possible at the Community Core location with the combination of massing, parking requirements, and design guidelines set out in the longer form of the resolution?

CHAT LOG

19:07:56 From Allen Seeber to Everyone:

FYI: Zoom updates interrupted connection; leave and return to resolve.

19:09:19 From jerry malitz to Everyone:

i dont see anything in the chat

19:09:48 From Randy Speck to Everyone:

The link to request an application for DSLBD''s Robust Retail Grant is https://bit.ly/3LWLHbi. The link to attend the National Park Service's May 24th meeting on a proposed Military Road Trail for pedestrians and bicyclists is https://bit.ly/3LWLHbi. The meeting begins at 6:30.

19:10:05 From Randy Speck to Everyone:

The link to the Commission's draft resolutions on the CCSAP that may be discussed at tonight's meeting is https://anc3g.org/agenda/anc-3-4g-public-meeting-on-may-9-2022-at-7-pm-via-zoom-registration-required/.

19:11:58 From Michael Zeldin to Everyone:

APPLICATIONS NOW OPEN!

The Encore Program engages and supports DC's creative community by funding creative events in all 4 quadrants of the District of Columbia. The purpose of the Encore program is to employ and invest in local talent, event producers, entrepreneurs, creatives, content makers, and District businesses that help sustain the creative economy in Washington, DC and contribute to the vibrancy of our great city.

Learn more about and apply at theencoredc.com.

REGISTER FOR AN INFORMATION SESSION Information sessions are held virtually.

Wednesday, May 11, 2022 @ 12:00 PM Wednesday, May 11, 2022 @ 5:00 PM

For other inquiries, contact encore@dc.gov and a member of our team will get back to you.

19:12:45 From Michael Zeldin to Everyone:

Encore program registration https://app.smartsheet.com/b/form/d3160e63626d4e5e9cc449fc5b5fdb40

19:14:03 From Pat Roth to Everyone:

With regard to the SAP, I am writing to object to Randy Speck putting aside the recommendations of the residents of 3G06, their Commissioner Peter Gosselin and other commissioners. Residents worked for 8 weeks with our commissioner to put our recommendations together.

We were informed by our Commissioner, Peter Gosselin, that Randy Speck would replace our recommendations with those of his own.

19:25:25 From Michele Wolin to Everyone:

Are there comments in the chat? I don't see any.

19:25:49 From Mara Verheyden-Hilliard to Everyone:

Where has that shorter draft been posted for community input prior to tonight? Who wrote it?

19:26:14 From Connie K. N. Chang, ANC 3/4G-05 to Everyone: Yes, the shorter version is posted. See link that Randy put up above

19:26:22 From Randy Speck to Everyone:

The link to the Commission's draft resolutions on the CCSAP that may be discussed at tonight's meeting is https://anc3g.org/agenda/anc-3-4g-public-meeting-on-may-9-2022-at-7-pm-via-zoom-registration-required/.

19:26:36 From Mara Verheyden-Hilliard to Everyone: How long ago was that posted?

19:27:28 From Michele Wolin to Everyone: Is it really impossible to ask OP to move its arbitrary deadline of May 13?

19:32:17 From Robert Sterne to Everyone: Specificity in the SAP is critical according to the attorney and expert I have consulted.

19:34:30 From Thomas Orgren to Everyone: Can the Commissioners point to similar levels of detail in other DC Small Area Plans?

19:35:09 From Kathleen Le Dain to Host and Panelists:

I agree that the substitute resolution does not have sufficient detail to protect and preserve our Chevy Chase neighborhood.

19:35:42 From Andrea Rosen to Host and Panelists:

I appreciate what Peter is saying, but I think the resolution he drafted over-reaches, with regard to setting rules for set-backs and the like and also affordability / density trade-offs. The Council is likely to pass Janeese's bill for a Green New Deal for Affordable Housing that our SAP should coordinate with.

19:36:57 From Mary Rowse to Everyone:

I don't believe I'm hearing that the ANC can't ask/demand an extension on SAP comments? Then REJECT the SAP tonight.

19:37:08 From Robert Sterne to Everyone:

OP has run an incompetent SAP process according to local and national standards

19:38:06 From Stuart Miles-McLean to Everyone:

Our personal experience before the BZA made it obvious that there is a problematic revolving door amongst the zoning folks, the Office of Planning, and the developers. You MUST be specific and detailed. If you give the developers and their cronies in the City Gov't an inch, they will take a mile.

19:38:17 From Mara Verheyden-Hilliard to Everyone:

This SAP is stunningly empty. It is really a brochure with rhetoric and no granular detail or binding constraints or requirements. It doesn't look like other SAPs. So what it does is open up the path to a developer's land-grab in Chevy Chase without controls and requirements for affordable housing, civic space, green space. There is no good reason for the ANC to endorse this Plan.

19:40:02 From Andrea Rosen to Everyone:

I would suggest that OP didn't run an incompetent SAP process but rather it had no interest in running a competent one. It went through the motions only.

19:40:05 From L DeBord to Host and Panelists:

So many people have sent in comments about the SAP in person and via Zoom. It would be very useful to see a list of all pros and cons sent in by the community--would this be possible? Many area residents feel that this pandemic period of time is not ideal to make these sorts of decisions since we don't know what post-pandemic back to work will look like. Why can't this SAP 'plan' be put on the back burner until life gets back to some sort of normal? What is the urgency?

19:40:33 From Brian Becker to Everyone: You are against it but can't vote NO?

19:41:19 From Andrea Rosen to Everyone:

Shouldn't the ANC take into account the RASE advice to pause the SAP, given the lipservice given by all parties to affordable housing?

19:41:58 From Mara Verheyden-Hilliard to Everyone:

The OP improperly dismissed the public comments from the community and District residents over the Design Plan that they were supposed to incorporate into this draft Plan. They willfull disregarded all 646 comments.

19:42:16 From K Simmons to Everyone:

I am close to the development and really would like to see the SAP happen.

19:42:40 From Brian Becker to Everyone:

Stop running interference for Developers!

- 19:42:43 From Andrea Rosen to Everyone: Most of the residents who live in the ANC use Connecticut Avenue.
- 19:43:01 From Mary Rowse to Everyone:

I live 1/2 block from proposed SAP area and my voice is not being represented.

19:43:28 From Mara Verheyden-Hilliard to Everyone:

What about the ANC's Racial and Social Equity Standing Committees Recommendations to the ANC on the SAP?

19:43:35 From K Simmons to Everyone: If developers don't build who will develop the area?

19:44:18 From L DeBord to Everyone:

So many people have sent in comments about the SAP in person and via Zoom. It would be very useful to see a list of all pros and cons sent in by the community--would this possible? Many area residents feel that this pandemic period of time is not ideal to make these sorts of important decisions since we don't know what post-pandemic back to work will look like. Why can't this SAP 'plan' be put on the back burner until life get back to some sort of normal? What is the urgency?

19:44:50 From Mara Verheyden-Hilliard to Everyone:

If there are no constraints on development, there will be no affordable housing. The SAP has no affordable housing requirements. Zero.

19:44:56 From Brian Becker to Everyone:

Developers care about one thing and its not affordable housing. They destroyed affordable housing in DC to make mega profits for themselves

19:45:46 From Michele Wolin to Everyone:

They also care nothing about the livability of a community.

19:47:07 From K Simmons to Everyone:

The more housing produced in the district the more affordable housing become. Supply demand model. More housing price goes down.

19:47:13 From Kathleen Le Dain to Host and Panelists:

Livability is absolutely crucial. This community will lose its soul if the SAP is enacted.

19:47:37 From Mary Rowse to Everyone:

Sorry, density doesn't equal affordability. Point to one example here in DC.

ANC 3/4G May 9, 2022 Public Meeting_Q&A and Chat Log

19:47:39 From K Simmons to Everyone:

Why can DC develop everywhere else but Chevy Chase??

19:47:52 From Andrea Rosen to Everyone:

The devil is in the details. How will the people who determine the special zone characteristics be chosen? The Community Advisory Committee was not representative of residents—not that the CAC actually played a meaningful role (thank goodness).

19:48:58 From Mary Rowse to Everyone:

None of the seven ANC Commissioners live within a half block of the 8 block commercial district.

19:49:33 From Mara Verheyden-Hilliard to Everyone:

The fight over developers' devouring of communities and the absence of affordable housing mandates is occurring throughout DC led by affordable housing and racial justice advocates - not just here. And Chevy Chase needs to stand up for affordable housing and not allow what will be massive unaffordable luxury housing.

19:50:41 From Andrea Rosen to Everyone:

What happens if OP declines to accept the recommendations for changes to the Draft SAP?

19:50:56 From Stuart Miles-McLean to Everyone:

With all due respect, Mr. Higgins, the listening sessions hosted by the ANC made it clear that other neighborhoods ABSOLUTELY lost out when the City failed to keep its promises. Much less have a meaningful opportunity to express their opinions after the green light was given. This is NOT an ongoing conversation with OP

19:52:04 From Brian Becker to Everyone:

So-called "development" in DC was actually a euphemism for the destruction of affordable housing and the eviction of tens of thousands of families who were the historic residents of the District

19:52:24 From Mary Rowse to Everyone:

10 days of private communications among the ANC Commissioners. Nothing to be proud of. The community is getting cut out.

19:52:35 From jerry malitz to Everyone:

talk about the merits or problems with the resolution dont talk about that it is long and that something needs to be simplified. That's just not fair. The ANC ressolution on Maret's development of ECC wass 37 pages long and had lots of specificty did that need to be simplified?

19:52:51 From Mara Verheyden-Hilliard to Everyone:

ANC 3/4G May 9, 2022 Public Meeting_Q&A and Chat Log

Why can't the ANC put its "great weight" behind a statement that it finds the process infirm and the SAP lacking?

19:53:02 From Andrea Rosen to Everyone:

OP has not behaved in good faith thus far. I repeat, what happens if the ANC's demands are declined?

19:53:08 From Thomas Orgren to Everyone:

My understanding of the small area planning process and the zoning process from having produced plans similar to these and many other plans as an urban planner/economist is similar to Commissioner Higgins - the plan is a grand visioning document and the details are hashed out by lawyers in collaboration with community and City when the zoning code is written. The zoning code typically holds the level of specificity of Commissioner Gosselin's draft.

19:54:18 From RONALD EICHNER to Host and Panelists:

Peter's resolution jumps the gun by being too specific. The simplified alternative gives the drafters performance criteria and allows the ANC/OP team to get to the specific guidelines which are often quite technical.

19:54:20 From Jeffrey Gay to Host and Panelists:

Developers are in it to make the most money for themselves. The community MUST ensure that actual affordable or social housing is mandated for the specific site being developed. More 1 bedroom "luxury" apartments will not serve this purpose nor will a few studio apartments in the back of a building. WE must take the time to review ANY documents and plans that will change the character of our neighborhoods.

19:54:22 From Mara Verheyden-Hilliard to Everyone:

The SAP has nothing in it that provides affordable housing or racial equity. How can this ANC support it?

19:55:13 From Mara Verheyden-Hilliard to Everyone:

Who drafted this short resolution that has suddenly been offered without opportunity for community input and comment?

19:55:38 From Robert Sterne to Everyone:

OP is either incompetent or has a hidden agenda. They will not allow us to have real input and control unless we insist on specificity and control of the process. I have had many conversations with them and have little confidence they will act in the best interest of the community.

19:55:38 From Lee Foster to Host and Panelists:

Why would we assume that OP would listen to us later, since they have not listened at all in this process. What will be different?

19:55:39 From Andrea Rosen to Everyone: Chair Speck drafted it this morning.

19:55:58 From Mary Rowse to Everyone: Supposedly Randy Speck drafted it without sharing it with the public beforehand.

- 19:56:00 From Michele Wolin to Everyone: The community hasn't even seen Randy's resolution.
- 19:56:23 From Andrea Rosen to Everyone: Yes, because "the community" is just a phrase.
- 19:56:43 From Mary Rowse to Everyone: This ANC is totally ignoring the public.

19:57:27 From K Simmons to Everyone: The ANC is not IGNORING the public. Not everyone in CCDC is 100% against this

19:57:43 From Stuart Miles-McLean to Everyone:

Tell the City the SAP isn't ripe and the community needs more time. Days of behind closed door communications will lead to additional division amongst community members. My household is IN the SAP and what we've seen and heard so far is terrible. We are just learning of so much during this meeting! Unacceptable. Why is our SAP process different than other neighborhoods? Why hasn't the Speck alternative been shared with time to review and comment?

19:57:57 From Ryan Keefe to Host and Panelists: Hell yes Commissioner Chang!

19:58:18 From Mara Verheyden-Hilliard to Everyone:

Commissioner Chang - when the ANC pushed through the Comp Plan against community and District resident views, they claimed that the SAP would take care of the problems with specific granular constraints.

19:58:20 From Mary Rowse to Everyone:

Too many private discussions among commissioners. No sunshine law here.

19:59:48 From Kevin Bromberg to Everyone:

If you rely on the second draft and OP rejects use of the suggested form-based zoning, are you still ahead?- will the community lose its leverage with OP and zoning authorities?

20:00:29 From Ellen McCarthy to Everyone:

Comment on Ms. Verheyden-Hilliard's comment. It is simply NOT true the SAP has "nothing about affordable housing". Did you read pages 30-33?

20:01:26 From Michele Wolin to Everyone:

This is shameful. Certain ANC Commissioners act more like an advocacy group rather than trying to represent the community. The ANC had a responsibility to seek more public opinion on this -- not rely on OP to do that.

20:01:28 From Mara Verheyden-Hilliard to Everyone:

Yes - it all says "encourage" and opportunity. No binding requirements.

20:01:47 From Stuart Miles-McLean to Everyone:

OP does not care about our concerns. That has become clear over time.

20:01:49 From Brian Becker to Everyone:

When "vision" and "visionary" becomes a stand-in word for a "blank check" for Developers

20:02:58 From Mara Verheyden-Hilliard to Everyone:

It should be a dealbreaker to have a development without binding requirements as to affordable housing.

20:03:02 From Jerry Levine to Everyone:

I heartily agree with Mr. Cadwell's recommendation to submit both the detailed and short versions. OP should have the benefit of both as expressions of the ANC views based on all its substantial and significant hard work.

20:03:34 From Stuart Miles-McLean to Everyone:

Federal comment periods are often extended beyond 60 days when requested by potential commenters and affected entities.

20:03:35 From RONALD EICHNER to Everyone:

This talk about lack of affordable housing are very odd - the co-development of the Community Center and Library with affordable housing is an undertaking unlike anything else being done in the District. That is what the SAP is calling for.

20:04:42 From Mara Verheyden-Hilliard to Everyone:

There is about to be new legislation in the District that will create a body to support and facilitate affordable housing and social housing development "the green new deal" legislation. The Chevy Chase development should involve this new initiative. Instead you are about to endorse what is a regressive developer give-away.

20:04:42 From Andrea Rosen to Everyone:

Ron Eichner, you go back a long way with this process, back to the 2019 Comp Plan Task Force when we were assured by you and Chair Speck that alternative financing structures would be actively sought to provide affordable housing not just in the "civic core," but in other redevelopment. 20:06:13 From Mara Verheyden-Hilliard to Everyone:

Neither resolution has woven in the recommendations from the ANC's Racial and Social Equity Standing Committee.

20:06:19 From Ronald Kahn to Everyone:

Do not assume anything about OP moving ahead or not. They do what you tell then to do or not to do. Period. They do not own the plan. Please put up for residents to see Commissioner Specks simple plan. This needs to see the light of day. We, the residents of ANC 3/4G need to see and understand this and be able to ask questions before any ANC action is taken. Do not do this until we fully understand this 'simple plan'. This is far too important.

20:07:04 From RONALD EICHNER to Everyone:

Hi Andrea, I agree, there should be alternate financing structures if possible, but you cant mandate them on private property. Lets get building envelopes appropriate to multi family and find the right developers.

20:07:19 From Andrea Rosen to Everyone:

ANC: Why create the RASE if you don't incorporate its recommendations?

20:07:31 From Thomas Orgren to Everyone:

In the 4/22 ANC meeting, folks familiar with the economics of non-profit housing development suggested 80 units is the minimum size to make an affordable housing development work. Does the proposed language truly permit development of that size necessary to build affordable housing given the combination of height, massing, and parking requirements?

20:07:38 From Mary Rowse to Everyone:

No, Connie, there are no buildings up to 40 feet now in the commercial district.

20:07:41 From Ellen McCarthy to Everyone:

Ms. Rosen, did you miss the part of the SAP that encourages innovative partnerships with affordable and market rate developers to provide for affordable development.

20:08:16 From jerry malitz to Everyone:

can we see the other resolution before you vote on it?

20:08:34 From Andrea Rosen to Everyone:

Ms. McCarthy, I only missed what was missing in the SAP. "Encourage" is not binding. The ZC will roll over such language, as you know.

20:08:40 From Thomas Orgren to Everyone:

https://anc3g.org/wp-content/uploads/2022/05/ANC-34G-Resolution-on-SAP-5-9-22-RS-clean.pdf

20:08:42 From Ryan Keefe to Host and Panelists:

The 45 ft is actually a down zoning because it includes penthouse height in Gosselin's draft vs 40ft + penthouse as currently done in MU-3A

20:08:42 From Randy Speck to Everyone:

The link to the Commission's draft resolutions on the CCSAP that may be discussed at tonight's meeting is https://anc3g.org/agenda/anc-3-4g-public-meeting-on-may-9-2022-at-7-pm-via-zoom-registration-required/.

20:08:46 From Lisa Gore ANC 3/4G-01 to Everyone:

The second draft resolution has been posted by Randy twice.

20:08:52 From Robert Sterne to Everyone:

Connie -- you want 65 feet from Livingston to the Circle?

20:08:55 From Stuart Miles-McLean to Everyone:

There is no magic to the 60 day comment period. Listen to your residents. this is not ready! ask for more time. I've worked in a federal regulatory shop for over 30 years and impacted entities regularly ask for more time and federal agencies regularly provide it.

20:08:58 From K Simmons to Everyone:

Thank you Connie!!

20:09:18 From Pat Roth to Everyone:

Here is a link to the simplified plan. https://anc3g.org/wpcontent/uploads/2022/05/ANC-34G-Resolution-on-SAP-5-9-22-RS-clean.pdf

20:10:29 From Mara Verheyden-Hilliard to Everyone:

It is highly undemocratic to have a resolution drafted by one member of the body at the last minute and offered to the community in a chat at the moment you are voting.

20:10:33 From Ryan Keefe to Host and Panelists:

The other thing is that the Gosselin draft wildly oversteps the power of the ANC, especially creating a new zone and new affordable housing requirements that would not be viable or legal

20:11:04 From RONALD EICHNER to Host and Panelists:

Projects at those sizes are not viable and the result will be less building, and much less affordable housing. It is magical thinking to think otherwise

20:12:01 From Ryan Keefe to Host and Panelists:

How do you make it jibe with the 110 ft allowed by right south of Livingston st?

20:12:20 From Pat Roth to Everyone:

Has the ANC asked for an extension for the comment period?

20:12:42 From Brian Becker to Everyone:

Affordable housing was destroyed throughout the city! Why would that be the measure?

20:13:20 From Ellen McCarthy to Everyone: Peter --

20:13:22 From Stuart Miles-McLean to Everyone:

Where is the "equity" in \$6K/month 2 bdrm apts across from Eastern Market on City property? That was a public school site handed over to developers.

20:13:35 From Brian Becker to Everyone: The rest of the city destroyed affordable housing

20:13:41 From Andrea Rosen to Everyone: The appearance is not what's important.

20:13:45 From Ryan Keefe to Host and Panelists: There have been 2, count it, 2 new homes built in the SAP area in the last decade

20:13:47 From Ellen McCarthy to Everyone: Peter,

- 20:13:47 From Ryan Keefe to Host and Panelists: That is a lie
- 20:14:07 From Ryan Keefe to Host and Panelists: Gosselin is talking about metro area statistics, not DC specific ones
- 20:14:26 From Stuart Miles-McLean to Everyone: This should NOT be put to a vote tonight.
- 20:14:40 From Ryan Keefe to Host and Panelists: HIs citation in the draft is extremely sloppy

20:14:41 From RONALD EICHNER to Host and Panelists:

It is not in the community's interest to stop development. It will continue the situation where 0 affordable units are built in Rock Creek West. The form based codes will streamline the process.

20:14:43 From Mary Rowse to Everyone:

What are attachments 1 and 2? Does the public get to see them?

20:14:44 From Mara Verheyden-Hilliard to Everyone:

Why would we emulate the processes that destroyed affordable housing elsewhere, when we have a rare moment to do something meaningful here.

20:14:47 From Stuart Miles-McLean to Everyone:

And BTW, we all are not familiar with the numbers Peter was referencing.

20:15:29 From Diane Thompson to Everyone:

It is a stunning betrayal of the community for the ANC to even consider adopting a last minute so-called response on which no one has had the opportunity to respond. If members of the ANC had the opportunity to consider and respond to Mr. Speck's aternative then so should the community. Everyone knew what the deadlines were...seemingly Comm. Gosselin and the colleagues he worked with were the only ones who took those deadlines and the need for community involvement seriously. It definitely feels like this processed is being hijacked and there is no reasonable explanation for why this is happeneing. The specificity and detail is the only way this community has any effective say in this process.

20:15:47 From Michele Wolin to Everyone:

Right, like the public input in the SAP. What a joke.

20:15:49 From Mary Rowse to Everyone:

Please Randy. Don't insult this community by saying the Zoning Commission cares about what anyone in this community thinks. Either you're naïve or you're trying to deceive the public.

20:16:06 From Stuart Miles-McLean to Everyone:

"they've assured us" ?!? how long havve you lived here and dealt with the City bureaucracies?

20:16:10 From Michele Wolin to Everyone: Residents will chime in and be ignored.

20:16:29 From Mara Verheyden-Hilliard to Everyone:

"A public process" like the OP's completely and intentional dismissal of public comments?

20:16:38 From Lee Foster to Everyone:

The city has done it poorly both in quantity of affordable housing and quality of design projects. We should certainly not just follow their lead. We don't want their one design solution fits all in our unique neighborhood. We are right to want more control and creativity.

20:17:23 From RONALD EICHNER to Everyone:

Just to clarify, between 2014 - 2020, DC built 20,330 total units. Including 0 affordable units in Rock Creek West.

20:17:23 From K Simmons to Everyone:

If the SAP is passed residents can begin the creativity process

20:17:30 From Mara Verheyden-Hilliard to Everyone:

Randy saying "we want to have" affordable housing does nothing - "reiterating concerns" does nothing. Without specificity it means nothing.

20:17:34 From Ellen McCarthy to Everyone:

Peter -- do you have any economic analysis that would support that two additional floors of development (the difference between the current limit of 40 feet and your proposed 60 feet) could make it feasible to make 30% of the units affordable?

20:18:08 From Michele Wolin to Everyone:

We also don't want massive development here. I fear some of you will allow that for a few more affordable units.

20:18:09 From Libby Martin to Everyone:

I am part of RASE and continue to learn about the various DC bodies involved in SAPs and their purview. I now feel we need to approve the simplified plan. It is important and pragmatic for the development of affordable housing, and diversity and inclusion. We can never have full control and 100% certainty. But this community is wonderful and will benefit from greater acceptance, inclusion and justice - in our neighborhood! If not, do we want Chevy Chase to remain the place known for white privilege? We need to trust and do the right thing.

20:18:12 From Mara Verheyden-Hilliard to Everyone:

"Kind of direction" needs to be specific requirements. Everything else means nothing.

20:18:39 From Mary Rowse to Everyone:

Randy, your "contingent" documents have failed us in the past. What makes you think they will be successful in the future?

20:18:43 From Ryan Keefe to Host and Panelists:

Peter saying DC produced nearly 10x the amount of housing that we actually did does not lend his argument any credibility especially since it was from a tweet than actually looking at the ACS data

20:19:16 From Stuart Miles-McLean to Everyone:

Simply "reiterating concerns" will not move OP, nor the Bowser administration. I am stunned by the language you are using. Reminds me of Senator Collins being disappointed in Kavanaugh and Coney Barrett. Wow.

20:19:59 From Mara Verheyden-Hilliard to Everyone:

We need Chevy Chase to be known for requiring affordable housing with specificity in an SAP. The endorsement of this SAP is regressive and will make Chevy Chase only known for massive redevelopment that is more luxury housing.

20:21:01 From Mary Rowse to Everyone:

But Michael, the ANC is working in a non-transparent way. You're taking a vote on a document no one in the public has read.

20:21:01 From Stuart Miles-McLean to Everyone:

As we have said in many other ANC forums, we have had multiple personal interactions with OP, BZA, and DCRA and have NO reason to think they will keep listen to us nor keep their promises.

20:22:02 From Mary Rowse to Everyone:

The ANC is coming to the public in the 11th hour and saying we're producing a new document and voting on it.

20:22:15 From jerry malitz to Everyone:

the ANC has done an excellent job by providing 10 or so information sessions on this topic. They did this on top of everything else and many people participated. they should be applauded for that effort.

20:22:17 From Andrea Rosen to Everyone:

I think the ANC should be ANGRY about such treatment by the government, of both the ANC and the community. One of the educational sessions featured a planning official from Alexandria, who described going DOOR TO DOOR to make sure residents' input was collected in a methodical way. Here in DC, we are treated to Orwellian mistreatment.

20:22:43 From Michele Wolin to Everyone:

Some of those listening sessions could have been meetings with your constituents in your SMDs to hear residents' concerns. I have walked your SMDs handing out petitions; you could have dropped flyers or postcards in people's mailboxes to announce meetings. It isn't hard. People are extremely busy and do not pay attention to these meetings.

20:23:08 From Mara Verheyden-Hilliard to Everyone:

This is not you being Solomon. The "everyone will be unhappy" line is a dodge ignoring community comment and the ANC's failure to be transparent and provide its resolution to the community in advance.

20:24:08 From Kevin Bromberg to Everyone:

Why not a compromise - adopt short version, with addition - strongly consider the points raised in the long version

20:24:18 From Mary Rowse to Everyone:

This ANC is not representing the community.

20:24:31 From Jamie Butler to Host and Panelists:

It is disappointing to see these disparaging and mistrustful remarks made against commissioners as well as against those of us supporting the SAP. Also, we should speak for ourselves and not use "we" when voicing our personal opinions. I support the small area plan and this tailored resolution. There have been plenty of opportunities for people to voice their opinions. It is impossible to reach a full community consensus.

20:25:03 From Mary Rowse to Everyone:

REJECT the SAP. That's the only way to get OP's attention.

20:25:16 From Pat Roth to Everyone:

The issue is polarized, but I think the folks who live closest to Connecticut Ave, within the first blockEast and West, see this process very differently from other residents in the ANC

20:25:19 From K Simmons to Everyone:

@Mara not everyone agreed with the RASE document but it was sent out to the ANC so the Solomon comment is unfair

20:25:22 From Mara Verheyden-Hilliard to Everyone:

The SAP should have granular specific condition IN IT.

20:25:27 From Lee Foster to Everyone:

The only experience we have is that OP has not acted on what community input and offered a poorly executed plan. Why do we expect improvement in the next round without conditions placed on the SAP and OP.

20:25:27 From K Simmons to Everyone: Accept the SAP!

20:25:37 From Mary Rowse to Everyone: WHAT IS THE MOTION?

20:25:46 From Stuart Miles-McLean to Everyone:

Peter and Connie have done a GREAT service and we participated in many of the listening sessions and the comments we are making tonight were informed, in part, by those sessions and also by our personal experiences with five major redeveloping projects on our block. We were engaged and spent untold hours trying to get the gov't to do its job.

20:26:14 From Mara Verheyden-Hilliard to Everyone:

The SAP is a fraud. It doesn't require any affordable housing.

20:26:40 From Ronald Kahn to Everyone:

Worry about the zoning commission - not OP as your genius. IF Special Zones are an overall overlay solution - This solution is doomed if you do not first eliminate all MU/IZ/PUD references first and replace with special zone detail - assuming this is an alternative. If you leave this in your Plan you can be sure that the Zoning Commission will say you you already solved the problem with MU4 and IZ and PUD. Please discuss multiple / overlapping / confusing zoning. then you will in fact be making the plan simple.

- 20:26:51 From Andrea Rosen to Everyone: Did anyone catch the motion that was defeated?
- 20:27:25 From Lisa Gore ANC 3/4G-01 to Everyone: The motion was on the long form resolution's acceptance.
- 20:27:37 From Andrea Rosen to Everyone: Thank you.
- 20:27:47 From Lisa Gore ANC 3/4G-01 to Everyone: We are now discussing the short form resolution
- 20:28:21 From Andrea Rosen to Everyone: It was circulating under the table.
- 20:28:30 From Mara Verheyden-Hilliard to Everyone: Democratic processes can't just be "wished."
- 20:28:33 From Mary Rowse to Everyone: NO ONE HAS READ THE MOTION. YOU CAN'T EXCUSE THIS.
- 20:28:37 From Stuart Miles-McLean to Everyone: Wishing doesn't fix the problem. Ask OP for an extension
- 20:28:39 From Mara Verheyden-Hilliard to Everyone: They are a requirement.

20:29:15 From Mary Rowse to Everyone:

I don't care how many times you've provided the link. The public wasn't given this in time to read before this meeting.

20:29:21 From L DeBord to Everyone:

Why would anyone have faith in the OP--they have 'lost' \$82 K in affordable housing funds...This SAP was not community driven and has been disingenuous in its presentation--these deadlines are completely arbitrary.

20:29:44 From Andrea Rosen to Everyone:

Mary's correct. Even the long version was only publicized once on the Chevy Chase listserv by Barbara Robinson. So subtle.

20:29:58 From Stuart Miles-McLean to Everyone:

I know you have all worked hard and that work is appreciated, but that doesn't mean you have to move forward on a resolution drafted today.

20:30:06 From Elizabeth Athey to Everyone:

Why is there a rush when it hasn't been discussed or rby so many!

20:30:07 From Mara Verheyden-Hilliard to Everyone:

Randy - you can't just vote on something that has not seen the light of day and say that you "wished" you could have followed sunshine and open government obligations. This is an invalid process and any vote on this is invalid.

20:30:45 From Kevin Mulshine to Host and Panelists:

The SAP of course can only guide the Zoning Commission in the pursuit of community goals. It cannot require affordable housing.

20:30:54 From Michael Zeldin to Stuart Miles-McLean, Host and Panelists: It does if we want our voice to be given great weight

20:30:58 From Mary Rowse to Everyone: Absolutely invalid. Disgraceful ANC behavior.

20:31:14 From Ellen McCarthy to Everyone:

Those of you who are saying that OP ignores the community don't reflect my experience with OP. I know when I was the Director and the Deputy Director that we always took public comments very seriiously, and Anthony Hood, the Chair of the Zoning Commission is wellk

20:31:36 From Michele Wolin to Everyone:

I can't believe you won't even agree to submit both resolutions.

20:31:45 From Kathleen Le Dain to Host and Panelists:

There are still four days before OP's deadline. Please do not vote tonight on a resolution that nobody in the neighborhood has seen.

20:32:05 From Ryan Keefe to Everyone:

The idea that after 60 meetings that the community was ignored, rather than you disagree with the policies proposed, is pretty unbelievable.

20:32:22 From Mary Rowse to Everyone:

Without a historic district, you will have very limited access to the table Randy.

ANC 3/4G May 9, 2022 Public Meeting_Q&A and Chat Log

20:32:52 From Mara Verheyden-Hilliard to Everyone:

The OP openly and demonstrably disregarded the 646 comments received on the Design Plan when it moved to its draft SAP. People were told to participate there, and then it was completely dismissed.

20:33:04 From Diane Thompson to Everyone:

The draft the the ANC just voted down was published last week. Many residents were able to read and respond to the draft. It is completely disingenuous for the Chair to claim there was no time to publish a response that is only today being offered as the alternative today.

20:33:15 From Libby Martin to Everyone:

I am surprised by the incendiary language in some of these repetitive chats. Can we tone it down?

20:33:38 From Lee Foster to Everyone:

What is the percentage of affordable housing in the other housing built by the city. Is it close to 25-50%?

20:34:37 From Stuart Miles-McLean to Everyone:

With all due respect, Ellen, our experience with the next door neighbor's project and OP's ultimate support before the BZA of an illegal structure was a deal breaker. That was only one example of many on our tiny little block where the City failed to do its job and listen to concerns of the neighbors.

20:34:48 From Mary Rowse to Everyone: Libby, you don't live within a block of the SAP area do you?

20:35:13 From Ryan Keefe to Everyone:

Development from DC government owned land must have at least 30% of units affordable by District law

20:35:18 From Kevin Mulshine to Host and Panelists: I don't think any of us want housing built by the city.

20:35:23 From Michele Wolin to Everyone:

how about taking out the language that 4.7 and 4.4 can be discussed elsewhere/in another forum (or whatever it says). There's no reason to include that.

20:35:52 From jerry malitz to Everyone: ryan makes an excellent point

20:35:52 From Libby Martin to Everyone: I support it. 20:38:08 From Mara Verheyden-Hilliard to Everyone:

Telling people who are outraged at outrageous actions that they should be more genteel is an old tactic to silence those who are standing up.

20:38:34 From Ellen McCarthy to Everyone:

(continued) well known for leaning over backwards to make sure that community groups are empowered to participate. If you are going to insult the integrity of the public servants at OP, you should provide concrete examples of being ignored, not just that OP, being charged with representing citywide concerns like affordable housing, or needing to base its BZA recommendations on the zoning code, not totally agreeing with everything that is said in a public hearing.

20:38:51 From Mara Verheyden-Hilliard to Everyone:

You are voting on a resolution that has had no community comment or input.

20:39:03 From Stuart Miles-McLean to Everyone:

We live in the narrow SAP area with two disabled family members and we feel like we are being told we should sell and move -- especially after we followed ALL the City's rules when we remodeled our home for our disabled son, but the City can't be bothered to require developers to follow the same rules.

20:39:57 From K Simmons to Everyone:

Why would you need to sell and move?? The developers have even started but saying they are not following the rules?

20:40:35 From Ellen McCarthy to Everyone:

Stuart, with all due respect, I am sympathetic with your BZA case, but I am not familiar with the details or the OP report. However, it seems pretty draconian to go from a disagreement on one BZA case to charge OP with ignoring the community in general.

20:40:52 From Stuart Miles-McLean to Everyone:

[This comment was removed b/c it contained a home address of an attendee. Doxxing, revealing personal information of an individual online, will not be tolerated.]

20:41:08 From Mary Rowse to Everyone:

The language in the resolution is not accurate and we will have to make sure the city knows this: "This resolution reflects the extended and in-depth engagement of all the members

of the ANC, the wide and detailed input from residents of Chevy Chase..." This is not true.

20:41:35 From Kathleen Le Dain to Host and Panelists:

Those who live closest to the Connecticut Avenue corridor will be greatly affected by development, and livability issues such as traffic, noise, building height, trash pick-up, traffic

flow, deliveries, parking, etc. Our viewpoints should be given greater weight than those far from development.

20:41:48 From Mary Rowse to Everyone: Why bother asking what the community thinks.

20:42:33 From Brian Becker to Everyone: Please!!

20:42:38 From K Simmons to Everyone:

Mary- Now you complain the community isn't heard but saying why bother with community comments $\overline{\mathbf{o}}$

20:42:39 From Mary Rowse to Everyone:

Stop with the 11 sessions, the farmer's markets, the late nights early mornings. We're here now and you are ignoring us.

20:42:50 From Michele Wolin to Everyone:

The developers broke countless rules at 5401 Connecticut; forging signatures of the neighbor, razing the property without a raze permit, damaging the neighbor's house so she eventually had to sell to them rather than continue lititgation, not properly removing hazardous materials, etc. Got away with it all.

20:43:25 From Mara Verheyden-Hilliard to Everyone:

"Hearing from the community" on a resolution that was not published to the community at large and circulated to the community at large, such that they could provide meaningful comment does not address open government needs.

20:43:52 From K Simmons to Everyone:

Thank you for the 11 sessions. I attended one and gave my input of supporting the SAP.

20:44:46 From Andrea Rosen to Everyone:

I confess I am also confused.

Ryan O'Keefe, the law about 30% affordability applies I believe to property that has been disposed of by the city. Also, exceptions can be made.

20:44:59 From Michael Zeldin to Mara Verheyden-Hilliard, Host and Panelists: We published it as soon as it was written

20:45:55 From Brian Becker to Everyone:

The appearance of "listening" is not the same as allowing the community input to be a factor. Community comments were completely disregarded. They were considered to be obstacles to be overcome

20:46:34 From Ryan Keefe to Everyone:

Thanks for getting my name wrong. They could technically set aside less but that has 1) never been done and b) would absolutely not fly at the Council in the wealthiest part of the city. Lets be logical here

20:47:39 From Mara Verheyden-Hilliard to Everyone:

You could have meaningful contributions now in the SAP, but you are disregarding that responsibility for language about a "seat at the table"... to do what? You said this before when you abrogated responsibilities as to the Comp Plan and assured the community that the SAP would have specificity to address the core issues.

20:47:45 From Stuart Miles-McLean to Everyone:

Despite our strong recommendations in earlier sessions, we have never seen an effort by the ANC to try to understand the concerns of residents who live IN the narrow SAP area, vs the rest of the neighborhood. I'm reminded of MANY experiences with the City and the ANC when the concerns of directly impacted residents of a project are shunted aside in preference to the views of others.

20:49:16 From Andrea Rosen to Everyone:

The Council is not involved in redevelopment projects. Presumably the city is not selling the property currently occupied by the library and community center, so the law doesn't come into play. Sorry about mistyping your name.

20:50:26 From RONALD EICHNER to Everyone:

People might want to note that the requirement of 25% affordable housing on private development sites is a significant increase from the 20% currently in the zoning. I think thats a big imporvement and t is consistent with work the various ANC task forces have done in the past

20:51:46 From Stuart Miles-McLean to Everyone:

The value of our property is of paramount importance and if our home ends up being separated by the width of a narrow alley from the likes of a 5333 Conn Ave "luxury DC living" building, we are sure our property value will suffer.

20:52:04 From jerry malitz to Everyone:

please remind people how to raise their hand. some might be new to the meetings

20:53:25 From Andrea Rosen to Everyone: Agree with Lee on both points.

20:56:45 From Stuart Miles-McLean to Everyone: I don't know you, but thank you, Mara. 20:57:20 From Andrea Rosen to Everyone: Mara is exactly correct.

20:57:35 From K Simmons to Everyone: Stopping the SAP will help continue the racist legacy

- 20:57:44 From Mary Rowse to Everyone: Wow Randy. Unbelievable.
- 20:57:53 From Mary Rowse to Everyone: For Shame Randy.
- 20:58:40 From Mary Rowse to Everyone: Thank you Pat.

20:58:47 From Ryan Keefe to Everyone:

Stuart, your house will be at least 4 houses away from larger multifam development. Also, uh, given the value of your home I'm not sure why you are worrying about its value

20:58:49 From Elizabeth Athey to Everyone: Thank you Pat

20:58:51 From Stuart Miles-McLean to Everyone: We yield our time to Mara, too!

20:59:10 From Mary Rowse to Everyone: Wow, this ANC is truly shameful.

20:59:43 From Mary Rowse to Everyone: We've listened to you and your colleagures Ad Nauseum Randy.

21:02:02 From Mary Rowse to Everyone:

It's outrageous to cut people off after 1 minute. Even the DC Council gives people 3 minutes.

21:02:30 From Stuart Miles-McLean to Everyone:

The point is that you shouldn't be voting on this particular resolution tonight. You should go back to OP and say CCDC needs more time and not pretend that is not possible.

21:03:31 From RONALD EICHNER to Host and Panelists:

Please note that Mara is incorrect - the SAP calls for significant affordable housing at the Civic Core, and 25% affordable on private property. Why is that a problem?

21:03:59 From Mary Rowse to Everyone:

Yes, why is the ANC ramming this through? Who is the ANC responsive to?

21:04:06 From RONALD EICHNER to Host and Panelists:

Also, there is absolutely nothing in the SAP that would preclude the social housing proposed int eh Green Housing Deal.

- 21:05:41 From Stuart Miles-McLean to Everyone: Thank you, again, Mara!
- 21:05:56 From Jeffrey Gay to Host and Panelists: Thank you Mara.
- 21:06:18 From Mary Rowse to Everyone: Tell OP you're rejecting the plan.
- 21:06:44 From K Simmons to Everyone: We should accept the plan
- 21:08:25 From Pat Roth to Everyone: Mara, Exactly !

21:08:46 From K Simmons to Everyone: Not all of RASE agreed to the recommendations. The RASE recommendations are Mara's recommendations.

- 21:09:09 From Andrea Rosen to Everyone: Did a majority vote for the statement issued by the RASE?
- 21:10:20 From K Simmons to Host and Panelists: Thank you Connie!!
- 21:10:51 From K Simmons to Everyone: Thank you Connie!!

21:10:55 From Thomas Orgren to Everyone:

Thank you Commissioner Chang. I live within a block of the small area plan zone as well and support the SAP as written.

21:11:01 From RONALD EICHNER to Everyone:

If you rad the proposed Green New Deal for Housing, it is very exciting, but there is nothing in the SAP that would preclude social housing.

21:11:04 From Andrea Rosen to Everyone:

There are many suggestions in the document, but they are just that: suggestions.

21:11:15 From Mara Verheyden-Hilliard to Everyone:

We want actual change - the SAP does not create meaningful change. It is more of the same unaffordable housing developer giveaways.

21:11:18 From Jamie Butler to Host and Panelists:

There's nothing in this plan that will get in the way of Lewis-George's Green New Deal Act. It will support green housing and social housing. We need to move ahead with this plan and stop making up reasons to stop it.

21:11:29 From K Simmons to Everyone: We can't have change happen until the SAP moves forward

- 21:11:34 From Mara Verheyden-Hilliard to Everyone: Why is the ANC not pushing for actual change?
- 21:11:44 From K Simmons to Everyone: This is just delay tact to prevent change
- 21:12:03 From RONALD EICHNER to Everyone: Thank you CM Gore!

21:12:09 From Mara Verheyden-Hilliard to Everyone:We want affordable housing - this development does not create affordable housing.Why wouldn't you push for actual affordable housing?

21:13:05 From Mara Verheyden-Hilliard to Everyone: So mandate affordable housing in the SAP.

21:13:10 From Ryan Keefe to Everyone: Hell yeah CM Gore

- 21:13:21 From Mara Verheyden-Hilliard to Everyone: But the ANC is not doing that. It is opening up a land grap without requirements.
- 21:13:45 From Mara Verheyden-Hilliard to Everyone: Why isn't the ANC requiring affordable housing in the SAP?

21:13:45 From Mary Rowse to Everyone:

Lisa, there will be no affordable housing in Chevy Chase DC on the Community Center lot for years. And it will be a handful of units if that.

21:14:34 From RONALD EICHNER to Everyone:

The SAP calls for unprecedented co-deveopment of the Civic Core with affordable housing and we are calling for 25% affordable on private property which is significant. This assertion that there wont be affordable housing is just not accurate

21:14:34 From Stuart Miles-McLean to Everyone:

We are talking about 2 or 3 months now on a process we are told will take 30 to 40 years to fully realize.

21:14:37 From Jamie Butler to Host and Panelists:

That is not true Mary Rowse. Funding is available and it will move forward

21:15:06 From Mara Verheyden-Hilliard to Everyone:

But you are delaying - you just told us you are hoping just for a seat at the table, when you could mandate affordable housing in the SAP now.

- 21:15:37 From K Simmons to Everyone: Approving the SAP is the step to getting affordable housing
- 21:15:45 From Andrea Rosen to Everyone:

I disagree strongly. The SAP should be strong, intentional, and binding. It is none of those things, and developers will run rough-shod over it, aided by the Zoning Commission.

21:16:01 From Stuart Miles-McLean to Everyone: Has anyone said they are not in support of affordable housing in this discussion?

- 21:16:22 From Michele Wolin to Everyone: How many attendees at this meeting?
- 21:16:54 From Randy Speck to Everyone: 49
- 21:17:23 From Ryan Keefe to Everyone: There is a more than 70ft building across from Starbucks
- 21:18:04 From RONALD EICHNER to Everyone: Theree is already a 70or 80 ft building on that corner!
- 21:18:45 From Pat Roth to Everyone: That building is not in the SAP
- 21:18:49 From teresa grana to Host and Panelists: what building are your referring to

21:19:21 From Ryan Keefe to Everyone:

... it still exists, as a part of the neighborhood fabric even

21:19:32 From K Simmons to Everyone:

This so confusing objecting what the neighborhood already has. It's simple people don't really want affordable housing and are truly afraid of the neighborhood demographics changing.

21:19:35 From Michele Wolin to Everyone:

At one of our break-out small group "community" meetings with OP, when they were doing a word salad, four different people had to say parking was important before the OP facilitator deigned to write it down. When we said other comments that didn't agree with OP's vision, she didn't write them down at all. This is why people feel ignored.

21:20:05 From Mara Verheyden-Hilliard to Everyone:

This is an emperor has no clothes moment. The SAP is rhetorical document that only serves to facilitate the Comp Plan and developer devouring of this area without mandating meaningful affordable housing. The ANC must have seen other SAPs that have actual details, which are common. This is an outlier - why endorse it.

21:20:34 From RONALD EICHNER to Host and Panelists:

What is in the attachments? IF the details jump the gun and try to set detailed Guidelines prior to the drafting process, it would be premature

21:21:50 From Pat Roth to Everyone:

I disagree with you k simmons! This is not what folks are objecting to.! We want a granular plan. Specificity. —- a real plan

21:21:50 From Stuart Miles-McLean to Everyone: Thank you Commissioner Zeldin

21:23:50 From Mara Verheyden-Hilliard to Everyone:

But the comments that came in before were dismissed.

21:25:07 From lee Schoenecker to Everyone:

I strongly agree with Commissioner Zeldin that the more detailed version (the Gosselin version) be attached to the shorter, more official version that Chairman Speck has put forward. There is a lot of meat in the Gosselin that needs to be distilled, yet will add to further planning processes that I hope Chairman Speck would basically explain tonight before a vote.

21:25:29 From Mara Verheyden-Hilliard to Everyone:

If there isn't anything different, why not include the larger version too then?

21:25:32 From Lee Foster to Everyone:

Why can the public not see what Randy produced before you approve it. It was released today?

21:25:59 From Mara Verheyden-Hilliard to Everyone:

Again, how are you voting on a document that has not be shared with the public before just now?

- 21:26:34 From Jamie Butler to Host and Panelists: It is taken from the resolution that has been out there.
- 21:26:47 From Ryan Keefe to Everyone: Roberts Rules exists, and following them is important come on
- 21:27:09 From Lisa Gore ANC 3/4G-01 to Ryan Keefe, Host and Panelists: Thanks Ryan! Absolutely!
- 21:27:37 From RONALD EICHNER to Host and Panelists: FWIW, by definition, a Form Based Code is mandatory.
- 21:27:59 From Mara Verheyden-Hilliard to Everyone: If we are going to be following rules, let's follow sunshine and open government rules.
- 21:28:40 From Mary Rowse to Everyone: Yeah, it seems some rules and laws are okay to break.
- 21:28:51 From Ryan Keefe to Everyone: They are allowed to send anything. It is not granted "great weight" though
- 21:29:02 From Mara Verheyden-Hilliard to Everyone: Then the RASE recommendations should be appended and included from the ANC.

21:29:30 From Ryan Keefe to Everyone:

That isn't true great weight is given to the commission as a whole no matter the vote total, it is just a majority

21:29:36 From Stuart Miles-McLean to Everyone: "RASE"?

21:30:19 From Mara Verheyden-Hilliard to Everyone:

Racial and Social Equity Standing Committee recommendations that the ANC is disregarding

21:30:35 From K Simmons to Everyone:

Racial And Social Equity Committee that Mara utilized to write her recommendations to the ANC

21:30:41 From Stuart Miles-McLean to Everyone: Thanks, Mara

21:31:16 From Pat Roth to Everyone: Michael is right!

21:31:25 From Mara Verheyden-Hilliard to Everyone:

The majority of the committee supported the recommendations and everyone had their input and edits. This is a duly authorized standing committee that the ANC is disregarding.

21:31:42 From Stuart Miles-McLean to Everyone: Thank you, Com. Zeldin!

21:32:18 From Libby Martin to Everyone:

The Racial and Social Equity Committee. The process was ill informed within our group and views are now quite mixed.

21:32:31 From teresa grana to Host and Panelists: yes Thank you for this addition

21:33:18 From Michael Zeldin to Host and Panelists:

6. The greatest shortcoming of the CCSAP is its failure to articulate at a granular level how it intends to implement and enforce its various recommendations and to further ensure that its recommendations be made mandatory for all developments in the covered area. The ANC asks and expects that the next version of the SAP contain the missing mandate.

21:33:30 From Lisa Gore ANC 3/4G-01 to Host and Panelists: The ANC is not regarding the RASE. As stated, we will discuss that resolution.

21:33:44 From Lisa Gore ANC 3/4G-01 to Everyone: The ANC is not regarding the RASE. As stated, we will discuss that resolution.

- 21:33:53 From Lisa Gore ANC 3/4G-01 to Everyone: disregarding
- 21:34:01 From K Simmons to Everyone: Can you repeat Michael's statement?

21:34:45 From Mara Verheyden-Hilliard to Everyone:

But that resolution should have been discussed in advance of authorizing a resolution, to be included. Afterwards makes no difference, except for the apparent purpose of trying explain away the dismissal of it.

21:35:03 From Randy Speck to Everyone:

6. The greatest shortcoming of the CCSAP is its failure to articulate at a granular level how it intends to implement and enforce its various recommendations and to further ensure that its recommendations be made mandatory for all developments in the covered area. The ANC asks and expects that the next version of the SAP contain the missing mandate.

21:35:17 From Ryan Keefe to Everyone:

Might want to review fwiw https://anc3g.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/01/By-Laws-2021-v.Final_.pdf

21:36:12 From Thomas Orgren to Everyone:

This is an extraordinary late attempt to override everything that was discussed for the last two hours.

21:36:14 From Mara Verheyden-Hilliard to Everyone:

Correct, this has no plans. It is just an authorization do whatever they want later.

21:36:32 From Andrea Rosen to Everyone:

We didn't ask for a visioning document after agreeing to the up-FLUM; we asked for a Small Area Plan. What is so difficult to understand about that, Commissioners?

21:36:35 From Thomas Orgren to Everyone:

And the entire plan for that matter.

21:36:37 From Lee Foster to Everyone:

Why don't they approve it at the Small Area Visioning document. Not a Small Area Plan - since it is not a plan.

21:36:47 From Stuart Miles-McLean to Everyone:

Com. Chang, please explain your reservations to Com. Zeldin's proposal, rather than just challenging it.

21:37:25 From RONALD EICHNER to Host and Panelists:

clf the expectation is a full blown set of guidelines, then the would be premature. If the expectation is for OP to describe methodology for implementation, its a good addition

21:37:27 From Ryan Keefe to Everyone:

Amending after a resolution is passed is not good procedure

21:37:47 From K Simmons to Everyone:

lt isn't.

21:38:01 From Thomas Orgren to Everyone:

The Commission has just voted to demand a full zoning code in the next draft of the document, which will require significant additional study, discussion, and will not be complete before a new City Council is seated.

21:38:44 From K Simmons to Everyone:

This is crazy!

21:38:47 From Stuart Miles-McLean to Everyone:

Wow. Falling on your procedural sword is really something to see -- after you essentially spring a new document on the community tonight. that is really something

21:38:55 From Thomas Orgren to Everyone:

This is extraordinary obstructionism that will delay this plan significantly

21:39:08 From RONALD EICHNER to Host and Panelists:

If the expectation is full blown guidelines, it is way premature. If the expectation is a description of methodology for implementation, its fine

21:39:14 From Ryan Keefe to Everyone: OP is going to disregard that part completely fwiw

21:39:24 From Thomas Orgren to Everyone: As they should.

21:39:31 From Ryan Keefe to Everyone: Agreed

21:40:00 From teresa grana to Host and Panelists: what is the resolution

21:40:01 From Lisa Gore ANC 3/4G-01 to Thomas Orgren, Host and Panelists: Absolutely

21:40:15 From RONALD EICHNER to Everyone:

If the expectation is for a full set of guidelines, it is premature and wont happen. If it is for a description of the methodology for implementation, its fine

21:40:19 From Thomas Orgren to Everyone: City Council makes the final decision on this one... 21:40:40 From Mara Verheyden-Hilliard to Everyone:

Lisa, you wrote to the committee this week that you had been following the process and were appreciative of everyone's efforts in drafting the proposals.

21:40:47 From Libby Martin to Everyone:

True, As I've stated in this chat. The process in our RASE group was ill informed and the views are very mixed. I now support the simplified SAP.

21:41:25 From Lisa Gore ANC 3/4G-01 to Everyone:

Mara - that was BEFORE I saw it. It's not what I thought the committee would ever agree on.

21:41:34 From Mary Rowse to Everyone:

What a shameful ANC meeting. Thank you Michael Zeldin for being the only Commissioner willing to listen to the public.

21:42:14 From Mara Verheyden-Hilliard to Everyone:

This what you wrote just yesterday, Lisa, hours after it had been circulating: "I've been silent on this conversation but very observant of the discussion and the work the group has been doing. Looking forward to your input.

Thanks again,"

()

21:42:33 From Michael Zeldin to Mary Rowse, Host and Panelists:

21:43:12 From Lisa Gore ANC 3/4G-01 to Everyone:

Mara - I saw it at almost 12 midnight. Stop conflating. Regardless, not what I ever expected.

21:43:57 From Mara Verheyden-Hilliard to Everyone:

You wrote that at 8pm in direct response to discussion about the proposal that was being edited and had been circulating for many hours.

21:44:47 From Mary Rowse to Everyone:

No, Randy, the ANC did not do the best it could. For shame.

21:44:49 From Stuart Miles-McLean to Everyone:

Thank you for all the hard work, even if we disagree.

21:45:19 From Mara Verheyden-Hilliard to Everyone:

I'm sorry to see this committee capitulate to the developers over the community and the interests of meaningful affordable housing.

21:45:21 From Jamie Butler to Host and Panelists: Thank you commissioners! Can't pay you enough to do this work.

- 21:45:58 From Ryan Keefe to Everyone: Please do, it is in your damn bylaws
- 21:46:21 From Allen Seeber to Everyone: Lisa's right, Michael!
- 21:46:27 From Ryan Keefe to Everyone: https://anc3g.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/01/By-Laws-2021-v.Final_.pdf literally right here
- 21:48:22 From Allen Seeber to Everyone: Thank you! Good night!
- 21:49:06 From Stuart Miles-McLean to Everyone: Exactly, Com Zeldin!