GOVERNMENT
OF
THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

+ + + + +

BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT

+ + + + +

REGULAR PUBLIC HEARING

+ + + + +

WEDNESDAY

MARCH 9, 2022

+ + + + +

The Regular Public Hearing of the District of Columbia Board of Zoning Adjustment convened via Videoconference, pursuant to notice at 9:30 a.m. EST, Frederick L. Hill, Chairperson, presiding.

BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT MEMBERS PRESENT:

FREDERICK L. HILL, Chairperson LORNA JOHN, Vice Chairperson CARL BLAKE, Board Member CHRISHAUN SMITH, Board Member (NCPC)

ZONING COMMISSION MEMBER[S] PRESENT:

ANTHONY J. HOOD, Chairperson

OFFICE OF ZONING STAFF PRESENT:

CLIFFORD MOY, Secretary
PAUL YOUNG, Zoning Data Specialist

OFFICE OF PLANNING STAFF PRESENT:

STEPHEN COCHRAN ANNE FOTHERGILL JONATHAN KIRSCHENBAUM KAREN THOMAS

D.C. OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL PRESENT:

MARY NAGELHOUT, ESQ.

The transcript constitutes the minutes from the Regular Public Hearing held on March 9, 2022.

CONTENTS

Case		20449: perties	Application , LLC	of	PD	236		•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	4
Case		20559: an Nash	Application	of	Wil	liam	an	ıd						•	6
Case			Application Chad Koratic		Mat	thew		•							14
Case		20641: eet, LL	Application C	of	390	00 Edi	mun	ds		•	•		•		22
Case	No.	20643:	Application	of	The	e Mar	et	Sch	100)1					40
noi bA	ırn			_							_	_	_		363

1	P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S
2	10:06 a.m.
3	VICE CHAIRPERSON JOHN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
4	Mr. Moy, could you call the next case, please. Mr. Moy?
5	MR. MOY: Yes, I'm here. I'm just pulling my
6	VICE CHAIRPERSON JOHN: Thank you. I wasn't sure
7	you could hear me.
8	MR. MOY: No. I hear you loud and clear. Okay.
9	Let's see so we have. Okay. This is Case Application Number
10	20449 of PD 236 Properties, LLC. This application, as
11	amended, is for a special exception from the rear yard
12	requirements of Subtitle E, Section 306.1, lot occupancy
13	requirements of Subtitle E, Section 304.1 and the area
14	variance from the lot occupancy requirements of Subtitle E,
15	Section 304.1 for the rear deck addition pursuant to Subtitle
16	E, Section 5201, Subtitle X, Section 901.2, Subtitle X,
17	Section 1002.
18	This would raze the existing building and
19	construct a new attached principal dwelling unit with a rear
20	deck addition in the RF-1 Zone. The property is located at
21	1173 3rd Street Northeast.
22	The preliminary matter here, Madam Chair, is that
23	the applicant filed a motion for continuance and in the
24	record there is also a letter in support of that motion from
25	ANC 6C.

1	VICE CHAIRPERSON JOHN: Thank you, Mr. Moy. Mr.
2	Young, the Board will not take any testimony on this motion
3	to continue so there's no need to let the parties in.
4	So I have reviewed the record and the applicant's
5	motion to continue and the ANC's consent to the request for
6	postponement. So in that case the Board will grant the
7	motion to postpone. And, Mr. Moy, I note that the ANC is
8	asking for a date, while the ANC is not available on May 18
9	or the 25th.
10	So can you see, Mr. Moy, what dates we have
11	available? I would think sometime in June might be
12	appropriate.
13	MR. MOY: Yes, yes, I agree, Madam Chair. So I
14	would suggest for the Board that the Board continue this
15	application to June the 15th.
16	VICE CHAIRPERSON JOHN: Okay. Thank you. So the
17	case is continued to June 15. Thank you, Mr. Moy.
18	MR. MOY: Thank you.
19	VICE CHAIRPERSON JOHN: Chairman Hill?
20	BZA CHAIR HILL: That was fast. I went to just
21	grab a coffee, and I didn't even hear what happened.
22	VICE CHAIRPERSON JOHN: I am very fast, Chairman
23	Hill.
24	BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay. What happened? Where do
25	T put this now? What did you guys do?

1	VICE CHAIRPERSON JOHN: It's continued to June.
2	BZA CHAIR HILL: June.
3	VICE CHAIRPERSON JOHN: Yes.
4	BZA CHAIR HILL: Is there a date, Mr. Moy?
5	VICE CHAIRPERSON JOHN: Mr. Moy, do you have a
6	date? We lost Mr. Moy.
7	MR. MOY: Yes, I'm here. It was rescheduled to
8	June, rescheduled to June 15.
9	BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay. Just because I need to
10	file it and continue to know I'm not on it. Mr. Moy, you can
11	call our next one.
12	MR. MOY: Okay. Let's see. All right.
13	Application Number 20559 of William and Susan Nash. This is
14	an application for special exception from the lot occupancy
15	requirements, Subtitle E, Section 304.1 pursuant to Subtitle
16	E, Section 5201, and Subtitle X, Section 902.1.
17	This would construct a rear, 3-story addition to
18	an existing attached 4-story with basement principal dwelling
19	unit in the RF-1 zone. The property is located 638 East
20	Capitol Street Northeast, Square 868, Lot 66. And that's it
21	for me.
22	BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay. Sorry. Mr. Sullivan, can
23	you please introduce yourself for the record?
24	MR. SULLIVAN: Yes, Mr. Chair. Thank you. Marty
25	Sullivan with Sullivan & Barros on behalf of the applicant.

1	BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay. Mr. Sullivan, if you would
2	do me a favor and kind of walk through this application as
3	expeditiously as possible. We have a really big today. And
4	so if you could tell us why you believe your client is
5	meeting the criteria for us to grant the relief requested.
6	And you can begin whenever you like.
7	MR. SULLIVAN: Okay. Thank you. We do have a
8	PowerPoint if that could be loaded although this one may have
9	been filed late so we may need to ask for that to be accepted
10	into the record. I'm sorry.
11	BZA CHAIR HILL: That's all right. Let me just
12	check that.
13	MR. SULLIVAN: Oh, there it is, yes.
14	BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay. I do see that. And unless
15	the Board has any issues, I would like to see the PowerPoint
16	into the record. So please allow that into the record. And
17	please begin, Mr. Sullivan.
18	MR. SULLIVAN: So thank you, Mr. Chair. And with
19	us here, too, as well is the architect, and I am instructing
20	her according to your directions to go as expeditiously as
21	
22	BZA CHAIR HILL: Keep going, Mr. Sullivan.
23	(Simultaneous speaking.) Got you.
24	MR. SULLIVAN: Okay. But right here on the cover
25	page is a good explanation of what the addition is. It's a

1	small addition for what would be called three stories because
2	the and from the front of the building, the cellar is more
3	than 5 feet out of the ground. So it's a 4-story building.
4	And that screen porch you see there in the back used to be
5	two stories, but the neighbor to the left had concerns about
6	that and so the applicant removed that from the original
7	application.
8	So if we could go to the next slide.
9	BZA CHAIR HILL: Go back to the right. I was
10	trying to figure out, what's that to the right of the
11	property, in 636?
12	MR. SULLIVAN: That's the other property. That's
13	an addition on their side. We can see that in a photo.
14	BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay. All right. Okay. Great.
15	Thank you.
16	MR. SULLIVAN: Next slide, please. So this is RF-
17	1. The ask is to go from 64.6 percent lot occupancy to 68.6
18	percent.
19	Next slide, please. We have the support of the
20	ANC 6C, both adjacent neighbors and other letters of support
21	and the Office of Planning.
22	Next slide, please. So there's a photo that you
23	can see what that is to the right. And the white second
24	story porch you see is on the applicant's property. And then
25	the other picture is a photo looking out towards the rear.

Next slide, please. These are the photos -- and 1 I'll turn it over to Ms. Whitmore. 2 Ellen, if you could go 3 through these quickly, please. 4 MS. WHITMORE: Right. So the bottom left photo 5 is showing the rear of the principal building. We're going to be removing all of the things in white and below. 6 That's 7 a breakfast room that's currently -- it was an addition on the back of the original property. 8 9 The photo on the lower right is looking towards 10 the rear of the garage. We're going to be removing the 1story section on the left part of the garage in order to make 11 up some room on the lot coverage. The array of photos on the top is looking out onto 13 the neighbor's property. That part you were talking about 14 15 from the first page, this is showing the solar array that we're trying to have no impact on the solar access to that. 16 17 And there's also a visible beyond that. Next to our garage in the back, there's another solar array that we will not be 18 19 impacting. 20 Next slide. This is just a site plan showing the 2.1 22 BZA CHAIR HILL: Ms. Whitmore? 23 MS. WHITMORE: Yes? 24 BZA CHAIR HILL: I'm going through you guys' slide 25 deck.

MS. WHITMORE: 1 Okay. 2 BZA CHAIR HILL: And, Mr. Sullivan, I think the slide deck is very helpful. 3 And I'm going to just get us 4 down to Slide 21 and kick it back over to you, Mr. Sullivan. 5 MR. SULLIVAN: Okay. Thank you. BZA CHAIR HILL: And then I'll let my fellow Board 6 go through the slide deck. And if they have 8 questions after we get through this, we can do that. If you 9 can go to 21, Mr. Young? 10 MR. SULLIVAN: And I assume 21 is the general 11 requirements so I'll get started on that. 12 We meet the general requirements. This is clearly in harmony with the general purpose and intent of the zoning 13 regulations and maps and will not tend to affect neighboring 14 15 properties. As mentioned, we came to an agreement with the neighbor to the left and a significant revision was done to 16 17 remove the second story from the screened porch. 18 Therefore, regarding the specific criteria, light 19 and air, as shown on our shadow studies is not unduly 2.0 affected, privacy as well. Privacy was the issue with the 2.1 second story screened porch. So that. That was removed. 22 issue has been resolved. 23 And as viewed from the street or alley as well, 24 it does not obviously intrude upon character, scale and

pattern.

Thank you.

1	BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay. Great. Thank you, Mr.
2	Sullivan. Can you drop that slide deck, Mr. Young, if you
3	don't mind? Thank you. May I turn to the Office of
4	Planning?
5	MS. FOTHERGILL: Good morning. For the record,
6	I'm Anne Fothergill with the Office of Planning. And the
7	Office of Planning recommends approval of BZA Case 20559 and
8	finds it meets the special criteria of Subtitle E, Section
9	5201, as well as the special exception criteria of Subtitle
10	X, Chapter 9. And I will rest on the record in support. And
11	I am happy to take any questions. Thanks.
12	BZA CHAIR HILL: All right. Thanks, Ms.
13	Fothergill. Good morning to you as well. Mr. Young, is
14	there anyone here who wishes to speak?
15	MR. YOUNG: We do not.
16	BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay. Does my Board have any
17	do my fellow Board members have any questions for the
18	applicant or the Office of Planning? Chairman Hood?
19	ZC CHAIR HOOD: Yes. Quickly, Ms. Whitmore, you
20	mentioned about the solar panels. I can't remember exactly
21	what you said. You said minimal impact or some impact? How
22	did you phrase that because I know you went kind of fast.
23	MS. WHITMORE: I probably misphrased it. There
24	will be no impact on the neighbor's solar array.
25	ZC CHAIR HOOD: Okay.

1	MS. WHITMORE: Either way.
2	ZC CHAIR HOOD: Thank you. That's better. Thank
3	you.
4	BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay. Great. Mr. Sullivan, do
5	you have anything at the end?
6	MR. SULLIVAN: No. Thank you.
7	BZA CHAIR HILL: All right. I'm going to go ahead
8	and close the hearing on the record. Mr. Young, would you
9	please excuse everyone?
10	Well, you guys, I thought it was pretty
11	straightforward. I didn't have a lot of issues with it. I
12	mean, I thought that that property actually that was over to
13	the other side of it was a massive property also that had
14	you know, they are protecting the solar arrays on there. And
15	so I do think that they meet all of the criteria for us to
16	grant the relief that's being requested.
17	I would agree with the Office of Planning's
18	recommendation as well as that of the ANC and be voting to
19	approve as well as DDOT didn't have any issues or objections.
20	Does anyone have anything to add? Mr. Blake?
21	MEMBER BLAKE: No, sir. I agree with your
22	assessment of the situation. I believe based on the record
23	before the Board, the applicant has met the burden of proof
24	and should be granted the relief.
25	BZA CHAIR HILL: Mr. Smith?

1	MEMBER SMITH: I second Mr. Blake's comments.
2	BZA CHAIR HILL: Chairman Hood?
3	ZC CHAIR HOOD: I have nothing to add, but I agree
4	with all of what I've heard.
5	BZA CHAIR HILL: Vice Chair John?
6	VICE CHAIR JOHN: I have nothing to add as well.
7	I think the application is fairly straightforward.
8	BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay. I'll make a motion to
9	approve Application Number 20559 as captioned and read by the
10	Secretary and ask for a second, Ms. John?
11	VICE CHAIR JOHN: Second.
12	BZA CHAIR HILL: Mr. Moy, if you could take the
13	roll call, please?
14	MR. MOY: When I call each of your names if you
15	would please respond with a yes, no, abstain to the motion
16	made by Chairman Hill to approve the application for the
17	relief requested. The motion was seconded by Vice Chair
18	John. Zoning Commission Chair, Anthony Hood?
19	ZC CHAIR HOOD: Yes.
20	MR. MOY: Mr. Smith?
21	MEMBER SMITH: Yes.
22	MR. MOY: Mr. Blake?
23	MEMBER BLAKE: Yes.
24	MR. MOY: Vice Chair John?
25	VICE CHAIR JOHN: Yes.

MR. MOY: Chairman Hill?

BZA CHAIR HILL: Yes.

2.0

2.1

2.3

MR. MOY: Staff would record the vote as 5-0-0, and this is on the motion made by Chairman Hill to approve the application for the relief requested. The motion was seconded by Vice Chair John in support. Also in support of the motion to approve is Zoning Commission Chair Anthony Hood, Mr. Smith, Mr. Blake and, of course, Vice Chair John and Chairman Hill. Again, the motion carries on a vote of 5-0-0.

BZA CHAIR HILL: Thank you, Mr. Moy. When you get a chance, Mr. Moy, feel free to call our next one.

MR. MOY: This would be Case Application Number 20639 of Matthew Ruest and Chad Koratich, K-O-R-A-T-I-C-H. This application is for special exceptions from the side yard requirement, Subtitle E, Section 207.2, pursuant to Subtitle E, Section 5201, and Subtitle X, Section 901.2, nonconforming side yard requirements, Subtitle E, Section 207.3, pursuant to Subtitle E, Section 5201 and Subtitle X, Section 901.2, rear yard requirements, Subtitle E, Section 306.1, pursuant to Subtitle E, Section 5201 and Subtitle X, Section 901.2.

This would construct a partial second story addition to an existing semi-detached, 2-story with basement, principal dwelling unit in the RF-1 Zone. The property is located at 1813 Vermont Avenue Northwest, Square 334, Lot 27.

BZA CHAIR HILL: Great. Sullivan, 1 Okay. Mr. 2 could you introduce yourself for the record, please? 3 MR. SULLIVAN: Thank you. Marty Sullivan Yes. 4 with Sullivan & Barros on behalf of the applicant. 5 BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay. Mr. Sullivan, if you could just, you know, again, as efficiently as possible walk us 6 7 through this application in terms of why you believe that 8 your client is meeting the criteria for us to grant the 9 relief requested. The Office of Planning had some questions. 10 If you could just clarify whether or not that has been or some of those have been resolved. And you can begin whenever 11 12 you like. Thank you. 13 MR. SULLIVAN: Okay. If we could have the PowerPoint loaded, please? 14 And Ms. Brunson is the And she's with us and available for questions. 15 architect. But I'll go through the PowerPoint myself. 16 17 If we could go to Slide 2, please, the next slide. So the property is in the RF-1 Zone. It's a 2-story single 18 19 And the applicant is proposing a small family dwelling. 2.0 addition to the south rear side of the building, and it's a 2.1 second story addition underneath that will be open. 22 And this requires special exception relief from 23 actually three areas. It's the rear yard requirement because 24 the rear line of the building is being extended across, and

it's currently non-conforming. And side yard, because we

will be less than 5 feet but won't be all the way to the 1 property line, so we'll need relief for that. 2 3 And then we also need relief from the restriction 4 against making a non-conforming side yard more non-conforming 5 or a conforming side yard non-conforming. Next slide, please. It's supported by ANC 1B with 6 7 letters of support from two adjacent neighbors. There's a 8 third adjacent neighbor that doesn't have a problem with it. 9 And they've talked to the applicant several times, but they letter. 10 just haven't filed a And OP is recommending 11 approval. 12 And I spoke to Mr. Cochran yesterday, and I think that issue has been cleared up. 13 There was some confusion about the plat. But from my conversation with him, they're 14 15 okay with the plat now. That issue has gone away. 16 Next slide, please. There's the location of the 17 property. 18 Next slide, please. Next slide, please. That's And you're looking at the side, the addition is 19 the front. 20 to the right here on the photo to the right. 2.1 Next slide, please. You can see the plat in the 22 back on the right side at the rear of the property there. The addition is smaller than what it shows there. 2.3 A lot of 24 that is patio so we'll see that when we get to the plans.

Next slide, please. Next slide, please. And next

slide, please. You can see there the addition. It's rectangular. It's to the right where you see the stairs there. That's underneath the addition.

Next slide, please. I'll zip through the floor plans. Next slide, please. Next slide, next slide. Get to the elevations. Next slide, please.

So on the lower right elevation here, you see the extent of the addition from this view. It's open underneath, and the addition is on the second story. And you can see the size of it, how far it comes out from the existing building there on the top left and then from the rear elevation as well. You get a sense of the size of the addition.

Next slide, please. And next slide, please. So this is a single family. It's going to be a flat after this. So it is in harmony with the general purpose and intent of zoning regulations. The lot occupancy is just 51 percent after the addition.

Next slide, please. Light and air available to the neighboring properties is not unduly affected. And privacy of use and enjoyment, there's no windows on the rear of the building facing adjacent properties. So no privacy issue.

And as noted in the OP report, discussions with HPO staff, as I said, there's no major issues with this. And so this won't visually intrude upon character, scale and

2.0

pattern. 1 And next slide, please. And I think that's it. 2 So if the Board has any questions for myself or for Ms. 3 4 Brunson. And I know the Board has 5 BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay. the slide deck and is able to peruse through them. 6 So I'm 7 going to let them continue to do that. And I'm going to turn 8 to the Office of Planning. 9 MR. COCHRAN: Thanks, Mr. Chair. This is Steve 10 Cochran, representing the Office of Planning in Case 20639. OP recommends that you approve both the two side yard special 11 and the rear yard special 12 exceptions exception. application meets the criteria of X Chapter 9 and Section 13 That completes our report, but I would be happy to 14 15 answer any questions. 16 BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay. Thanks, Mr. Cochran. Ι 17 have reviewed your report. I don't have any questions of it. Mr. Young, is there anyone here wishing to speak? 18 19 MR. YOUNG: We do not. 2.0 BZA CHAIR HILL: Does the Board have any questions 2.1 for the Office of Planning or the applicant? Okav. 22 Cochran, good to see you. Glad to see everybody is wearing And let's see, Mr. 23 a tie today. That's very interesting. 24 Sullivan, do you have anything to add at the end?

No.

Thank you.

MR. SULLIVAN:

	f 1
1	BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay. I'm going to go ahead and
2	close the hearing on the record. Okay. As we reviewed the
3	regulations, I also thought that the applicant has met the
4	burden of proof for this application. I didn't particularly
5	have any concerns. It was an interesting, I think, project
6	but also relatively minimal in its relief requested.
7	And I also would agree with the Office of
8	Planning's analysis and recommendation as well as that of ANC
9	1B as well as that we have adjacent neighbors in support with
10	their own concerns there.
11	So I didn't have any issues with the application.
12	I'm going to go around the table and see what my fellow Board
13	members have to add starting with you Mr. Smith if anything.
14	MEMBER SMITH: Chairman, I don't have anything to
15	add. I do completely agree with your analysis that they have
16	met the burden of proof for us to be able to grant the
17	special exceptions.
18	I will also note that they have received letters
19	in support from all of the adjacent neighbors that would be
20	most directly impacted by this addition, the neighbor to the
21	north and their neighbors on S Street to the south.
22	So with that statement, I am in support of the
23	special exceptions.
24	BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay. Great. Thank you. Mr.
25	Blake?

I agree with the statements you 1 MEMBER BLAKE: 2 And I believe the applicant has met the burden have made. And I'll be voting in favor of the application. 3 of proof. 4 BZA CHAIR HILL: Thank you. Chairman Hood? 5 ZC CHAIR HOOD: You know, sometime I try to hit the space button and unmute so I don't have to do the cursor 6 but then sometimes it doesn't. So I have an operator's 8 problem. 9 I don't have anything to add. I think the merits 10 in this case warrant approval, especially with all support that has already been mentioned. 11 So thank you. 12 BZA CHAIR HILL: Chairman Hood, it's only taken 13 two years to figure out Zoom, right? 14 Sir, it just worked just dandy, ZC CHAIR HOOD: 15 but when you called on me it didn't work. So I don't know. 16 Maybe it's, maybe it's -- but I really want to make a 17 statement about the tie, but I'll wait and say that about the 18 tie later. 19 Vice Chair John? Got you. BZA CHAIR HILL: 20 VICE CHAIR JOHN: I'm in support of the 2.1 application. I'm going to give great weight to the Office of Planning's report and agree with all of the comments so 22 23 that DDOT has objection far and just note no 24 application.

BZA CHAIR HILL: Thank you, Vice Chair John.

25

All

1	right. I'm going ahead and make a motion to approve
2	Application Number 20639 as captioned and read by the
3	Secretary and ask for a second, Ms. John?
4	VICE CHAIR JOHN: Second.
5	BZA CHAIR HILL: Motion made and seconded. Mr.
6	Moy, if you could take the roll call?
7	MR. MOY: When I call each of your names if you
8	would please respond with a yes, no or abstain to the motion
9	made by Chairman Hill to approve the application for the
10	relief requested. The motion was seconded by Vice Chair
11	John. Zoning Commission Chair, Anthony Hood?
12	ZC CHAIR HOOD: Yes to the motion.
13	MR. MOY: Mr. Smith?
14	MEMBER SMITH: Yes to the motion.
15	MR. MOY: Mr. Blake?
16	MEMBER BLAKE: Yes.
17	MR. MOY: Vice Chair John?
18	VICE CHAIR JOHN: Yes.
19	MR. MOY: Chairman Hill?
20	BZA CHAIR HILL: Yes.
21	MR. MOY: Staff would record the vote as 5-0-0.
22	And this is on the motion made by Chairman Hill to approve.
23	The motion to approve was seconded by Vice Chair John. Also
24	in support of the motion to approve is Zoning Commission
25	Chair Anthony Hood, Mr. Smith and Mr. Blake. The motion

1	carries on a vote of 5-0-0.
2	BZA CHAIR HILL: Thank you, Mr. Moy. You may call
3	our next one when you get an opportunity, Mr. Moy.
4	MR. MOY: This would be Case Application Number
5	20641 of 3900 Edmunds, E-D-M-U-N-D-S, Street, LLC. This is
6	a request for a special exception from the matter-of-right
7	uses of Subtitle U, Section 401, which is pursuant to
8	Subtitle U, Section 421, and Subtitle X, Section 901.2.
9	This would construct a third story with penthouse
10	and roof deck addition and to convert to a seven unit
11	apartment house, an existing semi-detached, 2-story with
12	cellar four unit apartment house in the RA-1 Zone. The
13	property is located at 3900 Edmunds Street Northwest, Square
14	1807, Lot 35.
15	BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay. Mr. Ferris, can you hear
16	me?
17	MR. FERRIS: Yes, Chair. Can the Board members
18	hear me as well?
19	BZA CHAIR HILL: Yes. Could you introduce
20	yourself for the record, please?
21	MR. FERRIS: Yes. Lawrence Ferris with the law
22	office of Goulston & Storrs, land use counsel for the
23	applicant.
24	BZA CHAIR HILL: Great. Thank you, Mr. Ferris.
25	All right. Mr Ferris If you could we have a really big

day today. However, yours is a little bit more substantial than the other two that were before us. If you could take a little bit of time to walk us through your -- and I've got your PowerPoint up already. If you could take a little bit of time to walk us through your presentation as to why you believe your client is meeting the criteria for us to grant the relief requested.

You don't have to go into too much detail on the actual layout of the building. Perhaps just, you know, speak more about the relief that's being requested. And, Mr. Young, if you could pull up that PowerPoint and then, Mr. Ferris, you can begin whenever you like.

MR. FERRIS: All right. Great. Thanks so much.

Again, good morning, Chair Hill and members of the Board.

We're here today for the property located at 3900 Edmunds Street Northwest. This is located in Glover Park, one block north of Stoddert Elementary School.

This area of Glover Park consists of a mix of multifamily residential buildings that range from smaller to lower to mid-rise buildings to high rises like those that are on the north side of Edmunds and directly across the street from the property.

So the property is currently improved with a four unit apartment building. You see that brick frame there on the screen. And the project we're presenting today would

2.0

2.1

renovate the existing building and construct the addition that you see on the rear to provide seven units, which would include six 3-bedroom units. So that's the short and sweet of the project.

The property is zoned RA-1. And so our application is requesting special exception approval pursuant to Subtitle U, Section 421, for new residential development in an RA-1 Zone. The project otherwise meets all matter of right development standards so we're only requesting relief under U 421.

With me today are Barry Madani and Megan Downey of Bloom Residential. Mr. Madani will provide a very brief background on the project and on our applicant. And then Ms. Downey will be walking us briefly through the plans. And we will try and focus specifically on the issues you called out, Mr. Chair.

Before we dive into our presentation, I would like to note that we have reports in support from the Office of Planning, that's at Exhibit 31, and from DDOT at Exhibit 32.

We met with OP back in January to review the project and get their feedback. And as you saw in OP's report, they're recommending approval. The workable design related questions OP had that they asked the development team to look into, which we did and followed up with OP after studying. So those were the items that you saw addressed in

2.1

our pre-hearing submission, and we'll touch on those briefly as part of our presentation today as well.

Also included in our presentation is an updated landscape plan, which is an item OP had requested. So we'll review that briefly as well when we dive into the plan shortly. But in short, we're very pleased with OP's report recommending approval of the application.

We also presented the project to ANC 3B in February, and ANC voted unanimously to support the project and the requested relief. The ANC's report is at Exhibit 34 of the record.

I'm also pleased to have several letters of support from neighbors in the area, including the owner of the adjacent building on the west side of the property, that's the building at 3904 Edmunds that's actually attached to this one.

So with that brief introduction, I will hand it over to Barry Madani to give us a brief background on the project.

MR. MADANI: Good morning, Chairperson Hill, and members of the Board. My name is Barry Madani. I am principal of Bloom Residential.

This is a project that we have, you know, been working on and taking a dilapidated four unit apartment building in the Glover Park neighborhood in much need of

2.1

2.3

updating and converting it to seven residential condo units, which we believe will also help drive the number of stakeholders in that neighborhood, which is predominantly made up of renters.

We have the letter of support of the adjacent neighbor and many of the other neighbors in close proximity. We have done projects in that neighborhood before, and we have a great track record with the neighborhood. And, as Lawrence mentioned, you know, we have also the support of the ANC.

MR. FERRIS: All right. And with that, Ms. Downey, if you can walk us through the plans just briefly.

MS. DOWNEY: Hi. I'm Megan Downey. And I'm just going to quickly kind of walk through the plans and the proposed project that we have for this site.

So on this first page you'll see the building. The front kind of brick structure is the existing kind of four unit building that currently exists. And we're converting this building by adding a larger addition to the rear of the property, converting it from a four unit to a seven unit project.

Next slide. So on the next slide, you'll kind of see the rear addition and you can really see from here that the bulk of what we're adding is really concentrated to the back of the property, which is really the middle of the site.

2.1

Next slide. So here we just kind of have some context images. So you can see in red, we've circled where the project is. So as Lawrence has mentioned, this is in the Glover Park neighborhood just west of Wisconsin Avenue.

To the north are some larger multifamily buildings you can see. Directly along the street are similar sized small to midrise multifamily buildings. And just south, we are located one block from Stoddert Elementary School.

Next slide, please. Okay. So here are some kind of existing photos of the project. You can see the existing kind of two-story four unit existing structure, the front brick facade and then the back kind of closed in rear porches.

Next slide. So here's the site plan. So what's really important from the site plan is that along Edmunds Street there is actually a building restriction line, which is 10 feet beyond the front of the existing building.

And we are actually holding the existing facade, which is similar to the building that's next to us. So kind of keeping that front facade and not building within that 10 feet, which zoning would allow. And then also, as you can see, the addition which we're adding is really in the middle of the site. It's kind of set back from Edmunds Street and the other buildings along the road.

Next slide. So here is kind of some proposed

2.0

2.1

2.3

layouts which we're planning to build. Kind of the key theme here is that this is a seven year project and six of the seven units we're proposing three bedroom units. Being in such close proximity to Stoddert Elementary, we're trying to get more larger family sized units.

Next slide. And then this slide and the next slide, you'll see that kind of also a goal was trying to provide outdoor space for every unit, almost every unit, not quite, whether it be a balcony or a rooftop terrace.

Next slide. And you'll kind of see the balcony of the top unit there.

So next slide. So here are the elevations. So, again, this is the front elevation. Just to the left-hand side of the screen, you can see that existing brick building. One thing I will note that we are enlarging some of the windows and that was to provide more light into the units.

Another thing is that we raised -- so in order to get a more livable space in that top unit, we've actually raised the ceiling, which is why we're no longer holding that kind of mansard roof, which you'll see similarly in the building adjacent to us, which we can discuss when we get to the context.

Next slide. Here you kind of see the elevations again. Again, that back mass is concentrated to the rear of the building. We're setting back from the front almost 20

2.0

2.3

feet from the front.

2.0

And next slide. And then here it is in context. So, again, just some things I wanted to mention. So the mansard roof, which is something we've discussed with OP, because we are raising the roof, we are not holding that mansard because -- and we didn't think to add the mansard would really align with the building next to us.

Also another conversation that we had with OP was a question about why we didn't maintain -- why we had moved the entrance to the side as opposed to the front. Again, it was really so that we could try to get the majority of three bedroom units. We looked at trying to keep the front entrance but we would lose -- it came at the cost of losing a three bedroom unit, which we felt was a much stronger need for this area, neighborhood and project.

And then next slide. And then here's the kind of landscape plan, which I know we've been working with OP. Some things to mention is that one, that the sidewalk kind of jogs in order to be able to provide an ADA compliant pathway to the site, to the entrance. And then the other thing is that the trash in the rear is enclosed from the alley, and we have added plantings in conversations with OP. And I think that might be it.

BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay. Thank you. Mr. Ferris?

MR. FERRIS: Yes. I think if I could just put the

finer point on a couple of the comments that were in the 1 Office of Planning's report that we had discussed with them 2 3 and Megan touched on already. 4 But if we could go back to, let's see, Slide 6. 5 You'll see the ground floor layout on the right-hand side. So one of the issues OP had asked us about was, 6 7 as Ms. Downey mentioned, either maintaining the current front entrance or reincorporating a front entrance onto that front 8 9 ground floor unit. And essentially we took a look at this 10 and tried to kind of play around with that light unit layout. And as you can see, it's one of the three bedroom units, one 11 12 of the six 3-bedrooms we're providing. And there was just no way to make that interior layout work without losing an 13 14 entire bedroom. As you can see, the three bedrooms are sort of at 15 16 the top of that layout facing onto Edmunds. And there was just no way to make it work without losing a bedroom. 17 18 So we went back to OP and discussed that issue. And they sort of agreed that it was more important here to 19 2.0 maintain that 3-bedroom unit than it was to get a 2.1 entrance or recreate the current entrance on Edmunds Street. 22 So I just wanted to touch on that since that was noted in OP's report. 23 24 And then the other issue that Ms. Downey touched

on that was in OP's report and that we discussed with them

was that mansard element. That is at the existing building and on the adjacent building. And if we can go back to that context image, which is at Slide 11, Mr. Young. Thank you.

So as you can see, that existing building to the right, 3904, has that managed development that our building has currently. And as Ms. Downey was explaining, we're actually raising the ceiling of those second story units by a foot, a full foot, and enlarging those window openings. As you can see, they are larger than what you have at the kind of mirror image adjacent building that mirrors what we have at the site now.

And so you really couldn't maintain that mansard roof. And if you want to just raise it or to try and recreate it with like a faux mansard, it would look just a little funky, a little off kilter because it would be uneven with that adjacent building. And so it was really difficult for us to find a way to do that in a way that was actually complementary to the adjacent building.

So that was again something that we discussed with OP. And ultimately they agreed that it was better to have the improved units that had more light, more air access to the modernized units than it was to kind of create, recreate or maintain that mansard element. So that was kind of where we landed on that and ultimately OP, I think, was happy with the discussion.

2.0

2.3

So anyways, I just wanted to put a little bit 1 2 finer point on those. 3 I appreciate that, BZA CHAIR HILL: No, Mr. 4 Ferris. Mr. Ferris, I'm actually going to cut you 5 off. 6 MR. FERRIS: Absolutely. 7 BZA CHAIR HILL: And so, Mr. Young, can you drop 8 that slide deck? I appreciate you telling me about the 9 mansard roof because I was a little curious about it. 10 I'm going to go ahead and turn to the Office of Planning. MR. KIRSCHENBAUM: Good morning, Chair Hill and 11 12 members of the Board of Zoning Adjustment. I'm Jonathan Kirschenbaum with the Office of Planning. And we recommend 13 approval of the special exception for a new residential 14 15 development in the RA-1 Zone. 16 And for the record, analysis our and 17 recommendation are based on the architectural and landscape plans that are in Exhibit 35 and that is the exhibit that the 18 19 applicant just showed you. And I will rest on the record. Please let me know 20 2.1 if you have any further questions. Thank you. 22 BZA CHAIR HILL: Mr. Kirschenbaum, I was just kind 23 of curious, as far as losing that mansard roof, it was also 24 because like there's just kind of a mix of buildings along

Is that something that you guys looked at?

that line.

guess, like it seems like you all didn't have much -- you thought about the mansard roof, but you eventually went with the light angle -- sorry, the better units that were going to be put there rather than the mansard roof.

My question, I guess, is that some of that because there's already a mix of those buildings on that block?

MR. KIRSCHENBAUM: That is correct. I mean, it's not a consistent block front at this point because many of the similar buildings have already been redeveloped into apartment houses that have removed those architectural features. It's not a requirement of the zone either. So it was just sort of the design consideration that we asked the applicant about.

And because it's not a zoning requirement, we felt that it sort of balanced the need to create some more livable units with higher ceilings was probably better in the long run than trying to just maintain that mansard roof.

BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay. Does the Board have any questions of the applicant or the Office of Planning? Mr. Blake?

MEMBER BLAKE: One quick question. With regard to the DDOT report, they indicated that you should ensure that the rear alley gate door is either recessed or open inward while the planned list on landscaping and the other site plan, I think the doors were still outward facing. Is

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

2.3

1	that correct or has that been adjusted?
2	MR. KIRSCHENBAUM: Yes, that would be a question
3	is that for the Office of Planning? Okay.
4	MEMBER BLAKE: No, applicant.
5	MR. FERRIS: Yes. So we did see that comment.
6	And we will take that into consideration and make that
7	adjustment as we would I think that would actually require
8	public space approval. And so we'll be adjusting that. But
9	technically that's in the public space realm, which is why
10	we didn't touch on that as part of this application.
11	MEMBER BLAKE: Thank you.
12	BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay. Anything else from my
13	Board members? Mr. Young, is there anyone on here who wishes
14	to speak?
15	MR. YOUNG: We do not.
16	BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay. I'm going to go ahead.
17	Mr. Ferris, do you have anything else you'd like to add at
18	the end?
19	MR. FERRIS: I'm happy to provide a summary, but
20	out of respect for the Board's very full docket, I am also
21	happy to skip.
22	BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay. All right. I'm going to
23	go ahead then and close the hearing on the record and excuse
24	everyone.
25	So, I mean, I think, again, since this is a new

residential development, we take a look at it. I think actually Chairman Hood was on an appeal where, like, something happened where we didn't take a look at something that was a new residential development and so now we see all of them, right?

And so I do think that they are meeting the criteria and standards for us to grant the relief requested to build the building. I didn't have any issues with the building. I thought it was a nice project. I thought that the 3-bedroom units were nice. I thought that the design is well thought out.

I also didn't think that it was going to do anything to the neighborhood in terms of -- I guess the ANC is also in agreement in terms of what they think of the project and how it will fit in with the neighborhood.

And then I do believe, again, that they've met the criteria and standards with which we're supposed to look at the application as it applies to the regulations.

I would agree with the Office of Planning's analysis and that also DDOT, as Mr. Blake has also clarified that, and then also there was the landscaping plan that was clarified.

Other than that, I don't have anything else to add. I'm going to go around the table. Mr. Smith, would you give me your opinion, please?

2.0

MEMBER SMITH: Sure. I largely agree with your I do believe that applicant has met the burden of position. proof for us to be able to grant the special exception for this new development. I, like you, believe that the design was very well The design protected the existing character thought out. along the street frontage by expanding the building to the side but towards the rear of the side and that addition is largely in keeping with the character that we see along the block. It's shown even in the applicant's own slides showing the street frontage along that block. It's largely set into the character. That building, that addition, doesn't dominate along the block. So kudos to them for 14 finding a good design that could win the support of adjacent property owners. The only letter that we haven't got is from the property owner to the east of this property directly to the east. But the other property owners within the area, they're largely supportive of this addition as well as the ANC. So with that I do support the application, and I agree with OP's analysis on this request. And I will be in support. BZA CHAIR HILL: Thank you, Mr. Smith. Mr. Blake? I, too, agree with the analysis MEMBER BLAKE:

1

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

that you did as well as Mr. Smith. The applicant has met the 1 burden of proof, and I will be voting in favor of 2 3 application. 4 BZA CHAIR HILL: Thank you. Chairman Hood? 5 ZC CHAIR HOOD: I also agree with everything I heard. And I think one of the things that I listened to and 6 all the support that this applicant has is the work that he's 8 done previously has shown a great track record. So obviously 9 this continues in taking in the neighborhood's and ANC's and 10 all those stakeholders' concerns into consideration as he 11 moves forward. 12 I do like the design. I think it's excellent. Thank you. 13 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 14 BZA CHAIR HILL: Thank you, Chairman Hood. Chair John? 15 VICE CHAIR JOHN: Thank you, Chairman. So I agree 16 17 with everyone that this is a very thoughtful design. appreciate that the applicant has worked with the community 18 19 and with ANC to come up with a project that, you know, they 20 can get behind. 2.1 And I agree with all the comments so far as how the application meets the criteria for relief under Subtitle 22 23 U, 421.1, and I give great weight to the Office of Planning's 24 Report and the recommendation and the issues and concerns of

And in this case, the ANC was in full support.

the ANC.

1	I would recommend approval of the application.
2	BZA CHAIR HILL: Thank you, Vice Chair John. All
3	right. I'm going to make a motion to approve Application
4	Number 20641 as captioned and read by the Secretary and ask
5	for a second, Ms. John?
6	VICE CHAIR JOHN: Second.
7	BZA CHAIR HILL: Motion made and seconded. Mr.
8	Moy, could you take a roll call, please?
9	MR. MOY: When I call each of your names if you
10	would please respond with a yes, no or abstain to the motion
11	made by Chairman Hill to approve the application for the
12	relief requested. The motion was seconded by Vice Chair
13	John. Zoning Commission Chair, Anthony Hood?
14	ZC CHAIR HOOD: Yes.
15	MR. MOY: Mr. Smith?
16	MEMBER SMITH: Yes.
17	MR. MOY: Mr. Blake?
18	MEMBER BLAKE: Yes.
19	MR. MOY: Vice Chair John?
20	VICE CHAIR JOHN: Yes.
21	MR. MOY: Chairman Hill?
22	BZA CHAIR HILL: Yes.
23	MR. MOY: Staff would record the vote as 5-0-0.
24	And this is on the motion made by Chairman Hill to approve.
25	The motion to approve was seconded by Vice Chair John. Also

in support of the motion to approve is Zoning Commission 1 Chair Anthony Hood, Mr. Smith, Mr. Blake and, of course, Vice 2 3 Chair John and Chairman Hill. The motion carries on a vote of 5-0-0. 5 BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay. Thanks, Mr. Moy. Okay. So you guys, we have three cases left, all of which are going 6 7 to be very lengthy, and I suggest we go ahead and take a 8 quick break before starting our next one. 9 Vice Chair John is not on the next case. 10 Ms. John, if it's all right, I'll go ahead and kind of text you when I think we're getting closer to when you can rejoin 11 12 I think it will be a little while. It's 11:55. 13 And so let's see. I mean, if we can 14 get back here at 11:05 that would be great. So let's just 15 see what happens, and I'll see you guys in a little bit. 16 Thank you. (Whereupon, the above-entitled matter went off the 17 record at 10:56 a.m. and resumed at 11:08 a.m.) 18 19 BZA CHAIR HILL: Mr. Moy, are you there? 20 MR. MOY: Yes, sir. 2.1 BZA CHAIR HILL: Can you go ahead and call our Yes, and just so my fellow Board members know, 22 next case? 2.3 I'm shooting for 1 o'clock for lunch hopefully is what I'm 24 shooting for. So there you go. Mr. Moy, you can call our

next case, please.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman. The 1 MR. MOY: Yes. Board is back in its public hearing session after a very brief break, recess, and the time is about 11:09 in the 3 4 morning. 5 The case application before the Board is 20643 of the Maret School. This is a request for special exceptions 6 from the matter-of-right uses of Subtitle U, Section 201, pursuant to Subtitle U, Section 203.1(m), Subtitle X, Section 9 104.1, and Subtitle X, Section 901.2 and the parking location 10 restrictions of Subtitle C, Section 710.2, pursuant Subtitle C, Section 710.3, and Subtitle X, Section 901.2 11 12 This would permit a private school use in the The property is located at part of 5901 Utah 13 R-1-B Zone. Avenue Northwest, Square 2319, Lot 832. 14 Finally, Mr. Chairman, as regards to late filings 15 16 because of the 24-hour block that the Board has imposed in 17 the past two years, there are two letters in support. from Michael Sriqui, S-R-I-Q-U-I, from ANC 3D, a letter of 18 support from J.P. Dodd and Jennifer Goodman. 19 Also there is 20 a letter in opposition from a Mary Callahan. 2.1 And finally, Mr. Chairman, there is what's titled 22 as a renewed motion to postpone from the party in opposition. 23 So there you go, Mr. Chairman. 24 BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay. Great. Thanks, everybody.

Is Mr. Blake with us? I thought I saw him.

Okay.

Great.

All right. So unless the Board has any issues, I'm 1 going to let everything into the record because I want to see 2 all the letters that are in support and opposition. And then 3 also I did see, I think, this latest motion to reintroduce 5 a motion that we ruled upon last time. But I will let everything into the record so we can talk everything through. 6 7 Let's see. Unless my Board has any issues with that, and if Okay. 8 so, please raise your hand. Let's see, I'm Great. 9 going to start with -- is Mr. Tummonds there? MR. TUMMONDS: 10 Yes, I am. 11 BZA CHAIR HILL: Great. Mr. Tummonds, could you 12 introduce yourself for the record, please? 13 MR. TUMMONDS: Sure. Good morning. Paul 14 Tummonds, with the law firm of Goulston & Storrs on behalf 15 of the applicant, The Maret School. 16 BZA CHAIR HILL: Got it. And Mr. Tummonds, you 17 don't have to tell me who is there at the table with you. But who is here with you in the 18 If you want to, you can. 19 Zoom environment? 2.0 MR. TUMMONDS: Yes. So we will have three 2.1 witnesses today, but they will be coming to you from two different email addresses. The first is the Maret Team email 22 address, and that will include Marjo Talbott, head of school 23 24 at Maret, and Trey Holloway, assistant head of school, head of finance.

1	The second email address for our witnesses will
2	be Jami Milanovich, our transportation engineer. So if we
3	can bring those two email addresses in as a panelist then
4	hopefully I can shut down my computer, and we'll work it out
5	
6	BZA CHAIR HILL: No, we got you. We've got the
7	Maret Team there, and we also have Ms. Milanovich.
8	MR. TUMMONDS: Perfect.
9	BZA CHAIR HILL: So, I guess, you can do whatever
10	you want to do on your side.
11	MR. TUMMONDS: You bet.
12	BZA CHAIR HILL: Give me one second. Mr. Donohue,
13	can you hear me?
14	MR. DONOHUE: Yes, sir.
15	BZA CHAIR HILL: Can you hear me?
16	MR. DONOHUE: Yes, sir.
17	BZA CHAIR HILL: Can you introduce yourself for
18	the record, please?
19	MR. DONOHUE: Good morning, Mr. Chairman. Ed
20	Donohue on behalf of the parties in opposition, Friends of
21	the Field.
22	BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay. Great. And Mr. Donohue,
23	who is with you in this Zoom environment?
24	MR. DONOHUE: So Mr. Sherman has got the mic on
25	the Friends presentation.

1	BZA CHAIR HILL: Give me one second please because
2	I don't see Mr. Sherman. Okay. Well, we'll still look for
3	Mr. Sherman. Who else do you have, Mr. Donohue?
4	MR. DONOHUE: So Mr. Sherman will make a handoff
5	to one or two others, but he's got the wheel on that end.
6	BZA CHAIR HILL: Oh, okay. Well then let me wait
7	and see who else is here then. I see a Mr. Speck. Is that
8	correct?
9	MR. DONOHUE: He's with the ANC.
10	BZA CHAIR HILL: Commissioner?
11	MR. SPECK: Yes, Chairman Hill.
12	BZA CHAIR HILL: Could you introduce yourself for
13	the record, please, Commissioner?
14	MR. SPECK: I'm Randy Speck. I'm the Chair of ANC
15	3/4G, and I represent Single Member District ANC 3/4G-03.
16	And I'm here today with Commissioner Higgins.
17	BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay. Let's see if I see
18	Commissioner Higgins. If everybody wouldn't mind, I'm sorry,
19	just mute your mics when you're not speaking because there's
20	just it just is a pain. Let's see, Mr. Higgins, I don't
21	see. Oh, I got you. Thank you, Mr. Higgins. Mr. Higgins,
22	could you introduce oh, you're a Commissioner.
23	MR. HIGGINS: Yes, I am.
24	BZA CHAIR HILL: Commissioner, could you introduce
25	yourself for the record, please?

1	MR. HIGGINS: Yes. My name is John Higgins. I'm
2	a Commissioner with ANC 3G, Single Member District 02. And
3	the property at issue is located in my single member
4	district.
5	BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay. Great. All right. Let's
6	see, Mr. Donohue, right so. Mr. Donohue, what was the
7	gentleman's name again? I'm sorry.
8	MR. DONOHUE: Bruce Sherman.
9	BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay. Oh, there we go. Mr.
10	Sherman, can you hear me?
11	MR. SHERMAN: Yes, I can.
12	BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay. Great. Could you
13	introduce yourself for the record, please?
14	MR. SHERMAN: Yes. I'm Bruce Sherman representing
15	Friends of the Field, resident at 5829 Nebraska Avenue.
16	BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay. Great. Thank you.
17	Welcome, Mr. Sherman.
18	MR. SHERMAN: Thank you.
19	BZA CHAIR HILL: Mr. Sherman, who is here with you
20	today in the Zoom environment if you can see the field. I
21	don't know.
22	MR. SHERMAN: I can't see the field, but I can
23	tell you who will be joining me in the presentation.
24	BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay. If you could tell me,
25	please?

1	MR. SHERMAN: Yes. The presentation will feature
2	a number of the members of Friends of the Field and three
3	outside experts in addition to Mr. Donohue, our legal
4	counsel.
5	So the members of Friends of the Field that will
6	be presenting at different points of the presentation include
7	David Patton, Claudia Russell, Tom Downs, Jane Sherman, my
8	wife. And then outside, we have three expert witnesses.
9	Would you like me to name them?
10	BZA CHAIR HILL: Yes, please.
11	MR. SHERMAN: Kyla Bennett, Diana Conway and
12	Martin Bream.
13	BZA CHAIR HILL: Brame?
14	MR. SHERMAN: Bream, B-R-E-A-M. Mm-hmm.
15	BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay. And when you say expert,
16	what do you mean? Like, did you file for expert status for
17	these people?
18	MR. DONOHUE: We're going to present their resumes
19	when our presentation is called, Mr. Chair.
20	BZA CHAIR HILL: Mr. Donohue, say that again. I'm
21	sorry.
22	MR. DONOHUE: We're going to
23	BZA CHAIR HILL: Go ahead and try again.
24	MR. SHERMAN: We're going to present their resumes
25	when our part of the presentation is called.

BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay. So really you needed --1 and, again, I don't -- the whole expert status thing, just 2 3 to let you know, Mr. Donohue -- Mr. Donohue, you presented before us in general, like, we're not a real court. 5 know, we're quasi-judicial here. And so I don't like to get into great detail about expert witnesses, et cetera, because 6 7 we hear from everyone, and we take into consideration their background and their testimony. 8 9 However, you were supposed to apply for expert 10 status ahead of time so that the other party can see who you 11 are claiming is an expert. And so you haven't done that. 12 So really what that's done for me is your people are welcome 13 to testify. Whether or not they are going to be called "experts" by us is unlikely at this point. However, you know 14 15 you're welcome to go ahead and, you know, give their 16 testimony as you would have anyway. And the Board is able 17 to weigh their testimony. So just to let you know. 18 MR. DONOHUE: We're aware. 19 BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay. So that's that part. 20 Let's see. 2.1 MR. MOY: Mr. Chair? Mr. Chair? 22 Who is speaking? BZA CHAIR HILL: Oh, Mr. Moy. 2.3 This is Cliff. Just I don't want to MR. MOY: 24 complicate matters for you, but I just want to --

that when you get to the point of

reminds me

testimony, okay, that staff reminds me that apparently in 1 addition to the two ANC chairs who have just introduced 2 3 themselves because they are in the affected ANC. Apparently, we have three other chairs in other ANCs. So I just want to 5 put that under your clipboard for later. Three other commissioners you 6 BZA CHAIR HILL: 7 mean? 8 MR. MOY: Yes, from other ANCs that are not the 9 affected ANCs these that just introduced of two have 10 themselves. So I just want to put that one your record. That's fine. 11 BZA CHAIR HILL: 12 MR. MOY: On your clipboard. 13 BZA CHAIR HILL: That's fine. Thank you. Actually, and I quess I can ask legal. I thought that if 14 15 people are here testifying as members of the public, they 16 still get three minutes as members of the public. And so 17 that's where I quess we would take those commissioners' testimony. And I'll let legal chew on that, and they can get 18 back to me if there's an issue. 19 All right. 20 Let's see now. Oh, so there was one 2.1 more, I quess, filing. And I'm now going to speak to the 22 Board about -- let me click through this record. Got it.

if we could postpone this hearing. And we did address these

So the filing was again to try to -- or it was to ask

Donohue, on the previous transcript in

issues, Mr.

23

previous time that we walked through this.

2.0

2.1

So this is a self-certified application. The applicant has the burden to explain to us why they believe they are meeting the criteria for which we can, you know, approve or deny this.

I read through your motion. And I believe that the Board has also read through the motion. And I'm not in favor of granting the motion. I think we've addressed all those issues previously. And I'm just looking for my Board members. I'm not asking for anybody's input. And so I know that they've seen that. You all have seen that.

And so, I guess -- and I think, I don't know if, Chairman Hood, you were on when we spoke about this before. But it's not so much even -- and I want to be clear, it's not so much even that we have a timing issue with our calendar. I just believe that this is the appropriate time for us to hear the case.

And if we, the Board, have any issues, and the party in opposition is welcome to bring up any issues they believe are outstanding, and they can in their presentation, and if we the Board think at that time that we need to either have a continued hearing or have an opportunity to wait for more information, we can go ahead and do that at that time.

And so I'm looking at my fellow Board members, which happen to be across the screen, which is so convenient

for me right now, to see if you all have any issues with that and if so, raise your hand. I'm going to do it that way.

Okay. Chairman Hood, you have a comment?

ZC CHAIR HOOD: Due to the fact that I was not necessarily involved, but I have reviewed, which you all did previously, but was the Office of -- and I know you want to get there. But I also notice in Mr. Donohue's submission to us on the 8th that he mentions the Office of Attorney General's submission, which as everyone knows they used to be our counsel for many years. They also are saying some things, and I don't know if we want to get to that. We need to get to that.

But what I'm trying to garner is that the opposition is doing some things with BEGA. And I'm glad to Mr. Donohue, that you all have included the OAG's submission today because I really want to see what that ruling is. Because even though I'm from OAG, I have a lot of respect for our former counsel. They've counseled me for But I notice now how the -- I don't necessarily agree with the approach, but I do agree with the intent.

So when I read that letter, I want to make sure, and I'm sure our counsel, our Office of Zoning Legal Division, has examined this letter. But I want to make sure we are not crossing over into Zoning Commission territory, which is being alluded to by the Office of Attorney General,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

2.3

which is very different than other jurisdictions.

2.0

2.1

You know, the citizens need their ombudsman, I agree. But I don't know if this is the right format. But I think the intent, like I said, is just. And I read the letter. And I want to make sure we're not going down those lines.

So I'm not sure where you are, Mr. Chairman. But I will follow the lead of the Board. But I do know that that is on my radar. And, Mr. Donohue, I would be interested to see whatever comes out of BEBGA because I mean you added the OAG letter to your letter to us. And I'll leave it at that. Thank you.

MR. DONOHUE: Certainly.

BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay. Chairman Hood. So before I move on to my other fellow Board members, I mean, Chairman Hood, we discussed postponing this a little while ago when Mr. Donohue -- when the counselor had submitted something to our -- a postponement request. And the issues with BEGA are things that are kind of outside of this Board's purview, meaning it has nothing to do with us. Whatever happens with BEGA is what's going to happen with BEGA.

I just want to have the applicant have the opportunity to hear her case. And then if we have any questions, or if you, Chairman Hood, or any of the Board members as we're going through this would like to continue

this, then, you know, we're welcome to do it at that point.
That's kind of my suggestion at this time.

ZC CHAIR HOOD: So, Mr. Chairman, maybe I'm missing the boat. The letter that I'm talking about is from the Office of the Attorney General which is dated March the 8th. And I don't think you all discussed that February 22 because it's dated March the 8th.

BZA CHAIR HILL: There were two things that I'm talking about. But the one with the Attorney General's letter, I guess, Mr. Donohue can speak to that, and we can go ahead and, you know, have that discussion as we go through the hearing as well as I guess the applicant can speak to that as we go through the hearing.

Mr. Donohue -- I want to speak to this because basically what the Attorney General is alleging is that basically this whole situation is out of scope of the Board of Zoning Adjustment. That's what they are alleging. So I want to make sure -- I just need somebody of a legal mind to give me the correct interpretation. How do we do that? We need to do that sooner than later because that's the alleged that they're making. So I'll just leave it at that and follow everybody's lead. But that is a concern of mine.

BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay. Well, Chairman Hood, we'll have an opportunity, I guess, to discuss that as we go

2.0

2.1

through the hearing. So Mr. Smith and Mr. Blake, do you have any issues with what I'm proposing?

MEMBER SMITH: No, I don't have any issues.

MEMBER BLAKE: I don't have any issues either.

BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay. All right. So I'm going to continue to deny the motion to postpone this hearing. And we'll go ahead and have the hearing and hear what the applicant has to say as well as the party in opposition.

So, Mr. Donohue, as you probably know, what this is is this is a hearing that is -- I'm sorry. This is an application that's before us that has been brought by the applicant. And they have the responsibility to argue whether or not they meet the criteria for us to grant this application, right? And so that's what they're going to do.

You, as the party in opposition people, you have the same amount of time to present your case, right? So basically what I'm charged with and the Board is charged with is having a fair hearing in which everybody has the same amount of time.

And so what that also means is that I'm not trying to get the applicant to rush through their application so that you get 20 minutes or 10 minutes or whatever it is. But what I'm trying to say is everyone is supposed to have a balanced amount of time as you know, Mr. Donohue, because you've been with us before, right? And so I'm just kind of

2.0

2.1

letting you know that that's my -- how I'm going to try and manage this as we move forward.

And then in terms of your witnesses, and I'll give you a chance to respond, Mr. Donohue, and then I guess I'll give Mr. Tummonds a chance to respond also that -- and I guess I'll start with Mr. Tummonds as far as Mr. Tummonds, if you do understand everything I'm saying in terms of the time.

There is a long list of people that are here in support. And there's also a long list of people that are here in opposition. So what I'm trying to point out to you, Mr. Tummonds and Mr. Donohue is that I'm not -- the Board is not looking for repetitive testimony, meaning that, you know, whoever you're going to call for your witnesses, go ahead and call for your witnesses.

Those witnesses will now -- we're not interested in hearing again the same testimony from them in the open forum, which when we'll hear from the public. I'm just trying to point out some time constraints here also because the Board by the way has two more hearings after this that are also going to be quite lengthy. And so we're just trying to get through the day in a way that's efficient for everyone, including our citizens here, which I'm one of them.

Okay. Mr. Tummonds, do you understand everything I just said?

2.0

2.1

1	MR. TUMMONDS: One hundred percent, and we have
2	informed the people who have testified people who have
3	testified in support of that very some guidance. And we
4	are fully confident that they will not provide repetitive
5	testimony.
6	BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay. Mr. Donohue, do you
7	understand or have any questions?
8	MR. DONOHUE: No, Mr. Chairman, if you would allow
9	me a quick point of clarification.
10	BZA CHAIR HILL: Sure. Go ahead.
11	MR. DONOHUE: I submitted the brief letter
12	yesterday. And I'm not going to argue the point you've
13	denied the motion. But I wanted you to be aware of two late
14	filings, two late developments, one on BEGA and the other on
15	the AG's opinion.
16	And the AG's opinion questions whether this is the
17	proper vehicle for the Board to be hearing. Whether the
18	special exception is appropriate, the AG's opinion is that
19	it's not. So I just wanted you to be aware of that. That's
20	the reason for the submission.
21	But in terms of your direction to the party in
22	opposition, we will certainly conduct ourselves
23	appropriately, and we will be efficient in our time. And I
24	think you're going to see a good presentation this afternoon.
25	BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay. Great, Mr. Donohue. I

1	appreciate that. And I actually am going to talk to this AG
2	letter now for a moment. I mean, I would like to hear what
3	you're obviously going to hear what we have to say. I've
4	been here for well, I'm a little I guess we'll have an
5	opportunity to hear what AG has to say, right?
6	The Zoning Administrator is also a person who we
7	listen to. The Office of Planning is who we listen to. The
8	Office of Planning is who we listen to. The ANCs are who we
9	listen to. All the different agencies are who we listen to.
10	This is a little bit unique that I'm getting
11	something from the AG in this particular situation. However,
12	I would like to hear what both of you both the applicant
13	and the party in opposition have to say about it. So we will
14	go ahead and go through this hearing now.
15	Let's see, Mr. Tummonds, can you hear me?
16	MR. TUMMONDS: Yes.
17	BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay. Mr. Tummonds, I'm going
18	to start the clock there. Mr. Young, can you hear me? And,
19	Mr. Tummonds, there's like an echo of some kind. I don't
20	know why. Maybe one of your computers is one or something.
21	And maybe that's when
22	MR. TUMMONDS: Is that better? No echo?
23	BZA CHAIR HILL: Yes. That's better. Thank you.
24	Well, it was better. It only happens when I talk. I won't
25	talk much. Okay. So, Mr. Tummonds, I'm going to go ahead

1	and start the clock. I have 15 minutes on the clock just so
2	I know where we are. And you can begin whenever you like.
3	MR. TUMMONDS: Perfect. If Mr. Young could pull
4	up our PowerPoint presentation.
5	BZA CHAIR HILL: Do you know which exhibit that's
6	in? Oh, is it the one in 262?
7	MR. TUMMONDS: Yes.
8	BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay. Thank you.
9	MR. MOY: Mr. Chairman?
10	BZA CHAIR HILL: Yes.
11	MR. MOY: Before you begin this is Cliff. Do you
12	want the clock
13	BZA CHAIR HILL: Could you mute your microphone
14	for a second, Mr. Tummonds? Thank you. Mr. Moy?
15	MR. MOY: Would you like Mr. Young to switch the
16	clock to count upwards or do you want to count down from 15?
17	BZA CHAIR HILL: No, that would be wonderful if
18	Mr. Young can do that. I didn't know he could do that.
19	Okay. Great. All right. Mr. Tummonds, you can again begin
20	whenever you like. And I'm going to mute myself.
21	MR. TUMMONDS: Great. Thank you. Good morning.
22	As noted before, I am Paul Tummonds from Goulston & Storrs.
23	On behalf of The Maret School, we are here today requesting
24	special exception relief to permit principal private school
25	use in the R-1-B Zone and special exception relief to look

at the parking spaces for the private school use adjacent to the curb cut, which recently received Public Space Committee conceptual design approval along the property's Nebraska Avenue frontage.

In response to the arguments of the Friends of the Field and the Attorney General that the applicant has not requested the appropriate relief for the private school use on the property, the applicant reiterates the information that we provided on Pages 2 and 3 of Exhibit 203 of the record, which was our response to the initial request for postponement.

This information addresses the appropriateness of the special exception relief that has been requested and that the proposed athletic facilities are correctly deemed to be principal private school use.

The Zoning Administrator has confirmed via email that the proposed athletic facility meets the definition of "education, private use" and that the appropriate relief for this use would be a special exception. We will submit for the record this email confirmation that was received yesterday.

In response to the recent filings from the Friends the Field and OAG regarding this issue we note following. First, interpretation our of the regulations definition of "education, private use" is appropriate and consistent with fundamental principles of

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

statutory construction and the plain language of that definition.

Second, with regard to the hours of non-Maret use of the athletic facilities, please note that Condition Number 3 of the ANC resolution states, "the athletic fields are to be used primarily by Maret to support its athletic programs and any leased use of the fields to a youth sports group or other non-Maret summer camps shall not exceed the time of Maret's use in any calendar year." The applicant has agreed to this condition as well as all the other conditions in the ANC resolution.

Finally, the DC Court of Appeals decision in the NCS case, which is what was cited to in Exhibit 203, did not overturn the BZA's decision regarding an athletic facility deemed to be a private school use.

The BZA's decision in the NCS case is still good precedent as to what would be considered a principal private school use. As a reminder in the NCS case, the BZA noted, "the Board concludes that the athletic facilities are an extension of the principal use. Athletics is a form of education and thus the athletic facilities are educational facilities."

It therefore follows that the applicant need only meet the standard for a private school special exception.

The statement made by the Friends of the Field that the court

2.0

2.1

2.3

is being -- the DC Court of Appeals held that the principal use argument alone was insufficient to establish the special exception and that an athletics facility must satisfy the requirements of an accessory use is blatantly false and entirely misleading.

The only reference in the DC Court of Appeals decision as to the principal use issue is the following. "Specifically, the BZA found that the facility constitutes either an extension of the principal use of the school or an accessory use."

Because the Board's finding that an accessory use is sustainable, we need not consider whether the facility is reasonably characterized as an extension of the principal use.

For these reasons, we ask that the Board reject the arguments of the Friends of the Field and OAG, and confirm that the applicant's position, supported by the Zoning Administrator that the proposed special exception relief requested by the applicant is appropriate.

The applicant's burden of proof is to demonstrate in its special assessment application, in this special exception application, that the athletic facilities that are proposed will have no objectionable impacts, a lack of undue adverse impacts on nearby property due to noise, traffic, number of students or other objectionable conditions.

2.0

2.1

Maret has diligently listened, responded, and revised the plans for the athletic facilities and the parking area and has reduced the intensity and the use of the fields in response to the concerns raised by members of the community, Friends of the Field and ANC 3/4G. All of these changes have been done to mitigate the potential impacts of the athletic fields and ensure there are no objectionable conditions on the neighboring properties.

As shown in the applicant's initial statement, its pre-hearing statement, its response to the motion to postpone and the materials we will present today, Maret has met its burden of proof for the required special exception relief.

The Office of Planning, the Department of Transportation and ANC 3/4G agree with that conclusion. In addition, over 100 letters of support of this application have been submitted into the record.

We will have three witnesses present testimony to the BZA this morning, Marjo Talbott, Trey Holloway and Jami Milanovich. I will now ask Marjo Talbott, head of school for Maret School, to present her testimony.

MS. TALBOTT: Good morning. I'm Marjo Talbott, the head of school at Maret, a position I've held for the past 28 years.

Maret is a co-educational K through 12 independent school at 3000 Cathedral Avenue Northwest in the Woodley Park

2.1

neighborhood. We have a proud history and established deep roots in the District of Columbia since our founding here in 1911.

I'm very excited to be here today to present for your review our plan to create a multipurpose playing field and a baseball diamond on the property at 5901 Utah Avenue Northwest in the Chevy Chase neighborhood, land that we have agreed to lease from the Episcopal Center for Children or ECC.

These facilities are critically important to our school's mission. In fact, athletics is one of the four essential pillars, along with academics, arts and wellness that define Maret's educational program.

True to our mission, Maret is one of the few independent schools in the DC area that require every student every year to participate in some form of physical education. In our middle and upper school, our students participate in interscholastic sports, building the physical, emotional and cognitive skills that enable them to become effective team players.

It is a requirement for graduation. And many of our alumni attend colleges where their athletic accomplishments at Maret help them in their admission's application process.

Our coaches are an essential part of our faculty,

2.1

2.3

and they teach important skills that transcend athletic competition and last a lifetime. They emphasize our core values that include fair play, self-discipline and cooperation at every grade level.

Unfortunately, our program is seriously constrained by the limited field space available at our Woodley Park Campus. Our single playing field cannot accommodate several of our high school level interscholastic sports, including football, lacrosse, soccer and baseball. For literally decades, we have sought a long-term solution to this problem. We believe that currently underutilized field space at ECC provides that solution.

Later you will hear from Stephanie Nash, ECC's President and CEO, about the amazing work that the Episcopal Center for Children has been doing for children and their families for the past 125 years.

You will also hear how these essential services have been interrupted due to financial challenges and how ECC's partnership with Maret will provide the funding needed to reopen its therapeutic school as soon as next fall, 2022.

In addition to the benefits to both ECC and Maret, our partnership with your approval, will benefit generations of District children in the years to come. By sharing the playing fields with community athletic programs, Maret hopes to help to alleviate a severe shortage of access to quality

2.1

2.3

field space in the District of Columbia.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

Finally, we believe that these fields will be great assets to the neighborhood because it will make open space that is currently fully private available to the community.

Maret is profoundly sensitive to the fact that these new fields will be located in а residential surrounding, representing change for ECC's neighbors. we have engaged in a lengthy and broadly collaborative planning process that sought to discover and incorporate in our design the perspectives, viewpoints and interests of our neighbors.

Could you show the next slide, please? As outlined here and fully detailed in our submission -- next slide, please, too, thank you. Next one. There we go. Thank you.

As outlined here and fully detailed in our submission, communication with residents of the surrounding neighborhood has been extensive and ongoing. Maret's wide ranging community engagement efforts have provided neighbors and other interested stakeholders with many ways to engage directly with our project team.

As distributed flyers and shared project materials through the mail, met in small groups with neighbors in their backyards, conducted virtual meetings to provide project

information and updates, held several office hours Q&A sessions with members of our consulting team and had countless conversations with individual neighbors by email, by telephone and in-person visits.

Our team used this enormous amount of input and feedback to shape and improve many elements of the proposal that is before you today, including site design and landscape buffers, stormwater management, traffic and parking considerations and appropriate hours of facility use.

Early in the process we launched a comprehensive project website with extensive resources about our proposals, including meeting presentations, filings and submissions and illustrative renderings. In addition, we responded to nearly 150 questions that are posted and have been submitted to our website.

At the request of neighbors, we developed a digital model that provided full 360 degree views of the proposed field from any surrounding vantage point. This model provided yet another incredibly useful tool that facilitated several thoughtful discussions and significant design changes.

I want to thank ANC 3/4G chair Randy Speck and Single Member District Commissioner John Higgins for taking a lead role on behalf of the full commission in connection with this proposal.

2.0

2.1

2.3

And, in fact, all of the ANC 3/4G's commissioners spent countless hours walking the ANC property and attending special meetings so that all parties' viewpoints would be heard. They all were extraordinarily diligent, carefully reviewing every aspect of this application and developing comprehensive conditions of approval for the Board to consider.

We deeply appreciate the Commission's unanimous recommendation of approval to the BZA. We have also worked closely with District agencies such as the Department of Transportation's Planning and Sustainability and Urban Forestry Divisions, the Office of Energy and Environment, the Office of Planning and the Public Space Committee to ensure that our proposal meets regulatory requirements and reflects district-wide planning objectives.

These agencies' support of our proposal reinforces our confidence that our plan is one that will not only meet our own institutional needs but aligns and advances broader District priorities as well.

We are truly excited about the opportunity to advance our school's stated educational mission, support ECC's important work for children and families, provide needed field access to District youth and create a community amenity for residents of the Chevy Chase neighborhood.

We very much appreciate your time and

2.0

2.1

2.3

consideration today and respectfully ask for your approval of our application.

And now Trey Holloway, Maret's assistant head of finance and operations, will discuss the details of our proposal, including the many meaningful changes that have been made in response to neighborhood input. Thank you very much. Trey?

MR. HOLLOWAY: Thank you, Marjo, for that introduction. If you could bump me ahead a slide, please.

So as Marjo just said, I'm the assistant head of school for finance and operations here at Maret. And I'm going to walk you through the nuts and bolts of our proposal here for the site, the changes we are proposing to put on the site, how that's changed over time and what we're proposing in terms of hours of use on the site.

Next slide, please. This is a bird's-eye-view from Nebraska Avenue of the project that we are proposing. I'm going to orient you quickly. So on the bottom, which you'll sometimes hear me refer to as the south of the property, is where the parking lot is. It's right there on Nebraska Avenue.

On the right-hand side, which I will refer to as east sometimes, it is 28th Street. To the north at the top it's Rittenhouse. And then on the left to the west is Utah.

So later in the presentation, I will talk about

2.0

2.1

the Rittenhouse alley, which is behind those houses on Rittenhouse and then I'll talk about the Utah alley as well, which is behind those houses that sit on Utah.

So I wanted to orient you quickly here. As Marjo mentioned, the need for Maret is for a baseball diamond and a multipurpose field. So as you move northward on the site you'll see the diamond in the field there as they sit on the site. Those are the major elements that we would propose for this.

So next slide, please. So there's a lot here on this slide. And I'm going to walk you through some small text there. But what this really speaks to is the number of changes that we've made throughout this process. That's why this is splashed in so much red. Those are all things that we have done to make changes to the proposal throughout the community engagement process both with city agencies as well as with the surrounding neighbors.

So I'll start and I will just go through and I will talk about the changes that we've made, and I'll hit on each of these boxes.

So the curb cut that's on Nebraska Avenue is now 24 feet. We've reduced that to what is the standard limit for the city. Our parking lot was previously inside of the building restriction line. The entirety of the parking lot is now outside of the building restriction line.

2.1

2.3

We have a rain garden for treating stormwater on the site. That was previously along the property line there on the right-hand side on 28th Street. We have now moved that further into the interior of the property and away from those neighbor homes.

And a little bit more on stormwater. We've committed to designing our project to the 25-year storm, to mitigate a 25-year storm. The requirements of DOEE are for a 15-year storm, but we are making this commitment in recognition of the effective management of stormwater is key to the city's environmental future.

Our field has a system of gravel and piping underneath it that will allow the stormwater that drains through the curb to be collected and deposited in the proper ways. And we've done a lot of other -- implemented a lot of the elements into our design to help deal with larger storms that the city experiences.

We significantly have enhanced the landscape buffering on the site's perimeter to provide visual and noise buffers to neighbors. I'll show you some pictures in a few minutes. But in many places we've proposed pre-mature landscaping to provide those buffers.

We'll play football on this site. We've agreed to utilize football goal posts that can be removed once our football season concludes. So the neighbors won't have a

2.0

2.1

visual site of those. They'll be up for four months of the year, and the other eight months of the year those will be down.

There will be no lights on the field. That will ensure all activity takes place during the daylight hours. We've committed to no amplified sounds on the system so no PA systems, cowbells or bullhorns, nothing other than an occasional shot clocks during lacrosse games or coaches' whistles.

We reconfigured retaining walls on this site in response to neighborhood feedback and moved them away from the alleys, specifically on Rittenhouse. The city has invested a lot of money in the Rittenhouse and Utah alleys here on this site. They're what DDOT would refer to as green alleys and so we want to make sure that those are not disturbed by what we are proposing.

We've reduced the netting that surrounds the site on the Rittenhouse alley side to 20 feet above the field level to reduce how much of that is seen by the neighbors. We've also changed the colors of the poles that will hold the netting up to be more light and neutral to help it blend into the surrounding elements.

We've relocated the scoreboard from the middle of the Rittenhouse alley to the northwest corner of the site to take advantage of the drop of the retaining walls so we can

2.0

2.1

hide that scoreboard a little bit more. We've actually reduced the height of the scoreboard twice.

Once we put a mockup out on the site. We went out physically and looked at it. We said that's too high. We reduced the height of the scoreboard. And then I went out to a neighbor's backyard because they had concerns still about the visuals of the scoreboard. And we agreed to further reduce the height of the scoreboard.

In the northwest corner of the site, which I'll show you a picture of later, we are providing a community open space of approximately 9,800 square feet. It's where we're proposing to relocate three heritage trees. That open space will be secured so that there's no access to it from the field and vice versa. The neighbors pointed out that they wouldn't want folks trying to access the field from the alley. And so we thought that that was something good we could do to secure that site.

We've also added additional mature planting so that games on the field cannot be viewed from that open space that we've created.

Storage structures on the site have been moved to the interior of the property to mitigate visual impacts for neighbors. We've done the same for our dumpsters for trash collection. There will be serviced from the parking lot and it will be further away from homes.

2.1

There's an existing curb cut currently on Nebraska Avenue that we propose to close so there are now three curb cuts on Nebraska Avenue. We've worked with the Episcopal Center to close one of those curb cuts and then utilize the new curb cut that we've proposed for both our programmatic needs and the needs that they will have so the net effect is very similar on Nebraska Avenue.

There is a pickup/drop off zone that is for buses for dropping off of kids when they come to the site and picking those kids up when they're done playing. We have moved that further down Nebraska Avenue to improve the site lines for drivers who are turning out of the parking lot. We believe that has a good impact on pedestrian safety for folks that need to cross that curb cut.

The bike rack that we're proposing for the site for 16 bicycles has been moved inside of the property line. This will encourage biking. We keep all the bikes parked on our property and not in public space.

And then the last thing is that we've agreed to upgrade the fencing along the entirety of the property. Right now most of the property has a chain link fence. We have agreed that we will install a black picket fencing on the site so to give that a more clean aesthetic look for the neighbors.

So if I wanted to take the time to go through all

2.0

2.3

of those because those are all things that we've updated and changed as we've gone through the community process with the neighborhood and with the city agency since we've started this back in the fall.

Let's go to the next slide, please. So I'm going to show you some static cuts from around the property's perimeter. The first two are from backyards on 28th Street.

We recognize that this project is not without impact on the surrounding neighbors. And we hope that these pictures will give you some insight into how we're trying to mitigate those impacts.

So what you see here is the backyard of a house that is addressed as a Nebraska Avenue home, but it kind of sits on 28th Street. So on the right-hand side I will point out to you that there is a series of retaining walls there, but they are hard to see because of the landscaping that we are proposing. So those would -- we're trying to make those retaining walls blend into the side of it.

Go to the next slide, please. So this is the house next door to the one we just looked at. Again, you will see the retaining walls, and you will see the plantings we've put there to -- we've tried to design to mitigate those visual impacts for the neighbors.

If you go to the next slide. This is the same picture but without the goal posts. So if you recall, I

2.0

2.1

mentioned that those goal posts will only be up for four months out of the year. So for eight months of the year, this will be the view from this particular backyard.

If we could go to the next slide, please. So this is from the east end of the Rittenhouse alley. What you're looking at right in front of you, this tree is a heritage tree that we're proposing to transplant and then on ground level there landscaping that we're proposing.

You also get a really good look at the black picket fence that we are proposing that sits there on top of that retaining wall. So there are neighbors whose homes look out onto this visual. And this is what we're proposing for that site.

Next slide, please. This is the 9,800 square feet of open space that I mentioned earlier in my testimony where the three heritage trees will be transplanted. We've got a four foot black picket fence here and a grass area.

In the middle of the picture there, you can see that mature landscaping that I talked about that will inhibit the view from what we're calling the parklet onto the field. That way it will discourage anyone from parking a car on Rittenhouse and trying to go to the parklet and view games or practices from that area.

Next slide, please. And this is from the Utah alley. This is further down the parklet area. So again we

2.0

2.1

continue with this mature landscape buffering to again discourage activity in the alleyway.

So next slide. These next two slides I won't spend a lot of time on. But what we've done here is we've consolidated in one place all of those plan updates that we've made that I went over on the slide with all of the red text boxes. But the point of having them here is that we wanted to have those changes all kind of in one place and categorized by issue area so that they're easy to digest and if you want to go back and read and take a look at them later, you can do so.

So we can skip ahead a couple of slides, please. Perfect. So what I'm going to talk about now is proposed hours of usage on the site. We know that this was a very important piece of our proposal. And what you'll see here is the colored parts of where Maret proposes programming for the site, whether that be Maret practices or games, leasing to youth sports organizations or in the summertime, which I'll go over, youth sports camps.

Those hours represent what is in the ANC resolution that was passed unanimously, and they were negotiated extensively with the ANC to find what was an appropriate hours of use for Maret and other organizations to use the field.

I'll point out that we've committed to no holiday

2.1

usage of the site. So anytime there's a federal holiday, there won't be folks out on the field, either Maret teams or any leasing of that.

So I'm going to take you through this a little bit here. So we've broken the usage out by season. So there's a fall season, a winter season, spring and summer and what we call preseason.

So the fall season goes from Labor Day And during that time period, we generally are proposing 3:00 to 6:00 p.m. during the week except for Wednesdays when we start at 2:00 p.m. because our academic day ends a little bit earlier. And then we propose to go until 7:00 p.m. on Fridays because we would play games. Οf course, that's dependent on the light because there's no lights on the field. So if it's dark, we can't go until 7:00 p.m., of course.

Our kids will be bussed to the site for practices and for games. And for practices, they'll be bussed back to the Maret campus where they will be picked up by their parents. So for our use here, we won't be generating any vehicular traffic other than a few coaches who would drive for our practices.

On the weekends, we would propose to have 10:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. on Saturday for either Maret games or youth sports. So in times where Maret would not have a game, we

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

would propose that we would make the field available for youth sports organizations to rent and then on Sundays we're proposing 11:00 a.m. to 3:30 p.m. for youth sports rentals.

And then during the week, you see Monday through Thursday from 6:00 p.m. to 7:00 p.m., we've also proposed some youth sports hours that would be there.

If we can go to the next slide, please. So this is the winter. In the wintertime, all that Maret is proposing in terms of use is 90 minutes of youth sports that would go from 4:00 p.m. to 5:30 p.m. No proposed weekend usage. This winter period runs from after Thanksgiving until President's Day.

Next slide, please. So this is our spring that runs from right after President's Day through the end of our year, which is approximately mid-June. It looks exactly like the fall. We have proposed the same hours of usage for Maret as well as for youth sports.

Next slide, please. This is the summertime usage that we would propose. This is from mid-June to mid-August. So from 9:00 a.m. to 3:00 p.m. during the week, we would propose that the field be made available for youth sports camps and then on the weekends from 10:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. for youth sports organizations.

Next slide, please. So this slide represents our preseason which is mid-August to Labor Day. It's when our

2.0

1	fall teams spend time before the school year gets started
2	preparing for their seasons. And so we would propose to go
3	from 8:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. during this 2-1/2 to 3 week
4	period. Again, no use on the weekends, but that use during
5	the week would allow our teams to practice as well as hold
б	scrimmages.
7	One of the things that I would point out, you see
8	a lot of light area on that. Those are times where Maret is
9	not proposing any use. We have worked with the ANC to
10	identify some times for community usage. And so those are
11	fully laid out in the agency resolution for you to take a
12	look at. But that concludes what I'm presenting right now.
13	MR. TUMMONDS: Let me just I have one follow-up
14	question for you, Mr. Holloway. Will there ever be a time
15	when both the baseball diamonds and the multipurpose field
16	are both being used?
17	MR. HOLLOWAY: No. So you can have you can't
18	play a baseball game at the same time as you're playing a
19	lacrosse game or a football game, right? So you can only do
20	one of those at any given time on the site.
21	MR. TUMMONDS: Perfect. Thank you. And we'll now
22	have our next witness, Jami Milanovich present her testimony.
23	Next slide, please.

Milanovich with Wells + Associates.

MS. MILANOVICH: Good morning. My name is Jami

24

We

are

the

transportation consultants for the Maret project.

2.0

Next slide, please. This morning I'd like to give you a brief overview of the methodology that we used in conducting our traffic study, talk a little bit about the anticipated trip generation for the athletic fields followed by our recommendations to mitigate the traffic impact of the project and then I'll wrap up with some conclusions.

Next slide, please. The comprehensive transportation review that we conducted was conducted in accordance with the DDOT guidelines and requirements. It is also consistent with industry standard practices. The study was scoped and that scope was approved by DDOT prior to beginning the study.

The study itself evaluated existing conditions, future conditions without the athletic fields. And in order to develop those future traffic forecasts, we applied a regional growth rate that was developed based on historical traffic volumes in the area.

And we also included nearby planned or approved developments. And in this case specifically we included the ECC's plans to reopen their day school program as well as offer an after school care program.

The study also evaluated future conditions with the athletic field so we layered the traffic associated with the project on top. And then by comparing the future

conditions with the project to future conditions without the project, we were able to identify any adverse traffic impacts associated with the athletic fields.

The identification of those impacts was made based on DDOT's criteria for what constitutes a significant impact. Based on those impacts, then we were able to recommend improvements for mitigation. And those improvements were made based on feedback through many meetings with the ANC and the community as well as feedback from DDOT.

Next slide, please. In terms of our trip generation, we analyzed two different peak hours. First the weekday p.m. peak hour was based on a scenario where we had a typical Maret game with spectators, that includes soccer, lacrosse or baseball games, followed immediately by the outside rental of the facility.

The Saturday peak hour analysis included a scenario where we had back-to-back youth sports games. And so we took into account the overlap between traffic exiting one game and traffic arriving for the next game as part of that Saturday peak hour analysis.

Next slide, please. This table shows the vehicular trip generation for the project for both the p.m. peak hour and the Saturday peak hour.

Next slide, please. So in the first line you can see the vehicular trip generation for a soccer game. I would

2.1

note that baseball and lacrosse have similar trip generation numbers. This assumes that Maret spectators leave the game during the same period that parents are dropping off children for the rental use immediately following the game.

You will note that there is a zero in the inbound column. That's because the start of the Maret soccer game occurs before the p.m. peak hour.

Next slide, please. The second line shows the buses for the Maret soccer game. So one bus for the Maret team, one bus for the visiting team.

Next slide, please. And the third row shows the trips associated with the outside rental. So, again, those inbound trips are occurring in roughly the same time period that trips leaving the Maret soccer game occur. And we did also assume that just 10 parents wait for their children while the remainder of the trips drop off their children. So those 23 trips are coming in and leaving in a relatively short time period.

Next slide, please. And for the Saturday peak hour, you can see here that we've estimated the trips based on an overlap between parents and spectators that are exiting one game while parents and spectators are arriving for the next game.

Next slide, please. So we took that trip generation and distributed it among the study area. You can

2.0

see the study area here. We selected four intersections. This was done in consultation with DDOT during the scoping process. And the intersections in the study area were selected based on those intersections that potentially could be impacted by the proposed project.

Next slide, please. We did identify a couple of impacts. The first is at the intersection of Utah Avenue and Nebraska Avenue. Here we analyzed a change in the cycling and found that if we increased the cycle length of the traffic length of the traffic signal with that intersection from just 50 seconds to 60 seconds, that would better accommodate the volume of traffic at the intersection.

And I also think it's important to note that that change in cycle length would provide levels of service under future conditions that would be better than current conditions. Also it's worth noting that the cycle length of 50 seconds at this intersection is very, very short. Just as a point of reference, generally the prevailing cycle length in DC is about 100 seconds.

So this one is obviously very short. It makes it difficult to accommodate the volumes that are present there. And I would note that our recommendation to improve that from 50 to 60 seconds is consistent with recommendations from the National Association of City Transportation Officials that says cycle length in urban conditions should be between 60

2.0

2.1

2.3

and 90 seconds. So, you know, a minor adjustment that has some fairly substantial improvements at that intersection.

Next slide, please. The second location where we identified an impact was at the intersection of 27th Street and Military Road. We found that if we were to add capacity at this intersection, it would mitigate the impact of the project. However, in order to add capacity, we would need to remove on-street parking on the southbound approach of 27th Street as well as the eastbound approach of Military Road.

We know that adding vehicular capacity does not always align with DDOT's goals and policies because adding vehicular capacity can at times have a negative impact on pedestrians and bicycles. We also know that removing onstreet parking is not always a popular position with residents in the area.

And so oftentimes what we do, and what we've done in this case, is look for other non-auto infrastructure or safety improvements to implement in lieu of improving or adding capacity. And the idea there is that those non-auto improvements encourage not only people traveling to and from the project to use non-auto modes of transportation but also encourages other people traveling through the area to do so as well and has the result of reducing vehicular traffic in the area.

2.0

2.1

Next slide, please. So we developed a list of non-auto infrastructure and safety improvements, again taking into account the feedback that we heard through our many meetings through the community and the ANC. And I'm happy to say that we have agreed to all of the conditions that DDOT proposed in their report.

So those non-auto improvements include a new 19-dock Capital Bikeshare Station in the area, the installation of eight bike racks onsite, which can accommodate up to 16 parked bicycles to encourage people coming to the athletic fields to bike if they're able to do so.

It includes the establishment of a bus zone on Nebraska Avenue to accommodate buses dropping off Maret teams as well as visiting teams. Maret has also agreed to install curb extensions or other pedestrian safety countermeasures that DDOT may deem appropriate at the intersection of Utah and Nebraska Avenues.

The construction cost there would be capped at \$70,000 but that does not include the design and permit fees that Maret would incur in designing and implementing those improvements.

Maret has also agreed to install rapid flashing beacons, missing curb ramps, high visibility crosswalks and pedestrian signage at the Nebraska Avenue-28th Street intersection.

2.0

2.1

Next slide, please. Maret has also agreed to install two curb extensions at the site driveway intersection with Nebraska Avenue. They've agreed to upgrade crosswalks to high visibility crosswalks at three intersections along Nebraska Avenue. And they've agreed to install missing school zone signs and ensure any existing school zone signs are visible. And finally they've agreed to improvement a comprehensive Transportation Management Plan.

Next slide, please. That Transportation Management Plan includes provisions for non-auto travel. All Maret and visiting team students and coaches will be required to travel to and from the athletic fields by bus for practices and games. I note that there are a few very limited exemptions, which I've listed here. In the interest of time, I won't read each of those, but they are very limited in nature.

Next slide, please. The TMP also includes operations management strategies. This is to help manage traffic coming to and from the project. Maret is required to provide a flagger in the parking lot for situations where the parking lot is expected to reach capacity.

The purpose of that flagger is to help direct traffic to open parking spaces. Maret is also required to provide a traffic control officer when there are more than 75 spectators anticipated. That traffic control officer will

2.0

2.1

be stationed at the new driveway where it intersects Nebraska Avenue and will help direct traffic into and out of the driveway.

The operations management piece also requires limitations on times for trash pickup and requires a 30 minute gap between the end of one game and the scheduled beginning of the next game and that's in order to give the parking lot time to empty out between games.

Next slide, please. Maret is also required to provide notification to Maret parents, visiting teams and all of the outside users of the field, reminding them that if the parking lot is full and they must park on the street, they must do so in legal spaces. They are not allowed to block driveways or park in alleys.

When Maret is notified of illegally parked vehicles, they must take prompt action to find the vehicle owner and request that the vehicle be moved. Maret is also required to coordinate with St. John's High School to avoid scheduling home games at the same time.

Next slide, please. At the request of the ANC, Maret did agree to a monitoring plan. That monitoring plan requires them to measure the number of trips during both the p.m. and Saturday peak hours two times per year.

If the trip generated by the athletic field exceeds that used in the CTR, Maret must identify and take

2.1

steps to reduce the number of trips. That biannual monitoring must be conducted until the number of trips is at or below the trip generation identified in the CTR for at least two consecutive years. The results of the monitoring must be submitted to the ANC.

Next slide, please. The monitoring plan also requires Maret to monitor the on-street parking in the neighborhood. Again, it must be done two times per year and must be done in situations where the parking lot is at or near capacity.

If the on-street parking occupancy in the neighborhood exceeds 70 percent, Maret must identify steps and take steps to reduce the number of vehicles parked on nearby streets. That biannual monitoring must be conducted for three years. The results then must be submitted to the ANC.

Next slide, please. And to conclude, again, Maret has agreed to all of DDOT's proposed conditions. And we're pleased to have their support of the project. The traffic impact associated with the project can be mitigated through implementing a series of improvements, including significant pedestrian safety improvements. And I would note that's the biggest feedback that we received from the community. much interest in providing pedestrian was safety improvements. So we've done that.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

It also includes non-auto infrastructure improvements by way of a new Capital Bikeshare Station. And it includes a comprehensive Transportation Management Plan, which includes provisions for non-auto travel, the management of traffic to and from the site and a monitoring plan to evaluate the effectiveness of the TMP. And with that, I'll turn it back over to Trey Holloway to wrap things up.

MR. HOLLOWAY: Thanks, Jami.

Can we go to the next slide, please? Actually, bump me ahead one more, please.

So cases like this often come with conditions of approval in the form of an ANC resolution, and this case is no different. I want to review those with you quickly. Just like our proposal for the appeal, these were extensively negotiated. We've agreed to them fully. And the ANC's resolution includes all of these.

Next -- oh, we're on the slide. Sorry. Stay here.

So, quickly, goalposts only up for four months a year, which is during the football season; new black picket fence surrounding the site; height limits on the perimeter netting; proper maintenance of the landscape buffer that we'll install; compliance with our Tree Relocation Plan as approved by DDOT Urban Forestry; and the use of a natural-based infill in the field, so not a rubber infill.

2.0

2.1

Next slide, please.

2.0

2.3

Bleachers will be placed to minimize the visual impacts on neighbors. There will be ten years' worth of annual reporting on our stormwater management system; no lights on the field other than security lighting; the scoreboard no more than 20 feet from the field level, but we're on the record here today, and as I've said, we've reduced that height twice, and we can go down to 17 feet on that scoreboard.

Next slide, please.

Again, no amplified sound on the site and no music, no speakers, no marching band. Nothing other than the occasional coach's whistle or the shop clocks during the lacrosse games. Community access time, as well as dedicated time at the fields, could be used by other city educational organizations.

Next slide.

The field will be secured at all times when it's dark. Given that there are no lights, there's no need to have it unsecured when it's dark. Negotiation with DPR to end Maret's preferred permitting agreement at the Jelleff Field once this field is up and running. No alcohol on the site. Field-house building will be locked except when the field is scheduled for use. No access to the site from the surrounding alleys on Utah or Rittenhouse.

Next slide.

Jami just covered all of these with you, but essentially, the ANC agreement calls for us to comply with our traffic management plan -- transportation management plan.

Next slide, please.

So construction management was a big piece of this to ensure that the construction does not become a nuisance for the neighborhood. So we're going to have a project point of contact as well as a web page. We'll perform surveys on the homes that sit on the perimeter of the property in advance of construction so that we all have a good idea going in of the condition of those homes.

We'll have a traffic and parking plan for the construction period to mitigate impacts of contractor parking on streets; limited hours of construction from 7:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. during the week and 9:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. on Saturday, with no construction on Sundays; creation of a plan for dealing with noise and construction waste; and there will be a Project Task Force created by the ANC, and the conditions require us to work with that task force on any issues that may arise.

And with that, I'm going to close my portion and hand it back to Paul Tummonds.

MR. TUMMONDS: Thank you very much. That

concludes our presentation. We're available to answer any 1 2 questions that you may have. 3 BZA CHAIR HILL: Thank you. Thank Okay. Great. 4 you for your presentation. Okay. So I got you at 45 minutes 5 here in terms of how long that took you guys. I'm going to see -- I mean, the Board, 6 Let's see. 7 I know, is going to have a lot of questions, and I know we're 8 going to hear from a lot of different people. You all can 9 I'm going to kind of wait and get do it any way you want. 10 my questions as we kind of go through this. But at this point, is there anything that the 11 12 Board would like to ask of the Applicant? And if so, please raise your hand. 13 14 Chairman Hood? ZC CHAIR HOOD: Yes. First of all, good afternoon 15 to everyone. Let me ask -- I don't know, either Maret or Mr. 16 17 Tummonds, did Maret -- see, I hear an echo too. Did Maret finish their obligation to Dwight Mosley Field here 18 19 Northeast Washington, D.C.? 20 MS. MILANOVICH: Could you repeat the question? 2.1 ZC CHAIR HOOD: Did Maret finish their obligation 22 to using the field at Dwight Mosley Field off of South Dakota 23 Avenue here in Northeast D.C.? 24 TUMMONDS: Co-Chair Hood, I think we're not aware of an obligation to do that with Dwight Mosley Field,

but that's something we should look into and provide for the record.

ZC CHAIR HOOD: I want you to look into that. I was intimately involved with that years ago, and I just wondered what kind of track record you had. I know Maret wanted to use the field. I think it was stopped, but you all used it anyway. And I just wanted to know how that went. So that helps me to know what kind of track record Maret has. And this probably predates the people you have at the table because this was back in 19 -- probably roughly around '98,'96.

Mr. Tummonds, you mentioned some case law. I'm always concerned when our former counsel, the Office of Attorney General now, submits -- I work with them. I know their work style, and I think that they would not have submitted that letter if there was not any validity. I'm not saying I agree with them.

But you cited some case law, and I don't know -did you put that in the record? If you did, forgive me
because I overlooked it. I don't know if it's in your
statement or where, but you cited a whole case about how a
facility working with the courts have already ruled on
certain things that have been brought up in this case.

MR. TUMMONDS: Sure. So it was not in the OAG letter that referred to -- so okay. We'll take a step back.

2.0

2.1

The National Cathedral School case from 2000, the BZA approval for the new NCS facility was approved by the BZA in Case Number 16433. Subsequent to that approval, it was appealed to the D.C. Court of Appeals in -- I'll get that cite for you.

ZC CHAIR HOOD: That's okay --

(Simultaneous speaking.)

MR. TUMMONDS: So there was a Court of Appeals case. The OAG letter didn't refer to the Court of Appeals case in depth that the Friends of the Field submission did. I'm taking issue with how the Friends of the Field submission responded to what that Court actually said.

And basically, the gist of it is -- and I'll try to paraphrase it -- in the MCS case, the BZA had (inaudible) to say, okay, this educational facility can either be deemed to be a principal use or an accessory use. In that case, they said, we can find that an athletic facility is a principal use. Athletics are a core part of an educational facility, so we find that it meets that standard.

In addition, in that case, we find that that facility also meets the accessory-use standard. When it went up to the Court of Appeals, the Court of Appeals said, we find that the BZA's decision to determine that it met the accessory standard was sufficient, so we're not even going in to determine whether or not the BZA was correct when it

2.0

2.1

2.3

deemed it to be a principle use.

2.0

2.1

So, therefore, our arguments, our position, is that that is still good precedential value for this BZA to continue to abide by the same idea that an educational facility is a -- consists of athletic facilities. And so I think (inaudible) my -- the -- what I was calling out was the statements of the Friends of the Field, not the Office of the Attorney General later.

ZC CHAIR HOOD: Okay. So let's move on. Mr. Tummonds, thank you for that. If that's not in the record, could you provide that?

MR. TUMMONDS: I can provide a copy of the D.C.

Court of Appeals --

(Simultaneous speaking.)

ZC CHAIR HOOD: Court of Appeals. I would like to have that. Also -- and specifically -- and I'm reading this straight from the OAG letter, which I think brings up another attribute. The off-campus athletic facility does not qualify as a private school personal use eligible for a special exception in the R-1-B zone because an off-campus athletic facility is not located on the same premises as ordinary school campus -- so here's the thing.

At the bottom of page 1 of the OAG submission and at the top -- and they have their searches. I don't know -- I would ask the Chairman -- through the Chairman to move Mr.

Tummonds respond to that unless you already have. I just didn't see it.

But also, I'm in the mindset of really trying to figure out the path forward. And it would be interesting — I'll just say it would be interesting if this was to go to court and the OAG — not the part of OAG who submitted this to us, the other part of OAG who would defend the Board of Zoning Adjustment in Court. It would be interesting to see where we fall now because here's the thing. I want to make sure that the sections that the Office of Attorney General (inaudible) section, that we're not overstepping the bounds of the Zoning Commission.

That's why those two Zoning Commission members are here, and that's basically what (inaudible). So if you could respond to that, and I'm sure our legal counsel will help me to try to understand that clearly as we move forward.

MR. TUMMONDS: Yes. I can absolutely put in a post-hearing submission basically the discussion I had in my opening statement. And I have all in one place the reference that we previously decided previously addressed this.

But I would note, too, that it's not -- I mean, we believe that this is the appropriate relief. The Zoning Administrator believes that this is appropriate relief. The Office of Planning believes that this is the appropriate relief. So I think that -- and again, it will be addressed

2.1

2.3

post-hearing submission, 1 detail in BZA's our 2 precedent. 3 Okay. (inaudible) ZC CHAIR HOOD: Ι'm just 4 counsel who has virtually advised us to move this now on 5 another side and send you something back, send you something So thank you, Mr. Tummonds. And do me a favor. different. 6 7 When you submit that, please take my advice. Don't give us 8 the wrong legislative issue. If you can put a sound bite, 9 that would be very helpful. That's all I have 10 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 11 for now. Thanks, Chairman 12 BZA CHAIR HILL: All right. Hood. 13 14 Mr. Smith, you had your hand up again? 15 MEMBER SMITH: Yeah. I did have my hand up, but 16 I think Mr. Hood sufficiently asked my question because I am 17 -- like him share the same concern. And I get that they --OAG has been our long-term counsel, and I understand that 18 things have changed a little bit. But I do share some of 19 20 their same concerns. 2.1 So I welcome what Chairman Hood was saying, that 22 I would like to see the Maret team respond to the OAG's 23 comments regarding whether this is an allowable use within 24 I get the statement about precedent. I completely the zone. understand that. But also, that case that you cited was from

1999, which did predate the current zoning regulations. 1 2 So I would like to see a more fully thought-out response to OAG's position that this is not an allowable use 3 4 within the zone, and you would have to seek a variance. 5 MR. TUMMONDS: Perfect. Absolutely. We'll address that, and I would note, Commissioner Blake, the 2016 6 7 zoning regulations --8 MEMBER SMITH: Smith. 9 Commissioner Smith. TUMMONDS: I'm sorry. 10 I'm sorry. I (inaudible). The 2016 zoning regulations provide definitions that are even more clear that allow this 11 12 use to be deemed to be a private school use, a, in parlance of the 2016 zoning regulations and education, private use. 13 So I think that is even more of a background to why this is 14 15 appropriate. And I think, also, just as I noted in my opening 16 17 statement, I will include the email confirmation from the Zoning Administrator that this is the proper relief. 18 And, also, there is also the other component that the Office of 19 2.0 Zoning Legal Division, your counsel, counsel to the BZA, will 2.1 also be providing, I would assume, their opinion on this 22 issue. 23 ZC CHAIR HOOD: Okay. Thank you. 24 BZA CHAIR HILL: Mr. Blake, do you have questions?

1	MEMBER BLAKE: I don't have any questions.
2	BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay.
3	Mr. Donohue, can you hear me?
4	MR. DONOHUE: Yes, I can.
5	BZA CHAIR HILL: Great. So I'm actually going to
6	lose I actually have the DDOT with us, but they have to
7	leave at 1:00. But what I'd like to do is go ahead and just
8	do you have any questions for the Applicant on their
9	presentation?
10	MR. DONOHUE: So is pardon me. Is the
11	suggestion to hear from DDOT now, and then the questions?
12	BZA CHAIR HILL: It depends on how many questions
13	you think you might have.
14	MR. DONOHUE: I think it might be helpful to hear
15	from DDOT because we do have transportation-related
16	questions, and Ms. Milanovich has referred several times to
17	DDOT proposed conditions. So it might make sense to hear
18	from DDOT and then ask.
19	BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay. But I am also going to ask
20	another question: how many questions do you think you have?
21	MR. DONOHUE: Less than ten.
22	BZA CHAIR HILL: Less than ten questions or less
23	than ten minutes?
24	MR. DONOHUE: Less than ten questions.
25	BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay. All right. Okay. Let's

1	see.
2	All right. Mr. Moy, do you have DDOT there?
3	MR. MOY: Yes. She's on the screen now.
4	BZA CHAIR HILL: Oh. Great.
5	Ms. Blondin?
6	MS. BLONDIN: Blondin.
7	BZA CHAIR HILL: Blondin. Can you introduce
8	yourself for the record, please?
9	MS. BLONDIN: Sure. My name is Emma Blondin, and
10	I am a transportation planner with DDOT.
11	BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay. Ms. Blondin, I know we
12	have your report here. Could you just kind of summarize it
13	a little bit? And then we're
14	MS. BLONDIN: Yeah.
15	BZA CHAIR HILL: going to have people ask you
16	questions.
17	MS. BLONDIN: Sure. So the Applicant has
18	coordinated a lot with DDOT on the transportation impacts and
19	proposed mitigations for the Maret School athletic field.
20	They've agreed to a substantial package of non-auto
21	mitigations, including (inaudible) station, pedestrian
22	improvements at five intersections, curb extensions at the
23	site driveway, and additional bike parking.
24	The Applicant is continuing to coordinate with us
25	on public space elements, including those pedestrian

1	improvements, and will continue to do so through the public
2	space permitting. And with the agreed-to TDM and operation
3	management strategies and the pedestrian mitigations included
4	in the final order, we, DDOT, have no objection to the
5	approval of this application.
6	And I'm here to answer any questions that there
7	may be. I'll also note that we did go to an ANC meeting and
8	answered questions with the community about concerns with
9	transportation and the CTR.
10	BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay. Let's see. Just so
11	everybody knows, I'm also going to let the Commissioners ask
12	questions, as well, because the way this normally works is
13	we hear from the Applicant, we hear from all the agencies,
14	then we hear from people in opposition. And so I'm trying
15	to organize this the best I can because I know that I'm going
16	to lose DDOT at 1:00.
17	MS. BLONDIN: We appreciate it.
18	BZA CHAIR HILL: Sure. Sure. I wish, Ms.
19	Blondin, I was leaving at 1:00.
20	So let's see. Mr. Donohue, do you have questions
21	of DDOT?
22	MR. DONOHUE: Let me ask one question of DDOT.
23	There was a statement made by Ms. Milanovich that they were
24	very pleased to have accepted all of the conditions that DDOT
25	has proposed. There was also a graphic there that showed

four intersections that were -- scoped out, I believe, is the 1 word she used -- and then evaluated by Wells and submitted 2 3 Is that your understanding as well? to DDOT. 4 MS. BLONDIN: Yep. That's correct. 5 MR. DONOHUE: What is the distance between the property and the intersection of 27th and Military? 6 7 MS. BLONDIN: I'm not entirely sure, but I'm happy 8 to look up -- the way that those intersections are selected 9 are based on the trips and having them disperse in different 10 directions to make sure that we're accommodating all of the 11 intersections that need to be addressed. 12 MR. DONOHUE: All right. ask Let me 13 different way, then. Were there no intersections between the property and 27th and Military that are more appropriate to 14 15 evaluate, for example to the east of the property toward Rock 16 Creek park? 17 MS. BLONDIN: So those ones we didn't evaluate for that scoping because those are not intersections that have 18 19 vehicles basically the entrance and exit that 20 substantial amount of volume. 2.1 But as we've shown in the recommendations and the 22 conditions that the Applicant accepted, we are including 23 pedestrian improvements at some of those intersections to the 24 east to provide that pedestrian connection to Rock Creek Park

and Beach Drive, which is something that we heard a lot of

concern about at the ANC meeting, is wanting safe connections to Rock Creek Park and other pedestrian facilities.

MR. DONOHUE: Yeah. The pedestrian safety is certainly important, but I guess I'm asking now about vehicles and the real concern about vehicles gaining access to a proposed sports facility. We've heard testimony about how Maret intends to control its ingress and egress in the property, and we started to hear about buses.

I guess what I'm asking is this: we have a pretty significant traffic situation now, and we're coming out of a pandemic. We also have some pretty significant construction projects just east of the property on Oregon and in Rock Creek Park. And I guess what I'm getting at is, did DDOT not consider the impact of these many vehicles in and out of this property on intersections closer to the property than 27 and Military?

MS. BLONDIN: So we did, and I'd like to mention those projects that you're talking about -- those projects were -- because we're in a pandemic, the traffic counts that the Maret School used were from 2017, and we used adjustments to bring them up to current and then projected future for volumes actually reflect volumes. And those the SO pre-construction on those roads that you're speaking of on Oregon, as well as the closure of Beach Drive. And so the counts, we found, were sufficient and appropriate.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

	The other thing, also, is we tend to approach this
	as trying to get non-automotive mitigations to the different
	issues that are caused by potential development. And so we
	wanted to encourage because what we heard from the
	community was a lot of concern about pedestrian safety. And
	so those are the mitigations that we worked on with the
	Applicant.
	MR. DONOHUE: All right. Let me ask you this
	question. Maret is proposing a pretty aggressive schedule
	of outside use. Folks from elsewhere men's leagues,
	Montgomery Soccer, again lacrosse, et cetera there are
	going to be users of this field unrelated to the Applicant,
	and they're going to be coming and going on Nebraska Avenue.
	And they're not going to be walking. They're more likely to
	show up in many vehicles.
	So did DDOT evaluate those events perhaps
	jamboree events, perhaps a long weekend with a soccer
	tournament, whatever else and these users not being Maret
	students, being commercial users of the property?
	MS. BLONDIN: That was within the CTR that was
	provided, and we found the analysis done by Wells +
	Associates to be sufficient in addressing all of our concerns
ĺ	for volumes, including these non-Maret uses.

suggested to the intersection at Utah, I think -- that's --

MR. DONOHUE: And the tweaks that Ms. Milanovich

1	MS. BLONDIN: Yeah. So
2	(Simultaneous speaking.)
3	MR. DONOHUE: take care of the traffic
4	problems?
5	MS. BLONDIN: So we the signal timing is a bit
6	more complex. If we change signal timing at one
7	intersection, it may mess up some of the flow throughout the
8	area. And so the signal timing we redo every four to five
9	years in a comprehensive way, and so we are not recommending
10	that the Maret School change the signal timing there. That
11	is something that we will look at on a comprehensive level
12	when we come back to this area and redo the signal timing to
13	accommodate those volumes at that time.
14	MR. DONOHUE: So, when Maret says that the
15	solution at that intersection is to adjust the signalization,
16	that's not in fact what DDOT's planning to do?
17	MS. BLONDIN: Correct.
18	MR. DONOHUE: Thank you. Last question. There
19	was testimony about buses coming and going from Maret for the
20	home team and for visiting teams. Did you hear that?
21	MS. BLONDIN: Yep.
22	MR. DONOHUE: Where are those buses going to park,
23	and where are they going to idle while they're waiting?
24	MS. BLONDIN: So they will be parking on the
25	street, on Nebraska Avenue. The Maret School is going to be

1	putting curb extensions around its curb cut to make sure that
2	there is visibility for pedestrians that may be crossing the
3	curb cut. But the buses will be located, I guess, to the
4	west of that curb cut.
5	MR. DONOHUE: To the west of the curb cut on
6	MS. BLONDIN: On Nebraska.
7	MR. DONOHUE: How many buses are we envisioning?
8	MS. BLONDIN: I believe it's two. It's one for
9	the away team, one for Maret.
10	MR. DONOHUE: All right. And what about the
11	(Simultaneous speaking.)
12	MS. BLONDIN: Sorry?
13	MR. DONOHUE: What about when it's a non-Maret
14	event?
15	MS. BLONDIN: That space will be used for
16	pickup/drop-off.
17	MR. DONOHUE: The Nebraska Avenue spaces?
18	MS. BLONDIN: I believe that is the function of
19	that space. When there's not Maret buses, it'll be for
20	pickup/drop-off.
21	MR. DONOHUE: Okay. Thank you. I appreciate it.
22	Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
23	BZA CHAIR HILL: Thank you, Mr. Donohue.
24	MR. SHERMAN: Mr. Chairman, it's Bruce Sherman.
25	May I ask a question?

BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay, Mr. Sherman. 1 How many 2 questions do you have, Mr. Sherman? 3 I just have one. MR. SHERMAN: 4 BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay. Go ahead, Mr. Sherman. 5 MR. SHERMAN: And this is for Ms. Blondin and then for if they would I'm 6 also Maret care respond. to 7 referencing now and reading from our ANC's resolution, which 8 has recommended approval of the Maret application. 9 paragraph 36 concerning future traffic conditions. 10 want to read a part of this and then have Ms Blondin respond

I'm quoting here: any projection of future traffic conditions requires that a number of assumptions be made, many of which are necessarily uncertain. After consultation with DDOT during the scoping phase, Maret used pre-pandemic traffic data escalated to reflect normal growth rates so its analysis would not be affected by the lower traffic volumes experienced over the past few years during the pandemic.

Maret did not make adjustments, however, to reflect the imminent reopening of Oregon Avenue after having been closed for several years, or the National Park Service's possible continuation of pandemic-related closures of Beach Drive. DDOT found that neither the reopening of Oregon Avenue nor the potential permanent closure of Beach Drive would have a significant effect on traffic in the study area.

if she could.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

I read that, Chairman Hill and members of the Board, for the following reason: Nebraska Avenue is a major commuter route. Pre-pandemic traffic volumes reached around 7,200 cars per day. If that amount of traffic volume was not taken into account in the study with the corresponding impacts carefully analyzed, how are we to understand what the additional traffic brought on by Maret's use of the field, other sports organizations' use of the field, will have on congestion, traffic, pedestrian/bicycle safety, and so forth?

So I'd like to ask if DDOT could respond to that, and then Maret.

MS. BLONDIN: Sure. So, like I said earlier and you mentioned, the methodology that was used by Maret did end up accounting for, I guess, Oregon never being closed in the first place because it was before all of this construction happened and then brought up to current-day standards.

And so the other thing is, with the possible closure of Beach Drive, the traffic volumes -- that's something that NPS will be examining as they consider whether or not they're going to be closing that. Traffic -- identifying future conditions is always more of an art than a science. We can't predict the future. If there's anything we learned over the past couple years, it's that we can't predict traffic volumes.

We're certainly doing our best and trying to find

2.0

2.1

1	the best educated guess and using the data that we have
2	available. And we, DDOT, stand by the traffic analysis that
3	was done by Maret School for this case.
4	BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay. Thank you, Ms. Blondin.
5	Okay. Let's see.
6	I'm sorry. Mr. Tummonds, did you have a response?
7	MR. TUMMONDS: Would you like Ms. Milanovich to
8	answer Mr. Sherman's question, or do you want to wait until
9	we have all of the questions of us?
10	BZA CHAIR HILL: Either Ms. Milanovich can answer
11	the question if she wants to, or you guys can also wait for
12	rebuttal with everything.
13	MR. TUMMONDS: I think we'd just as soon have Ms.
14	Milanovich answer the question right now.
15	BZA CHAIR HILL: Go ahead, Ms. Milanovich.
16	MS. MILANOVICH: Sure. Happy to address that.
17	So, as Emma had pointed out, we were able to get
18	some historical traffic counts that were conducted before the
19	current Oregon Avenue construction project was in place and
20	before Beach Drive was closed to vehicular traffic. Those
21	2017 counts were then factored to the current year using
22	growth rates based on historical traffic volumes in the area.
23	So we believe that well, and I will also say
24	we've also supplemented that data with StreetLight Data,
25	which is a company that uses GPS and cell phone data, and

that allows us to derive traffic counts. And so we were able to fold that in, again, predating the pandemic, predating the current construction project on Oregon Avenue, predating the closure of Beach Drive.

So we believe that we've adequately accounted for the future traffic on Nebraska Avenue. But I also want everybody to remember that Maret is responsible for mitigating the impact of their own project. They're not responsible for mitigating cumulative impacts of multiple projects that are contemplated by other parties.

So that's why the methodology that we use in D.C., as well as every other jurisdiction that I've done traffic studies in, requires us to compare future conditions with the project, in this case the Maret athletic fields, to future conditions without the project so we can isolate the impact of just the Maret project and identify improvements to mitigate that impact.

So, if you believe that the volume of traffic on Nebraska Avenue is going to be higher than what we predicted in our traffic study, I would point out that it is going to be higher under future conditions without the Maret project and future conditions with the Maret project. The volume of traffic generated by Maret is still the same. That delta between the two scenarios does not change.

So I think what's getting lost here, and I think

2.0

2.1

1	what I'm hearing, is there's some expectation that Maret
2	should be responsible for mitigating the impact not just of
3	their own traffic but of traffic that might be generated if
4	Beach Drive remains closed in the future, if NPS does decide
5	to keep Beach Drive closed. So I think it's important to
6	understand it's a comparative analysis
7	(Simultaneous speaking.)
8	BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay. I've got to stop you, Ms.
9	Milanovich.
10	Mr. Donohue
11	(Simultaneous speaking.)
12	MR. SHERMAN: could I just offer one comment,
13	though
14	BZA CHAIR HILL: Mr. Sherman, can you turn on your
15	camera for me?
16	MR. SHERMAN: I'm sorry. I thought I had it
17	turned on.
18	BZA CHAIR HILL: That's all right. I just can't
19	see you, so I can't see if you're trying to speak or
20	whatever. And, Mr. Sherman, all I'm trying to get at is I
21	haven't heard from your I'm not really sure how your
22	presentation is going to go well, wait until you turn your
23	camera on.
24	MR. SHERMAN: Okay. It should be on.
25	BZA CHAIR HILL: So you have an opportunity now

to give your -- can you guys -- Mr. Tummonds -- mute your 1 Thanks. 2 mic? 3 I'm just trying to give you an idea, Mr. Sherman, 4 of where I am, and I'm trying to handle this hearing. 5 guys still haven't given your presentation. The ANCs, both ANC Commissioners, have to give their presentation. The 6 7 Office of Planning has to give their presentation. 8 going to be a lot of questions that have been answered. So 9 I'm trying to kind of move us along. 10 What would you like to ask, Mr. Sherman? 11 MR. SHERMAN: Well, we might have data 12 discrepancy. That's one specific thing I wanted to follow up on. We understand that Maret and Ms. Milanovich used 2017 13 pre-pandemic data. I just want to confirm that, because we 14 also understand that Oregon Avenue closed in late 2016. 15 16 So, depending upon when they used the data, it 17 would not necessarily have captured all of the traffic volume that existed before Oregon Avenue closed, not before the 18 19 pandemic. And so we have a concern. And also, Chairman 2.0 we aren't expecting that Maret would mitigate all 2.1 future traffic conditions --BZA CHAIR HILL: Let me get your first question 22 answered first. 2.3 24 MR. SHERMAN: Okay. 25 So, Ms. Milanovich, did you BZA CHAIR HILL:

1	understand the question, whether or not Oregon Avenue was
2	closed when you took that into consideration?
3	MS. MILANOVICH: Right. So our data was from
4	October of 2017. Like I said, the current construction
5	project on Oregon Avenue did not start until after that. I
6	understand
7	BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay. Give me a second.
8	Ms. Blondin, does that have any influence on
9	DDOT's analysis?
10	MS. BLONDIN: No.
11	BZA CHAIR HILL: The answer is no. Okay. All
12	right. So, Mr. Sherman, that's the answer I got from DDOT
13	right there.
14	MR. SHERMAN: I understand that. But again,
15	there's a data discrepancy because Oregon closed for the
16	first sewer project in 2016. So 2017 data would not have
17	done the trick. So we're just trying to understand the data
18	points here and understand the traffic volumes.
19	BZA CHAIR HILL: And what I am just trying to
20	understand from DDOT is they're saying it wouldn't matter.
21	Is that correct, Ms. Blondin?
22	MS. BLONDIN: That's correct. I think Jami
23	succinctly put what this analysis is trying to accomplish and
24	that that type of background data is there for background.
25	BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay.

1	MR. SHERMAN: Mr. Hill, one final thing, and I'll
2	stop here on this, just to say we don't expect I was
3	starting to say this that they would mitigate all of the
4	traffic impacts. What we're saying is that the additional
5	traffic impacts need to be understood in the context of what
6	will be the resumption of a major commuter route. Our family
7	lives on Nebraska Avenue directly across from the school, so
8	this is a palpable, real issue for us. That's all.
9	BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay. Ms. Blondin, I'm going to
10	let you have the last word. Do you have anything to add?
11	MS. BLONDIN: No.
12	BZA CHAIR HILL: No. You're resting on the
13	record, correct?
14	MS. BLONDIN: Yes.
15	BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay. All right.
16	Mr. Donohue, I have to move this along as best I
17	can. How long do you need for questions?
18	MR. DONOHUE: I need about five minutes.
19	BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay, Mr. Donohue. Go ahead,
20	please, and then I have to go through the other agencies that
21	you can ask questions of.
22	MR. DONOHUE: So this is a question for the Maret
23	team. And Mr. Tummonds, I suppose, can hand the microphone
24	as he likes. But to begin with, I think there was various
25	testimony about stormwater to the effect that the plans for

1	the property wouldn't be developed in accordance with our
2	planning for a 25-year storm. Is that correct?
3	MR. HOLLOWAY: Yes.
4	MR. DONOHUE: Are you aware of requests from the
5	neighbors, specifically from our group, asking for a design
6	to a 50-year storm?
7	MR. HOLLOWAY: Yes.
8	MR. DONOHUE: Is there a reason why the Maret team
9	can't accommodate that request?
10	MR. HOLLOWAY: So 15 years is the standard for
11	what the city requires. We have worked to accommodate a
12	25-year standard that we're trying to meet. I think
13	programmatically, we need to look at how we allocate what
14	we're doing on the site. It's hard to predict sometimes what
15	a 50-year storm may be as you kind of start to freelance, and
16	so we want to make sure we're able to meet something.
17	I would say, also, there's a condition that we
18	report annually for ten years on the performance of our
19	stormwater management system. So if something is awry, that
20	will certainly come up during that reporting process. So we
21	feel good about what we've proposed, knowing that we exceeded
22	the city standard.
23	MR. DONOHUE: You feel confident you can do a
24	25-year design but not a 50-year design?
25	MR. HOLLOWAY: I'm not an engineer, but that's

1	what our engineers tell us.
2	MR. DONOHUE: Okay. Can we go to the graphics
3	where you were showing the netting above the retaining walls?
4	I think it was the graphics showing the view from 28th
5	Street.
6	MR. HOLLOWAY: I will try to pull up the slide
7	number
8	BZA CHAIR HILL: Do you know which slide it was,
9	Mr. Donohue?
10	MR. DONOHUE: No, I don't.
11	BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay. I'm looking at the Mr.
12	Young
13	MR. HOLLOWAY: I think it was slide 8. Slide 8.
14	MR. DONOHUE: So I believe this is the slide where
15	you were describing the vegetation proposed for the tops of
16	the lower retaining walls; is that correct?
17	MR. HOLLOWAY: Yep. That's the one.
18	MR. DONOHUE: What's the height of the retaining
19	walls at this facility at this location?
20	MR. HOLLOWAY: The retaining walls are four feet
21	tall.
22	MR. DONOHUE: Well, apiece, right?
23	MR. HOLLOWAY: Yeah. Yep. I mean
24	(Simultaneous speaking.)
25	MR. DONOHUE: What's the cumulative height of the

1	retaining walls?
2	MR. HOLLOWAY: So there's a series of four-foot
3	retaining walls. So, at ground level there, there's a
4	four-foot retaining wall, which is followed by a span of
5	eight feet. And then there is another four-foot retaining
6	wall, and then at the highest point, there's a third
7	four-foot retaining wall.
8	MR. DONOHUE: So the cumulative height would be
9	12, correct?
10	MR. HOLLOWAY: Yes.
11	MR. DONOHUE: All right. And the height of that
12	netting above the retaining wall?
13	MR. HOLLOWAY: We've proposed 30 feet.
14	MR. DONOHUE: Thirty plus twelve, correct?
15	MR. HOLLOWAY: Yep.
16	MR. DONOHUE: The visual indicates that that
17	netting is quite thin, quite ephemeral. Is that well,
18	what are the posts that are going to support those retaining
19	those netting?
20	MR. HOLLOWAY: Can you repeat the question?
21	MR. DONOHUE: I'm trying to get at what the
22	visibility is going to be for the people that are looking up
23	at 12 feet of retaining wall and then the netting up above
24	the retaining walls. And I'm suggesting that that visual is
25	downplaying the visual impact.

1	MR. HOLLOWAY: All right. So what's the question
2	you have for me?
3	MR. DONOHUE: What are the posts that are going
4	to support that netting?
5	MR. HOLLOWAY: They're metal posts.
6	MR. DONOHUE: And the netting is what?
7	MR. HOLLOWAY: It would be standard athletic
8	netting. I don't know the exact material of it, but as you
9	can see that we've depicted here, it is netting that you can
10	see through. We've made use of it here on our campus in
11	Woodley Park. And so it's netting that's fairly transparent.
12	MR. DONOHUE: All right. Are there other views
13	of that retaining walls and netting as you proceed up 28th
14	Street?
15	MR. HOLLOWAY: If we move to the next slide.
16	MR. DONOHUE: And this is roughly where on 28th?
17	MR. HOLLOWAY: This is at 6004 28th Street.
18	MR. DONOHUE: Do you have an idea how far back
19	this is from the property line?
20	MR. HOLLOWAY: I don't know the answer to that
21	question, how far that is back to try to give people
22	perspective, we're talking about a six-foot black picket
23	fence there, and I believe that person we've depicted is
24	about 5 feet, 10 inches tall.
25	MR. DONOHUE: Okay. And the height of the

1	retaining walls in this location is what?
2	MR. HOLLOWAY: It's the same as I described
3	before.
4	MR. DONOHUE: So 12 feet?
5	MR. HOLLOWAY: Yes.
6	MR. DONOHUE: Thank you.
7	MR. HOLLOWAY: And to be clear, that doesn't span
8	the entirety of all the 28th Street homes. Because of the
9	grading changes in the backyards of the homes on 28th Street,
10	those retaining walls become smaller as you move northward
11	up 28th Street. So we chose to include this visual
12	(Simultaneous speaking.)
13	MR. DONOHUE: Understood. I was getting at the
14	
15	MR. HOLLOWAY: We chose to include this visual
16	because we know that this is the largest set of retaining
17	walls, and we know that they get smaller as you move to the
18	other houses.
19	MR. DONOHUE: Well, you chose to use the visual,
20	but you also put quite a bit of vegetation there to hide the
21	12 feet of retaining wall.
22	MR. HOLLOWAY: Yes. That's our intention.
23	MR. DONOHUE: All right. I've got a couple
24	questions for Ms. Milanovich.
25	BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay.

1	MR. DONOHUE: Jami, the explanation about the
2	buses coming from Maret and going to the fields and parking
3	on Nebraska Avenue, I ask this question of DDOT: did she
4	correctly characterize the parking (inaudible) the buses on
5	Nebraska Avenue?
6	MS. MILANOVICH: Correct. So we will be
7	establishing a 100-foot bus zone, which is long enough to
8	accommodate two school buses, and we would anticipate that
9	once they drop off the students, they would park there until
10	the practice or the game is done. They would not be
11	permitted to idle. There are anti-idling laws in D.C. But
12	they would park there until they leave with the students.
13	MR. DONOHUE: And is there a limit so that there
14	will be no more than two?
15	MS. MILANOVICH: There's one for Maret team, so
16	for practices there would be one bus. And for games, there
17	would be two: one for the visiting team and one for Maret.
18	MR. DONOHUE: All right. And what about Maret's
19	commercial users? What about the tenants of the field?
20	MS. MILANOVICH: So I don't anticipate any users
21	having
22	(Simultaneous speaking.)
23	MR. TUMMONDS: Perhaps I think Mr. Holloway can
24	answer that question. Could you just repeat the full
25	question? Were you asking about buses for folks who would

1	lease the field?
2	MR. HOLLOWAY: Yeah. I'm asking about vehicles
3	coming to the field that are attending non-Maret events.
4	MR. TUMMONDS: Where will they park?
5	MR. DONOHUE: How many? Where will they park?
6	Will they be subject to the idling requirements? Are they
7	going to use Nebraska Avenue?
8	MR. TUMMONDS: So we do have estimates in the CTR
9	of how many folks we anticipate would be using the field at
10	different times and the vehicular trips. I don't have that
11	number at the top of my head, but they would use the parking
12	lot when they would come. If the parking lot does happen to
13	be full, there would be use of street parking on Nebraska
14	Avenue.
15	BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay, guys. I've got to stop
16	this. Mr. Donohue, I need your last question. It's now
17	coming up on 1:00. What's your last question for the team?
18	MR. DONOHUE: It's been suggested by Maret and
19	supported by Wells that there are going to be limits on the
20	vehicles coming and going to the field, and by way of
21	example, they used Maret athletic events. I'm asking, what
22	are the impacts that are going to be derived from vehicles
23	that are there for non-Maret events?
24	BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay. Is that
25	MS. MILANOVICH: I can answer that. So we've

actually -- in the CTR and as I testified to, our p.m. peak hour analysis includes the overlap of a Maret game with an outside user immediately following that Maret game. So those numbers, the number of trips generated by the outside user, are taken into account in the weekday p.m. peak hour analysis that's detailed in the CTR.

On Saturday, as I testified to, our peak hour trip generation is based on back-to-back sporting events for the outside users. So when, for example, a youth sports game -- when traffic is leaving that game and arriving for the next game, that overlap in traffic is what our peak hour analysis on Saturday is based on.

So we have taken -- and we selected those peak hours based on when the most traffic would be generated. So we have taken into account in the CTR traffic impacts associated with the outside users.

BZA CHAIR HILL: And, Mr. Tummonds, I'm just asking, in that situation, is that -- you guys in the conditions, that's when you have the flag people or whatever organizing parking?

MR. TUMMONDS: That's correct.

BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay. Okay. So what I want to do is I want to go to -- where's the Office of Planning? Let me see -- oh, are the Commissioners here? Is Commissioner Speck?

2.1

2.3

1	MR. SPECK: Yes, I'm here.
2	BZA CHAIR HILL: Great. And then Commissioner
3	Higgins? I see Commissioner okay.
4	So, Commissioner Speck, again, what you have now
5	an opportunity to do is give us your testimony from the ANC.
6	I mean, we have a very long report from you, meaning you guys
7	have done a lot of work, it seems like. It seems like this
8	has gone on for a long time. Right? So perhaps you can go
9	ahead and give the Board your testimony, and then we'll see
10	if the Board has any questions, as well as anyone else.
11	And I apologize, Commissioner. You do have an
12	opportunity to also, as a party, ask any questions of
13	anybody. Do you have any questions of the team and/or I
14	might have already lost DDOT. It looks like I lost DDOT.
15	But do you have any questions of the team and/or Ms.
16	Milanovich?
17	MR. SPECK: No. We've asked our questions
18	previously for the Maret team and for Ms. Milanovich.
19	BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay. So, Commissioner, could
20	you please give your testimony to the Board?
21	MR. SPECK: Sure. I will be very brief.
22	I'm Randy Speck. I'm ANC Commissioner for ANC
23	3/4G03, and I'm Chair of ANC 3/4G. I've been Chair for about
24	seven years, and I've been on the Commission for nine years.
25	I live about three blocks from the Episcopal Center for

Children, so I'm affected by all of this as well.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

2.3

24

I'm here with Commissioner Higgins, whose single-member district includes the ECC. Together, we've taken the lead on behalf of the Commission in reviewing the application, but Ι to emphasize that all the want Commissioners were very involved in this process.

Over the past six months, our Commissioners have spent hundreds of hours reading voluminous filings, meeting with the parties in this proceeding, walking the field, attending multiple presentations and community meetings, and most importantly, listening to all of the concerns that have been raised. We've received many well-articulated letters of support and opposition.

We've attempted to identify reasonable compromises that would ameliorate any objections. We have weighed the many competing interests. The Commission's unanimous February 28th resolution with 21 pages of proposed conditions attached reflects our best judgment about how the Board should consider the Maret proposal.

The ECC's five-acre fallow field with its chronic stormwater drainage problems, neglected and dying trees, and cordoned off with no-trespassing signs is not likely to continue as it is. The ECC has made it clear that it must monetize the field in order to further its mission to provide educational opportunities for underserved children with

severe emotional challenges.

2.1

The Maret proposal provides ECC with the resources it needs, satisfies Maret's need for an athletic field that is necessary for its curriculum, and gives the community a sorely needed venue for youth sports. This is a positive outcome and may be better than possible alternatives.

ANC 3/4G has no basis to disagree with the expert analyses of the District's agencies that have reviewed Maret's application and support the project, so long as specified conditions are met. These agencies' reports address many of the concerns that residents expressed in letters and at multiple forums.

The Board notes in its instructions that Applicants are strongly encouraged to contact the Office of Planning and relevant ANCs to discuss the merits of their BZA applications. Our ANC has endeavored to fulfill its role in that regard. In our report, we've attempted to give the Board a fair description of the process that we went through and the basis for the conclusions that we reached.

We rest on our report.

BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay. And so -- okay. Let's see. Commissioner Higgins, did you have anything you wanted to add? You're on mute, Commissioner.

MR. HIGGINS: Hello?

BZA CHAIR HILL: Yes. I can hear you.

1	MR. HIGGINS: Okay. No, I do not. I think Randy
2	summarized our position quite well. We have a 38-page
3	report, as you know, with quite a lot of detail on conditions
4	related to traffic and construction process and so forth, so
5	we're not going to read the whole thing. But it's quite
6	complete.
7	BZA CHAIR HILL: Appreciate it. It could have
8	possibly been the longest one I've ever read, but that just
9	means how much has gone on, also, in this work for you guys.
10	And
11	MR. HIGGINS: I would like to point out that we've
12	had many public forums to feed into these reports.
13	BZA CHAIR HILL: No, that seems like I mean,
14	you guys really, I think, have done hundreds of hours, it
15	seems like
16	MR. HIGGINS: Yes.
17	BZA CHAIR HILL: of work to get to this point.
18	And so yeah. So, anyway, okay. Let me see.
19	Does the Board have any questions of the
20	Commissioners? Sure. Go ahead, Chairman Hood.
21	ZC CHAIR HOOD: Thank you, Chairman Hill.
22	Right quick, Commissioners, first of all, thank
23	you for your (inaudible) report and all the work that you all
24	do. I will ask, did the Office of Attorney General reach out
25	to you all as well and ask to support you? I'm just

curious how all this is working. 1 2 MR. SPECK: They had no contact with us about this We are familiar with the Land Use Division or 3 project. section at the OAG, and we have had presentations from those 5 attorneys. But they didn't reach out to us at all on this project. 6 7 ZC CHAIR HOOD: And, Mr. Speck, you do know that they used to be our attorneys as of four months ago? 8 9 (Simultaneous speaking.) 10 ZC CHAIR HOOD: Okay. All right. Good. Okay. Thank you. Thank you for all the work you all do. 11 12 Thank you, Mr. Chair. Mr. 13 BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay. Let's see. Here. 14 Smith? 15 MEMBER SMITH: Sure. I had a question, and it's probably a two-part question to the Maret team and to the 16 17 So I'll start off with the ANC. And this conversation is not to say where I fall on this particular case. 18 know where I fall on this case. 19 2.0 But my question to the ANC -- and I would just say 2.1 kudos to the ANC for a very thorough report to us. 22 Chairman Hill stated, that's probably the longest one that 23 I have seen in this very short amount of time that I've been 24 on this Board. But I do want to just ask some questions of

these conditions, and it's fairly -- a very extensive list

of conditions, and I understand that the ANC has predicated their support on those conditions.

would of And Ι just state that some these conditions do give pause governmental me some from а regulatory standpoint on how the government can enforce some of these conditions. So my question to the ANC was that -was there some discussion of partitioning some of conditions, some of these conditions we could enforce that could be seen as valid or legal zoning conditions that can be crafted in an ordinance that mitigate zoning impacts and MOU between the Maret School and the ANC?

So that's my question, first off, to the ANC. Was there some discussion about an MOU?

MR. SPECK: There was quite a lot of discussion, actually. And we've had some experience in similar projects, notably the Ingleside expansion project that was done several years ago. And that also was a BZA special exception, and we had a lengthy set of conditions there as well.

The BZA elected to include some of those conditions in its order, and the rest were an agreement between the ANC and the owner in that instance. So in this case, I think the construction impacts in particular are potential objectionable conditions.

And so, in order to mitigate those, that's the reason why we included a lot of those construction conditions

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

127 And I think it would be appropriate to include them 1 2 in the BZA's order. If it were not included in the order, 3 though, we would certainly attempt to have an MOU with Maret. 4 MEMBER SMITH: I would highly suggest that you 5 reach out to Maret to --6 MR. SPECK: Well, they have agreed to all of these 7 We have talked to them about it, and they've agreed to all of these conditions. 8 9 MEMBER SMITH: Right. And I'm not -- and I'm glad 10 you stated that, because that was my next question to the But my question isn't -- I mean my issue isn't 11 Maret team. It is whether they are 12 so much that they agreed to them.

you stated that, because that was my next question to the Maret team. But my question isn't -- I mean my issue isn't so much that they agreed to them. It is whether they are conditions that we can put in an order and the District of Columbia can fully enforce those, or would it be better to -- I think from a standpoint of some of -- for example, some of these operational conditions where they're allowed to have certain uses to occur at the site during this particular time during this particular season -- do you understand?

It would be a fairly high hurdle for the District to be able to go out there and enforce during every season, during every hour in particular time frames the particular use that may be occurring on that field. So that is my concern now. I think that that could be something that could be enforced between the ANC and the Maret School.

But I'm getting ahead of myself. But I think

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

you've answered my questions, then. Just to confirm, just 1 a question to the Maret School, you've agreed to all of these 2 conditions? 3 4 MR. TUMMONDS: Yes, we have. And to answer your 5 question, Commissioner, we believe that these conditions do truly relate to the objectionable impact standard that we 6 need to meet for the special exception. So we believe that We think that there is a benefit to 8 they are appropriate. 9 having them as part of the order. 10 But to answer your general question, if the Board 11 Zoning Adjustment deems that some of these are not 12 appropriate, we 100 percent will enter into an MOU with the ANC to stand by the commitments that we've made to the ANC 13 and in this case. 14 15 MEMBER SMITH: Okay. That's great. That's what I would like to hear. Thank you. 16 17 BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay. So we've done this in a variety of different ways before. 18 And so I quess, Mr. Tummonds -- I think there are going to be things that we are 19 2.0 going to want to see in order to have some deliberations, it 2.1 seems already that one of which is what Chairman Hood 22 mentioned about a response to the OAG letter. 23 And then, also, I quess if you want to submit an 24 MOU, that can be put in the record there for the conditions

because, again, the conditions -- a lot -- well, not a lot.

Some of those conditions are, as Board Member Smith mentioned, not only outside of our purview, but are unenforceable or difficult to enforce.

And so what we normally do is, in the order, we will refer to the conditions that the ANC has agreed -- on the record, you're agreeing to the conditions right now, right? So, I mean, it's on the record. Right? But what we do do is refer in the order, if it's done -- I'm just saying if this were actually approved, which we don't know yet. But if it were, there's a reference in the order to the conditions that have been agreed to.

However, what I know we're going to want to see now, Mr. Tummonds, is an MOU with all the conditions listed in one exhibit that the ANC can refer to -- or I should say that the Board can refer to when we're actually going through this analysis as to whether or not we believe you're meeting the standard for criteria, whether or not we think that you're meeting criteria for this application. So that's just another item of note.

So okay. Do my other fellow Board members have any questions? And I'll come back to you, Commissioner, when you had your hand up there -- Higgins. Does my Board members have any questions for the Commissioners?

Okay. Commissioner Higgins, you had something to

2.0

2.1

MR. HIGGINS: I just -- yeah. If either of these 1 is amenable to us, I'm wondering, would your Board draft its 2 3 own MOU for us, or are you asking us to draft an MOU for you 4 to approve? 5 BZA CHAIR HILL: I'm asking the Applicant. 6 MR. HIGGINS: Okay. 7 BZA CHAIR HILL: So go put together an MOU and exhibit, and you guys can take a look at it. And all they're 8 9 going to do is they're just going to cut and paste all the 10 conditions that you all agreed to already and charge the school a couple hours and drop it into the exhibit. 11 So 12 that's how that's going to work. Okay. 13 Let's see. Okay. Nobody has any more questions of them. 14 15 Mr. Donohue, do you have any questions of the Commissioners? 16 17 MR. DONOHUE: No, sir. So, then, I 18 Okay. All right. BZA CHAIR HILL: 'm a little hesitant to try and do this. But what do you all 19 2.0 want to do? You all want to do lunch, or do you all want to 2.1 try to get through -- well, we can try to go through the Office of Planning. I've already lost DDOT. 22 Why don't we try to get through Office of Planning, and then we'll take 23 24 And then we'll come back for the party status.

that sound appropriate?

Okay. Ms. Thomas, could you introduce yourself for the record, please?

MS. THOMAS: Good afternoon, Mr. Chair, Yes. members the Board. Karen Thomas presenting recommendation for Application 2063 Maret School's of athletic field.

We reviewed this special exception according to the criteria outlined under Subtitle U, Section 203.1(m); Subtitle X, Section 104 -- and that would be for the educational use, including an athletic field -- and from Subtitle C, Section 710, to permit the location of required parking in the front yard of the property at 5901 Utah Avenue Northwest.

reviewed this application We on items the Applicant had to address for us in order to mitigate what we believe would be adverse impacts should the field be allowed to operate in the neighborhood, including both staff students that may be using the field at any one time, the intensity of its use, the noise, parking, traffic, stormwater management, tree preservation and protection, visibility from public space around the neighborhood.

I will just touch and highlight on just the main point as addressed in OP's report. Everything is in OP's report. I wouldn't go in depth into it. The maximum amount of students using the field at any one time is not expected

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

to exceed 60 players. And so we wanted to know about the intensity of use since the field will be leased to other sports group.

And the Applicant provided a detailed breakdown of usage by students and other group, as we've seen here in Exhibit 184(e). The Applicant went through that, so I won't. But the main takeaway for OP was that usage breakdown for the year showed that the field will be open for 64 percent of (inaudible) during the daylight hours, and OP is supportive of the field use by youth sports as District schools in all wards could benefit from use of this field.

With respect to the noise, since the property directly abuts the rear yard of four residences, one of which fronts on Nebraska Avene and the other three fronting 28th Street, we were concerned about mitigation of noise since there would be up to 86, and during larger game events, we would have -- 100 or more attendees could be present.

The Applicant has agreed to prevent the use of any sound amplification devices during games or anytime during the use of the premises. And this would be a condition of approval by both OP and ANC's recommendations. design, including the that the site seating position southwest of the site along with proposed retaining walls for landscaping and with shrubbery -- these elements of design should be to mitigate noise from unamplified voices.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

2.3

With respect to traffic management and on-site parking, 48 on-site parking spaces are proposed with a new curb cut, with the closure of one along Nebraska Avenue and 100-feet curbside drop-off/pickup area for buses.

The Applicant's CTR was extensively discussed here this morning, and I would not go over that. Suffice it to say that we understand that the Applicant has agreed to DDOT's recommendations regarding a TDM plan and operations plan, along with continued coordination for the life of the project.

With stormwater management, presently there are no storm pipes or other infrastructure to divert stormwater from the highest area northwest of the site draining into the properties to the east. And the system would be designed to meet a 25-year storm event which exceeds DOEE's requirements. The stormwater infrastructure, including its bioretention facility hear the parking area, would be designed to mitigate any flooding of nearby and abutting homes.

DDOT's Urban Forestry arborist is going to work with the Applicant's arborist to ensure that trees that are proposed to be removed, particularly heritage trees, would survive, and their report is included with DDOT's report on pages 7 and 8.

With respect to the parking location, we find that the irregularly shaped property with variable topography does

2.0

2.1

not feasibly permit the location of parking anywhere else on the lot. While there's alley access around portions of the property, access to and from those alleys is -- of that alley, rather -- is not desirable by residential owners who would share the alley with the athletic facility, due to safety concerns.

The proposed location would be convenient and would reduce pedestrian and vehicle conflicts that may occur in a narrow 15-feet-wide alley, particularly for two-way traffic during game days. And we have asked the Applicant to provide additional screening through evergreen landscaping to shield the view of the area from abutting homes to the east, and they agreed to do so.

Overall, when reviewing whether the proposal would be in harmony with the general purpose and intent of the regulations under Subtitles U, Section 203, and X.104, the Applicant has demonstrated that the proposed facility would include mitigation measures that OP requested and also by the community, which would be designed to prevent the use from becoming objectionable to adjoining or nearby properties due to noise, traffic, and otherwise objectionable conditions.

There were several revisions made to the original plan based on OP's and community concerns and addressed in our report on pages 3 and 4 and highlighted, again, in the Applicant's submission here today, particularly of Exhibit

2.0

2.1

1	184(c). Although it's not a desired parking location, it
2	would satisfy the intent, which would be to reduce the area's
3	visibility from public space with ample landscaping to screen
4	its view from residential and public spaces.
5	With respect to whether it will adversely affect
6	the use of neighboring properties, again, the conditions that
7	the Applicant has agreed to with the ANC based on community
8	concerns, we believe, would qualify the mitigation elements,
9	which are intended to protect the neighboring properties and
LO	the neighborhood overall.
ll	And with that, I would end here, and I would be
L2	happy to take any questions. Thank you.
L3	BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay. Does the Board have any
L4	questions of the Office of Planning?
15	All right. Does the party in opposition have any
16	questions of the Office of Planning?
L7	MR. DONOHUE: Just a couple questions, Mr. Chair.
18	BZA CHAIR HILL: Sure. Go ahead, Mr. Donohue.
19	MR. DONOHUE: Ms. Thomas, earlier today, there was
20	a discussion about the AG opinion that was submitted in the
21	record on March the 8th. Were you at the hearing at that
22	time?
23	MS. THOMAS: On March the 8th? Yes, I was here.
24	MR. DONOHUE: No, no. On March the 8th, the
25	Attorney General submitted an opinion to the record And

1	earlier today, there was a reference made about the AG's
2	opinion and how it was perhaps available to or the OP
3	might have had an opinion on that.
4	So I guess my question is this. It's Exhibit 268.
5	Does the Office of Planning have an opinion on the AG's brief
6	submitted in
7	MS. THOMAS: We received that memo yesterday. We
8	have no opinion at this time. We have relied on the Zoning
9	Administrator's determination that this is a special
10	exception use.
11	MR. DONOHUE: So let's talk about that because
12	earlier today, we heard that the Zoning Administrator had
13	made such a determination. We haven't had the benefit of
14	seeing that determination. Has OP seen it?
15	MS. THOMAS: It was cc'd to OP.
16	MR. DONOHUE: What was it dated? Do you know?
17	MS. THOMAS: Yesterday we inquired again. But
18	this application was self-certified, so we had to go on what
19	was self-certified.
20	MR. DONOHUE: Understood. Understood. I'm asking
21	about the AG's opinion, and this is just a follow-up question
22	here. We, Friends of the Field, have taken the position that
23	the use proposed by Maret is an accessory use, accessory to
24	private school that's located some three miles away. Does
25	the Office of Planning have an opinion on that position?

1	MS. THOMAS: No this is an educational use, as
2	the definition, and it is zoning regulations. And that's how
3	we view it.
4	MR. DONOHUE: All right.
5	MS. THOMAS: The definition includes both
6	facilities as an educational use.
7	MR. DONOHUE: I'm asking a slightly different
8	question. We take the position that it's accessory to a
9	private school. And inasmuch as it's accessory, we challenge
10	whether it meets the standards that it be located on the same
11	lot. Do you have an opinion on that position?
12	MS. THOMAS: No. No, I don't. We have had
13	situations where different schools have fields and use fields
14	that are not adjacent to their school, but it's an
15	educational use as defined by the regulations.
16	MR. DONOHUE: Thank you, Ms. Thomas.
17	BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay. All right. Thanks, Mr.
18	Donohue.
19	All right. Commissioners for the ANC, do you have
20	any questions of the Office of Planning? I can see
21	Commissioner Higgins. Do you have a question, Commissioner
22	Higgins, or no?
23	MR. SPECK: No. We have no questions of OP.
24	BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay. Great. Okay. All right.
25	So, then, this is what I oh. Sorry. Mr. Tummonds, do you

have any questions for the Office of Planning? 1 2 No questions. MR. TUMMONDS: 3 BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay. So this is what I -- let's go ahead -- it's 1:25. Let's try to get back here at 2:00. 5 Let's take lunch, and then we'll go ahead and hear from the party in opposition and have them present their case. I'm 6 7 iust --(Simultaneous speaking.) 8 9 MR. TUMMONDS: -- should it be the persons in 10 support, then party in opposition? What I think it is is -- and I 11 BZA CHAIR HILL: 12 can have legal help me out with this, Ms. Nagelhout. the order, Mr. Tummonds, in terms of -- I'm not taking public 13 testimony. I'm going to actually do the party in opposition. 14 I suppose I could do public testimony and have -- and, Ms. 15 Nagelhout, I mean, I've done this a variety of different --16 17 Mr. Tummonds, if you would mind not -- I know you don't -you seem to be indicating you'll let me run the hearing, but 18 you got the echo going on there on the side. 19 20 But, Ms. Nagelhout -- so is it okay if -- I mean, 2.1 I was just going to have the party in opposition give their 22 case and go through that, and then go through the public testimony both in support and opposition, and then have 23 24 rebuttal. And that's normally the way I Ms. go. Nagelhout, do you have an opinion?

1	MS. NAGELHOUT: I don't. The regs do specify an
2	order, but the Chair is allowed to vary it, which you would
3	be doing here.
4	BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay. Then I'm just going to
5	take the only reason why I'm doing it is the public
6	testimony. I'm trying to get the public testimony all at the
7	same time. So we'll come back and we'll hear from the party
8	in opposition, have them present their case. They'll have
9	45 minutes. And then we'll go ahead and go around the same
10	way that we've been going around.
11	The one thing that Mr. Moy can you hear me?
12	MR. MOY: Yes, sir. I can hear you. I'm with
13	you.
14	BZA CHAIR HILL: (inaudible). I don't know if
15	anybody's listening for the last case, which is 20505. I
16	don't know how this is going because this is already taking
17	longer than I had anticipated. But I'm trying to do this as
18	expeditiously as possible so that the Board can hear the
19	testimony of all the parties in this case. So, Mr. Moy, I'll
20	reach out to you.
21	So, you guys, let's try to come back at 2:00 if
22	that's good. Okay? All right, everyone. Have a nice lunch.
23	(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter went off the
24	record at 1:26 p.m. and resumed at 2:07 p.m.)
25	BZA CHAIR HILL: All right. Mr. Donohue, I'm

going to put 45 minutes on the clock there, and you can go 1 ahead and --2 Oh, Mr. Moy, if you could call us back in? 3 4 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 5 The BZA has returned to its public hearing session after a lunch recess, and the time is now at or about 7:07 6 7 -- or rather, 2:07 p.m. 8 BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay. Great. Thank you. 9 All right, Mr. Donohue, you can go ahead and begin 10 whenever you like. I'm going to hand the microphone 11 MR. DONOHUE: over to Mr. Sherman, who is the lead of Friends of the Field. 13 MR. SHERMAN: Great. Thank you, Ed. And thank you, Chairman Hill and Members of the 14 15 Board. 16 I, first, want to say that we are very grateful 17 Board of Zoning Readjustment give to We're very grateful to have party 18 opportunity to speak. 19 status. 20 What we're going to try to do in our 45 minutes 2.1 is tell you who we are; tell you why we're here; tell you are 22 and then, share with what our concerns are, you 23 alternative vision for how this property might be developed 24 in a way that could satisfy all parties. So, that's what we have up for you.

If we could pull up the presentation, that would be great, our PowerPoint. We'll go to the first slide. If you can go down to the next slide? All right, the next slide, and the next slide.

So, who we are. Now, I recall that, Mr. Blake, I think it was you, if I'm recalling correctly, when the hearing took place in January for our party status, you asked us about the members of our community. So, who is Friends of the Field? The number of supporters, of people who are interested in this particular project? So, what we tried to do in a couple of heat maps here is give you a quick idea of who we are by the numbers.

First and foremost, though, we're an organization We are not professional activists. of neighbors. professional consultants. We woke up one day recognizing that there was looming a very significant project just across from And organized street us. so, we everyone's views, try to represent the concerns of neighborhood, which we've done before the ANC, and as we're doing now with party status before BZA.

We're 267 individuals and 142 households. A hundred and twenty-two of those households lie within one-quarter mile of the center of the field at the property of the Episcopal Center for Children. So, that's 86 percent of the households that are part of Friends of the Field

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

community.

1

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

25

In relation to Maret, and if you look on the maps, on the lefthand side, we've plotted the households that are Friends of the Field supporters, and on the righthand side, we've simply done an overlay of those who we are understand idea Maret supporters, give of the to you an concentration of support that we have. It's 122, roughly, in this immediate vicinity for Friends of the Field and 16 for Maret, judging again by Maret's letters of support that have come from the community.

But the numbers don't tell the whole story. We want to give you an idea, more tangibly, of who's in our community. So, we prepared a very short video we'd like to show you now.

So, BZA Staff, if you could pull up the "Neighbors" video, I'd appreciate that, and run that. BZA Team, it's the "Neighbors" video. You had it up before, not "Neighborhood," rather "Neighbors". There you are. I'm not hearing the audio.

Chairman Hill, are you able to hear the audio?

BZA CHAIR HILL: Yes, hold on, Mr. Sherman. I
think Mr. Young is trying to figure it out.

MR. SHERMAN: Okay.

24 || (Pause.)

Chairman Hill, why don't we do this? If he can

1	just pause what he's doing
2	BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay.
3	MR. SHERMAN: unless he can get it working,
4	because I'm conscious of the time.
5	All right. Just let me introduce to you Eric and
6	Candace Campbell, who are neighbors of ours on Utah Avenue
7	and have joined our community out of concern about a number
8	of things, which they express in the video related to
9	traffic, related to the livability, the walkability of our
10	neighborhood. And we had others, also, in our video that we
11	wanted to show, but if it's not able to play correctly,
12	that's fine.
13	BZA CHAIR HILL: Give it a second. Let's just
14	see.
15	MR. SHERMAN: Okay.
16	ZC CHAIR HOOD: Mr. Sherman, we've learned to have
17	patience.
18	(Laughter.)
19	MR. SHERMAN: Okay, that's good. I appreciate
20	that, Mr. Hood. I appreciate that. I'm only mindful of one
21	thing; it's after lunch, folks. This is the worst slot to
22	be in in the world, right after lunch. You know what I mean?
23	BZA CHAIR HILL: It's better than before lunch.
24	(Laughter.)
25	MR. SHERMAN: Okay. Well, maybe. We thought we'd

1	show you a movie, if it was after lunch, right? We thought
2	this would be entertaining.
3	BZA CHAIR HILL: No, it is. I've got to say it's
4	something different.
5	MR. SHERMAN: Well, if it works.
6	BZA CHAIR HILL: Let me think. I'm just trying
7	
8	MR. SHERMAN: Yes.
9	BZA CHAIR HILL: Is it an exhibit?
10	MR. SHERMAN: Well, we could break it out as an
11	exhibit. It's within our PowerPoint.
12	BZA CHAIR HILL: We didn't see it in the
13	PowerPoint. I've got the PowerPoint up.
14	MR. SHERMAN: Well, it's one of our slides, but
15	your team asked us to send them the actual original files,
16	which we did, because they thought it would play out better
17	off of video.
18	But that's okay. Not to worry.
19	BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay. All right, let's go ahead.
20	Mr. Young, why don't we go back to the slide deck?
21	MR. SHERMAN: Yes, let's go back to the slide
22	deck.
23	BZA CHAIR HILL: I'm in the slide deck. I can see
24	Mr. and Mrs. Campbell, and then, "Our goal" Is that the
25	next slide?

MR. SHERMAN: Yeah, yeah, yeah. So, if you want to go back to the slide deck and go back to the heat maps of Friends of the Field? Let's go back to that. Okay.

So, a couple more points about this. When we talk about those that are keenly interested in this particular issue, obviously, it's the adjacent neighbors -- we are, of course, those adjacent neighbors -- that are going to bear the brunt of any development that is related to the building out of these athletic fields and their use.

When you look at the supporters of both sides in this, and you think about those that have an allegiance to Maret, which is totally understandable -- students or former students, parents of current students, parents of former students, members of the faculty, and also, those who participate in various sports organizations that might stand to benefit from the fields -- it's totally understandable that they would voice support for Maret's proposal.

When you X-out those people and you're left with the neighbors, and particularly those that, in our case, have party status, that are immediately adjacent, you see a very different picture. Overwhelmingly, the immediate neighbors have expressed concerns about the development. That's the point that we want to make. We're 267 individuals strong, as we say, 142 households.

Let's go to the next slide. Go to the next slide.

2.0

2.1

Well, just stop right here.

2.0

I want to say this, by way of expressing why we're here: we are here, essentially, with one aim in mind -- to preserve the integrity and character of our community, as we say in this statement. I'm not going to read this all. And that's our main concern.

We are not in any way opposed to the development of athletic fields, if, in fact, this were only about athletic fields for a school, whether it be Maret or any other school. Very likely we wouldn't be here taking up your time.

We're here, however, because this is not that. This is something much more than that. This is something that has become, in the scope and scale of development that Maret has proposed, a multimillion dollar, multipurpose, quasi-commercial sports complex that's going to run nearly year-round in the middle of a residential neighborhood.

So, I want to pause there for one second and try to convey the gravity of what I'm saying. When you look at a residential neighborhood like this -- and, of course, it's zoned residential, as you very well know. Maret is filing for a Special Exception.

This is a neighborhood that is tranquil. It's a neighborhood where we jog; we walk our dogs; we bicycle. And this scale and scope of development in the middle of our

neighborhood will fundamentally change it. In fact, we think it will scar the neighborhood. No matter how beautiful the drawings seem, when you step back and look at the scope and scale, the magnitude of this, we believe it's going to leave an unfortunate, indelible mark, a scar on the neighborhood.

And we want to do everything we possibly can to continue to shape it such that we could live in harmony with it. That really is what we're asking about, to live in harmony with it.

So, we're going to express our concerns, as I said. Right now, I want to go to Ed Donohue, our legal counsel, and then, I'm going to turn to a number of our neighbors and a few experts, to walk you through the issues that are of concern to us.

Because of the scope of the project, because of the legal issues, we do not feel that Maret meets the burden of proof. And in particular, on the legal questions, we are taken ourselves, by the OAG brief yesterday, and I just want to read one line of that, that supports what I just mentioned about what this project actually is. And this is on the first page of the OAG brief. It's the second paragraph. It starts with, "As detailed below,...." I'm just going to read this one line.

"OAG asserts that approving the Maret School's application would not be in the public interest because the

2.0

2.1

1	proposed off-campus athletic facility is at commercial scale,
2	high-intense use that is prohibited in the off-campus site's
3	R-1-B zone."
4	That, in effect, encapsulates what we feel about
5	this in terms of the intensity of use and the problems that
6	this represents for our neighborhood.
7	Let's turn now, again, to Ed Donohue, our legal
8	counsel, for Ed to walk through the legal case. And then,
9	after Ed, we will go to, as I said, a number of our neighbors
10	and a few experts to talk about the impacts, adverse impacts,
11	that we feel this project will bear.
12	Ed, please.
13	You're muted, I think, Ed.
14	MR. DONOHUE: All right. Let me get right to it.
15	The issue here is the understanding that we have
16	of the definition of private school.
17	BZA CHAIR HILL: I think it's two slides forward,
18	Mr. Young.
19	MR. DONOHUE: We've quoted it; Maret has quoted
20	it. And it's the interpretation of this definition which has
21	caused the AG to weigh-in.
22	"Private school" is defined as "an educational,
23	academic, or institutional use," and I
24	BZA CHAIR HILL: Mr. Donohue, I'm sorry to
25	interrupt you. I told Mr. Young to go to a certain slide.

1	Are you
2	MR. SHERMAN: It's the next slide, Chairman Hill.
3	BZA CHAIR HILL: Is it the legal challenge? Is
4	that the one?
5	MR. SHERMAN: Right there, yes, and the next
6	slide. There we go.
7	BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay, great. Thanks.
8	I'm sorry, Mr. Donohue.
9	MR. DONOHUE: How about a pause on the clock, Mr.
10	Chairman?
11	BZA CHAIR HILL: It ain't soccer, Mr. Donohue.
12	MR. DONOHUE: "Private school" is defined as "an
13	educational, academic, or institutional use with the primary
14	mission of providing education and academic instruction," et
15	cetera. It goes on. "The above uses may include, but are
16	not limited to, accessory play and athletic facilities going
17	towards cafeterias, recreational, or sports facilities."
18	It is our position and in this, we align
19	ourselves with the Attorney General that this is an
20	accessory use, unpermitted, not allowed under the zoning
21	regulations; more to be appropriate of a text amendment in
22	front of Mr. Hood and the Zoning Commission.
23	We have an undisputed set of facts here that there
24	is no private school on the property. Adjacent to the

25 property is the closed ECC facility. It shut its doors in

2019.

2.0

2.1

It's also undisputed that Maret's campus is somewhere between three and three and a half miles away. It is not adjacent and it is not the same property. And as such, it can't meet the standards for an accessory use.

Now, earlier, there were some questions -- Mr. Hood raised the question and others -- musing about what the Court of Appeals has said about this. When the Court of Appeals was presented with the National Cathedral School case, which it decided in 2000, it had an existing Special Exception for National Cathedral and a question about expansion of athletic facilities. I'm going to remind you, that's not the case here. There is no Special Exception on the premises.

And it's the attenuation of the two properties that gives the real challenge. And the AG has weighed in and said this cannot be a Special Exception. And as (inaudible). As Mr. Sherman has said, it's high-intense use that far exceeds anything that could be expected in an R-1-B zone.

But I want to address myself to the parameters here of the legal argument. (inaudible) principal use. It seeks to read out the words "accessory" from the definition. We submit to you that that violates the rules of statutory construction and violates the holding of the National Cathedral School.

1	We have briefed it. We submitted it. We
2	submitted a brief to the record, and it's our Exhibit 261.
3	And again, we're going to align ourselves with the Office of
4	the Attorney General, which, as has been pointed out a few
5	times, formally sat and advised this Board on many, many
6	cases.
7	The next speaker, Mr. Sherman again, is going to
8	address leasing. And I would submit to you that the leasing
9	to other entities, the commercial use of the property, is a
10	further attenuation; it's a further stretch, if you will,
11	suggesting that the accessory use is an impermissible use.
12	Thank you, Mr. Chair.
13	BZA CHAIR HILL: Thank you, Mr. Donohue.
14	Mr. Donohue, I meant to say, "It is like soccer."
15	So, again, I've got a little timer going, and, you know,
16	injury is time out. And so, we'll give you your time.
17	MR. DONOHUE: Well, I'm going to hold you to that.
18	(Laughter.)
19	BZA CHAIR HILL: Yes, no problem.
20	All right. Go ahead, Mr. Sherman.
21	MR. SHERMAN: Let's go to the next slide.
22	So, on the leasing question, a first point that
23	I think is important to bear in mind, that Maret references
24	benefits to the Episcopal Center for Children and D.C. sports
25	organizations through leasing. However, these are immaterial

to the application. As we (inaudible), regulations, not whether it yields ancillary benefits to third parties.

As we just referred to, Maret's proposed leasing threatens to overwhelm the community with objectionable commercial activity. Third-party use of private school athletic fields is frequently prohibited or subject to tight restriction, including by BZA orders. Recent precedents include St. Alban's, St. Patrick's, and the Field School.

It is also important to know that public fields, different from private fields, are afforded special accommodation by the city, in the form of less stringent development requirements, such stormwater as management, and by the public, in terms of the public's expected tolerance of increased traffic, noise, et cetera, for facilities to which they enjoy routine access. fields are a different matter, as BZA has also recognized.

Maret wishes to have it both ways. Maret makes clear the facility would be under its lock and key, open to the public only at its discretion. Yet, it seeks a reputational benefit, as well as a financial benefit, from the prospect of public use. Yet, the public here -- important to underscore -- is largely private sports groups, to which Maret would lease the facilities for a fee, causing daily, year-around use of the property. It is plainly a business proposition and revenue-generator for Maret.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

1	And it is that which leads us to note that it
2	complicates, we believe, this leasing proposition, Maret's
3	legal case, but it's also what recasts the initiative for
4	what it actually is: as I said a moment ago, a multimillion
5	dollar, multipurpose, commercial sports complex, almost
6	exclusively for private benefit; yet, proposed for a
7	residential neighborhood currently zoned R-1-B.
8	Now, because of this and the scope and the scale
9	of the project, Maret is forced to develop it in the way it
10	has. And we think the development here for this particular
11	field is unprecedented. And we want to walk you through now
12	the considerations about this through a study that we've done
13	of all the playing fields in the District of Columbia.
14	So, I'm going to turn it over to my wife, Jane
15	Sherman, who will walk you through that.
16	MS. SHERMAN: Good afternoon. My name is Jane
17	Sherman.
18	Oh, can I have the next slide, please? Great.
19	My neighbor, Thierry Rosenheck and I used Google
20	Earth to study athletic fields in the District, looking for
21	comparables to the Maret proposal. Among other things, the
22	perimeters of each field we found were examined to consider
23	how well each field was integrated into its surroundings.
24	Next slide, please.
25	We identified 217 athletic fields in D.C. In the

1	vast majority of cases, these fields are nestled within a
2	larger campus, either a school or a park, and any remaining
3	boundaries are city streets. If we remove these most typical
4	fields, we are left with 33 fields where even one neighbor
5	is asked to accommodate an athletic field closer to their
6	property than across a city street.
7	Next slide, please.
8	However, we agree that it is reasonable to ask
9	citizens to accommodate a field if it is a public benefit.
10	Of these 33 fields, 24 are public.
11	Next slide, please.
12	That leaves us with nine private fields with the
13	residents in close proximity. Out of these nine, four of the
14	fields either existed before or were developed with
15	neighboring residences.
16	Next slide, please.
17	This leaves us with five fields in the District
18	to compare to the Maret proposal. Yet, not one of these
19	fields is comparable.
20	Next slide, please.
21	These five fields are shown on the following five
22	slides with neighboring residences highlighted in yellow.
23	Oh, where's my highlighting?
24	Okay, well, you can just see for yourself. To the
25	right, you have four residences here.

BZA CHAIR HILL: They're highlighted on our slide deck.

MS. SHERMAN: Are they? Okay, great, next slide please. At most four residences are affected in each field. Next slide please. You can see that in all cases there are significant setbacks, and vegetative buffers. Next slide please. None of these fields has any significant netting. Next slide. This is Maret, next slide. This, by comparison, is the ECC field with the Maret proposal, you can see that 31 residences are affected.

And there is no room for acceptable setbacks, or vegetative buffers. In fact, tightness of the site will necessitate netting 20 to 30 feet tall around most of the field. Next slide. The unprecedented location of the 48 car parking lot with SOV, and bus traffic, and associated safety concerns means an additional 13 neighbors on Nebraska will also be severely affected. Next slide. Moreover, not one of the 217 fields in D.C. has anything like the 35 foot changing grade of the ECC site, necessitating significant cut, and fell.

This dichromatic rendering shows how obtrusive the proposed field will be. This view is from 28th Street, next slide. Maret has argued that the proposed field will address the inequity of field access in D.C., but this is not where fields are needed most. When it comes to field access, wards

2.1

2.3

1	six, seven, and eight are under served relative to wards
2	three, and four by a factor of approximately two to one. New
3	fields should be developed in sync with D.C.'s ready to play
4	master plan. Thank you for your attention.
5	MR. SHERMAN: We'd like to segue now into further
6	consideration of how Maret is proposing to develop the land,
7	and for that, I want to turn to another one of our neighbors,
8	Claudia Russell, who will talk about the environmental, and
9	site plan issues that we've identified with the plan.
10	Claudia? Chairman Hill, has she been identified, and
11	elevated as it were?
12	BZA CHAIR HILL: I don't know. Mr. Sherman, can
13	you tell me again Claudia's last name?
14	MR. SHERMAN: Claudia Russell.
15	MS. RUSSELL: Can you hear me now?
16	MR. SHERMAN: Yes we can.
17	MS. RUSSELL: I'm an abutting neighbor, and
18	(inaudible)
19	(Simultaneous speaking.)
20	BZA CHAIR HILL: Ms. Russell, could you introduce
21	yourself for the record please?
22	MS. RUSSELL: I am Claudia Russell.
23	BZA CHAIR HILL: And where do you live Ms.
24	Russell?
25	MS. RUSSELL: I live at 5860 Nebraska Avenue

1	Northwest.
2	BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay, go ahead Ms. Russell.
3	MS. RUSSELL: Would you please (Inaudible.)
4	(Simultaneous speaking.)
5	MR. SHERMAN: Excuse me, Claudia, you need to
6	indicate next slide, right?
7	MS. RUSSELL: Yes.
8	MR. SHERMAN: Okay, so go onto the next slide
9	please.
10	MS. RUSSELL: Please advance the slide. And
11	again. I'm an abutting neighbor, and an architect, I've been
12	in my home for 23 years. The proposed design is simply not
13	in keeping with the fabric of our community of single family
14	homes. As stated in the code, this proposal should not tend
15	to affect adversely the use of neighboring properties. Next.
16	Maret pushes their program out to the property lines with
17	minimal to no buffers for sight, sound, and privacy.
18	There is no field in the city, as you've just
19	seen, that is so tightly wedged into a residential
20	neighborhood as Mart proposes this one. What Maret presented
21	int heir model shots is curiously devoid of the residences,
22	the very owners of which who feel squeezed by this
23	overwrought fit. The density of the footprint, and the
24	intensity of use has boiled down to our epithet that you may

25 attend a game, or practice, but we will be required to attend

all games, all practices.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

2.3

24

I'd like to note that the grey in the field is either plastic turf, or impervious pavers in the parking. The walls are shown in black, heavy black, and the purple is the netting. Generally 30 feet, sometimes 20 feet at the alley, Rittenhouse Alley, and higher at the backstop. We were told at the outset by Maret that they would not negotiate the footprint, except for the rearrangement of some minor elements, and little nips, and tucks around the edges, such as 20 foot netting on the Rittenhouse Alley. Next.

As you just saw, the hill side, which slopes 35 feet from west to east will be flattened with high stepped The walls on the east side are 12 feet high, they walls. just feet from the residential property line. Permanent fencing, and netting is 30 feet. As you can see the before, and after, the tree cover is gone. This is a diagrammatic rendering at 6004 28th Street proposal.

The arrow on the key plan clearly notes it. Next. The combination of grey walls, fences, and netting exceeds the heights of many of the homes around the site. The field sends a message of an island of enclosure, and not community. This is the view from 5860 Nebraska towards 6004 28th Street. Next. This is a view from 5939 Utah Avenue, again showing the enclosure of the site, and that's a forbidding enclosure,

and it's bereft of trees. Next.

2.0

2.1

The front yard parking lot, a requested zoning exception, projects beyond the faces of the homes on the same block. The length of the parking lot is equivalent to seven residential lots, and would essentially be a strip mall for 47 cars. Ordinary sized cars parked on the lot will rise higher than the adjacent home. This is a view from Nebraska Avenue with the adjacent home at 5860. Next. Maret plans to remove 14 heritage trees, and over 40 special tress per their documentation.

And sweep clean a 3.7 acre portion of the field, and replace it with plastic turf. This undoubtedly will increase the heat island effect with this loss of vegetation. And this directly contradicts Climate Ready D.C., and is not in keeping with DOE's goal to achieve 40 percent healthy tree canopy in D.C. by 2033. Please note the substantial impact on the landscape, and tree coverage in this image. Next. The removal of the filtering topsoil, and water absorbing vegetation runs counter to the work that has been done by the city to capture rainwater in our neighborhood before it overwhelms Rock Creek.

Maret plans to exceed the current requirements of a 50 year storm to a 25 year storm. However this upgrade does not realistically incorporate the current, and projected frequency, and intensity of rainfall in our city. The

1	possible adverse outcomes of cumulative water of the fields
2	in the field house, are of great concern to our neighborhood.
3	A good neighbor would anticipate, and design a system to
4	accommodate a 50 year storm.
5	I ask before this becomes a reality, we hope that
6	forward thinking prevails, and the dramatic plan for our
7	neighborhood will be reconsidered. Thank you.
8	MR. SHERMAN: Thank you Claudia. And now Chairman
9	Hill, I'd like to introduce Martin Beam. I might have
10	mispronounced his name earlier as Bream, it's actually Beam,
11	B-E-A-M, and he's coming to us as an expert as noise,
12	acoustics issues. So, if we can elevate Mr. Beam, and have
13	him join us, and go to the next slide.
14	BZA CHAIR HILL: Mr. Beam, can you hear me?
15	MR. BEAM: Yes, I can.
16	BZA CHAIR HILL: Can you introduce yourself for
17	the record please?
18	MR. BEAM: Yes, my name is Martin Beam. I'm a
19	principal at Miller, Beam & Paganelli, we're acoustic
20	consultants in McLean, Virginia, or actually we're in Reston
21	now, for the last 32 years.
22	BZA CHAIR HILL: I got you. Mr. Beam, I just want
23	to let you know, technically you guys aren't you're not,
24	I mean I started this at the beginning, just because you have
25	to apply to be an expert witness, and that's not something

that happened ahead of time. So, we're taking your testimony, and you can tell us about your background, which would be helpful again, for the board in order to hear your testimony.

MR. BEAM: Okay, yeah, I've been gualified before

MR. BEAM: Okay, yeah, I've been qualified before this board, as well as the ABRA, in front of courts, and --

BZA CHAIR HILL: As an expert witness?

MR. BEAM: Yes.

2.1

BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay, well then you're already in the book. If you're already in the book, then that's fine. Okay, go ahead then.

MR. BEAM: So, this installation will exceed the noise code on multiple cases. Noncommercial unamplified speech is exempt from the maximum decibel levels contained in the code. But since Maret is proposing to generate income, it's actually commercial use, and supported by the AG also having that finding. Even if the unamplified speech is considered noncommercial, and thus exempt from the maximum noise levels in the code, it would still be in violation of the noise disturbance portion of the code.

And that's the sound impact on the neighbors would be loud, and raucous, and unreasonably disturbs the peace, and quiet of a reasonable person of ordinary sensibilities. That last portion is a direct quote from the code. The sound impact of the crowd noise was estimated by Maret's own

acoustic consultant, Phoenix Acoustics, by assuming one person was yelling at a level of 90 to 95 decibels when measured at a five foot distance.

They then applied the reduction in sound from this one person to the residence. Of course, there will often be more than one person yelling at a time, which will increase the noise level at the residences. Even with this likely underestimate of the true noise impact of the crowd noise on the residences, Phoenix's report estimates noise levels of 76 to 81 DBA at the nearest residences. This is more than twice as loud as typical levels of conversation, as also noted in the Phoenix report.

Which would disrupt any normal conversation, and is also well over the noise code. The fields will also be using referee's whistles throughout the day, which typically range in level from 113 decibels, DBA, to 127 DBA at a distance of six feet. This will impact the neighbors, which according to the Maret acoustic consultant's report are 25 feet from the fields at a level between 100 DBA for the quietest whistle, up to 115 DBA for the loudest whistles.

These levels violate the noise code maximum levels by a long shot. The noise impact levels of the whistles at the furthest residences, which are 85 feet according to Phoenix, will result in noise levels of 90 DBA for the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

quietest whistles, and 104 for the loudest whistles. Whistles are not exempt from the maximum noise level limits, and thus their use would represent an ongoing, unpredictable, and extremely alerting noise violation, and noise disturbance for the residences.

Consider whistles designed that are be and extremely loud, and get your attention. Similar, the air horns used for the shot clocks are not exempt from the noise code maximum levels, and are also extremely loud. 129 DBA according to the CDC, which warns against their use due to the likelihood of hearing damage. In addition, aluminum baseball bats create highly tonal, and impulsive noise levels of approximately 124 DBA.

Tonal, and impulsive noises are more disturbing to people than bland, steady sound, and all these sources, crowd noise, whistles, air horns, baseball bats hitting balls, are highly tonal, and impulsive that will exceed the maximum noise levels allowed by the code of the neighbor's residences. I've heard testimony from Maret that they've taken this into account, and had mitigation measures. Well, what they've proposed is completely inadequate to shield the neighbors from noise levels which exceed the noise code.

MR. SHERMAN: Martin, are you finished? Thank you.

MR. BEAM: Yes.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

1	MR. SHERMAN: Very good.
2	MR. SHERMAN: Unless there's questions.
3	MR. BEAM: Excellent.
4	MR. SHERMAN: Well, I think Chairman Hill will
5	have questions at the end, correct? Okay, excellent. Well,
6	let's proceed on to the next area of concern, and we have two
7	more. One is about the use of synthetic, or plastic turf, and
8	the second are the traffic, and transportation issues. So,
9	if we go to the next slide, and then the next slide. So,
10	problems with plastic turf, I want to bring into the
11	conversation now, Chairman Hill, Kyla Bennett, and Diana
12	Conway.
13	Under the provisions you've already identified,
14	that they were not registered as experts, we understand that.
15	So, I would like to elevate both Kyla, and Diana so they can
16	speak. Thank you. And Kyla?
17	MS. CONWAY: Can you hear me?
18	MR. SHERMAN: I think that's Diana, yes, we can.
19	BZA CHAIR HILL: Yes, we can hear you. Can you
20	introduce yourself for the record please?
21	MS. CONWAY: Thank you. Sure, my name is Diana
22	Conway, I live at 10600 River Road in Potomac, and I am a
23	retired attorney, and president of Safe Healthy Playing
24	Fields, it's a national 501(c)(3) nonprofit.
25	BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay.

1	MS. CONWAY: We have an all volunteer build with
2	no conflicting interests in any kind of sports fields. For
3	over a decade, we've equipped communities, and policy makers
4	like you with science, and facts on plastic, and grass
5	fields. I have three points to make about plastic fields.
6	Basically more injuries, mountains of plastic waste
7	pollution, and dystopian heat. First slide please. I'm
8	sorry, that's the heat effect. Okay, skip to heat.
9	MR. SHERMAN: Let's go back one slide perhaps
10	Diana, are you reading off
11	MS. CONWAY: I thought we were starting with
12	MR. SHERMAN: That's fine, go forward then. We'll
13	just go forward one.
14	MS. CONWAY: The shocking heat of plastic fields
15	is not even in question, even with the new infills. When was
16	the last time anyone here played on a surface that was 100
17	degrees in D.C. humidity? Much less 110, or 120. These are
18	the D.C. area readings, even with the newer plant based
19	infills, all of which have their own problems, which I would
20	love to address. Next slide. Synthetic turf fields have a
21	dramatically higher injury rate, which has been proved thanks
22	to multiple studies by both the NFL, and the NCAA, and is
23	available in any Google search of notorious injuries.
24	You can see here Odell Beckham went down in a

25 non-contact injury, that's what those studies are about, is

1	non-contact injuries. Next slide. These plastic turfs are
2	completely unrecyclable. They have been in place since the
3	1966 Astrodome, so for over 50 years, the industry has failed
4	completely to find a solution for the rising mountains of
5	dramatically toxic plastic that we are injecting into our
6	communities, and leaving usually in ravines, and back woods.
7	Next slide, okay that's the next speaker, and so
8	I just want to I hope people will ask me questions,
9	there's a ton more to say about these, thank you.
10	MR. SHERMAN: Great Diana. Let's go back to the
11	bullet points for this particular section on plastic turf
12	please, it'll be three slides back. There you are. And
13	Kyla, please join us.
14	MS. BENNETT: Yes, thank you so much, can you hear
15	me?
16	MR. SHERMAN: Yes.
17	BZA CHAIR HILL: Yes, could you introduce yourself
18	for the record please?
19	MS. BENNETT: I will. My name is Kyla Bennett.
20	I am the science director for Public Employees for
21	Environmental Responsibility, or PEER, which is a national
22	nonprofit based in Montgomery County. I have a PHD in
23	ecology, and a law degree with a certificate in natural
24	resources, and environmental law. I am not being paid for
25	this testimony. I am volunteering my time In 2019. I

discovered that there is PFAS in artificial turf.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

PFAS stands for per, and polyfluoroalkyl substances, a class of thousands of persistent, and toxic chemicals. They are nicknamed forever chemicals because they do not break down. PFAS cause health problems, some are They affect the immune system, your kidneys, carcinogens. development in children, raise your cholesterol, and make vaccines not work on you. EPA does not yet regulate PFAS, but they are poised to do so, and they are going to be issuing regulations this year, and next.

Over the years numerous turf samples have been tested for PFAS, they all have PFAS. Industry claims it's the safe kind of PFAS, but as far as we can tell, there is no safe PFAS. In November, EPA determined that there is virtually no safe level of three of the thousands of PFAS, and these are PFAS that we are finding in artificial turf. We measure them in the parts per trillion, but EPA is telling us that they are toxic in the parts per quadrillion, which we cannot even measure.

There are three exposure pathways for PFAS, ingestion, inhalation, and dermal absorption. Children using this field will be exposed through all three pathways. Moreover, the PFAS will leech off the fields, and affect neighboring houses. If you put in an artificial turf field, the PFAS will leech off into both the soil, and the ground

water. Dust, and microplastics containing PFAS will pollute the area. Even though industry tells us otherwise, scientific research shows that between 55, and 218 kilograms of blades break off each field every year.

Each of these little pieces of microplastic is a little, toxic, time bomb. It doesn't matter what kind of infill you use. If you use organic infill, some of those have PFAS in them as well, like the BrockFILL. I urge you to reject the artificial turf. Grass is safer, it's cheaper, it will not poison your neighborhood. Once you contaminate your soil, and your groundwater with PFAS, it is yours to keep forever, there is no getting rid of it. Please don't make this mistake. And thank you so much for your time.

MR. SHERMAN: Thank you. Chairman Hill, it's logical that there would be questions, perhaps from the board, or others about how to maintain a natural turf field. We've had a lot of conversation over the last several months about this, so we're happy to take questions on that in due course. I want to transition now to our last segment on the adverse impacts of Maret's proposed development, and that concerns traffic, and transportation.

For that, I'd like to bring in Tom Downs, and David Patton, who are neighbors, and both actually experts on transportation issues. I use expert --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

1	MS. CONWAY: I don't have any idea even how much
2	time I took.
3	MR. SHERMAN: Diana, you want to mute.
4	MS. CONWAY: Exclamation point, Kyla, that was
5	tremendous.
6	MR. SHERMAN: Hey, Diana, and Kyla, you guys want
7	to mute, we can still hear you. So, let's bring in Tom
8	Downs, and David Patton. And go to the next slide please,
9	actually go three slides down. One more, there we are, all
10	right. David, and Tom.
11	MR. PATTON: Hello, hear me?
12	MR. SHERMAN: Yes.
13	BZA CHAIR HILL: Yeah, can you introduce yourself
14	for the record please?
15	MR. PATTON: Yes, I would be happy to. My name
16	is David Patton, and I'm here with Tom Downs, he's having
17	computer problems at his house, so he came over, and we're
18	going to squeeze tight for the camera.
19	BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay, great.
20	MR. PATTON: My name is David Patton, I live at
21	6007 28th Street, which is at the east end of the Rittenhouse
22	Alley. Tom?
23	MR. DOWNS: Hi, I'm Tom Downs, I live at 3035
24	Oliver Street, with a direct view of what would be home
25	plate, and the curb cut.

MR. PATTON: All right, so we have a two for also. So, I am a transportation planner, I would disclaim the idea that I'm an expert, and I'm not an engineer, but I do work in the transportation industry, and have for many years. The Maret sports field proposal has received conceptual approval for a new commercial driveway on residential Nebraska Avenue to serve the parking lot, but there are problems with that approval, and we touched on them earlier.

The traffic study that underpins that, and other decisions was fundamentally flawed. DDOT, and Maret's engineering firm failed to account for the long term closure of major roads nearby, and then used the wrong base year for traffic data. That matters, because it resulted in estimates of future traffic that are too long -- sorry, too low, and too rosy. And they also assessed safety concerns too narrowly.

I would ask to go back to the map, but let's not. I think people understand where Nebraska Avenue is, where Oregon Avenue is, and that Nebraska, and Oregon together constitute a popular commuter route between Silver Spring Maryland, and D.C. Pre-pandemic, those roads were a busy commuter route, but Oregon has been partially, or completely closed since 2016 for major construction. And what DDOT might have overlooked is that prior the road to reconstruction, there was a major sewer project that had the

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

road torn up beginning in 2016.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

Using volume numbers from 2017 to factor, and extrapolate is just poor practice. Extrapolating those numbers led to unrealistically low future estimates. We asked repeatedly for DDOT to take into consideration the road closures int his neighborhood, and we were denied every time. Two other important nearby roads have also been closed for years, compounding the under estimation of past traffic, and future traffic.

Bingham Drive has been closed longer than Oregon, closed first and Upper Beach Drive has been for reconstruction, and then throughout the pandemic, and may now These closures divert even be closed permanently to cars. more traffic onto Nebraska, onto Oregon, and neighborhood. When Oregon reopens soon, numbers are likely to be 30 percent higher than pre-pandemic levels, and 2000 vehicles per day higher than Maret's estimate.

I walked down last night to look at the new bridge over Pinehurst Branch. It's 30 feet wide, it's a major bridge, there's major capacity increases on Oregon Avenue. The driveway entrance, as this one is proposed, on a major street with 9500 cars per day, and crowds of pedestrians at rush hour, that's what's missing from the traffic study. Crowds of pedestrians crossing the street. They want to put curb nubs at the driveway entrance, but not a crosswalk.

They want to put the crosswalk projections 330 feet away at 28th Street, but that's not where the pedestrians will be. Tom is going to elaborate a bit more. Thank you.

MR. DOWNS: All right, I'm Tom Downs, I'm a former director of the District Department of Transportation, and a former city administrator of Washington D.C. I want to focus just on the curb cut. Even at the far lower estimate level of 7200 average daily vehicles on Nebraska Avenue, the site around the curb cut is extremely challenging. The line of sight to the crest of the hill is very short. You can't even see the traffic signals on Utah from the curb cut.

The natural instinct of all of the pedestrians leaving the site that park on the opposite side of Nebraska, is that they're going to walk across Nebraska Avenue, not taking into account from DDOT, the limitations on line of sight, and the average speed on Nebraska Avenue, which has been far above the speed limit. It speaks to the need, if this is an educational facility, that this is a school crossing. Officially, it needs to be signed as a school crossing.

It needs to be pavement marked as a school crossing. It needs flashing yellow lights when in use, and it needs a 15 mile an hour speed limit. I'm speaking for the health, and safety of the parents, and children who will be

2.1

entering, and leaving that site, and that this has to be a condition of approval if this is approved for the full operation of the field, thank you.

MR. SHERMAN: Thank you Tom. Chairman Hill, we've got one more segment for about another three minutes, and then we're done.

BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay.

MR. SHERMAN: So, I want to turn to really an important part of this for us. We've been told by Maret, and also by ANC that this project has to be the way it is. That it has to be this scope, it has to be this scale. We obviously have indicated we find it too big simply put, for a residential neighborhood. Too intrusive, too disruptive. But we've also taken it upon ourselves to think creatively about what might work here.

Now, we're not under the impression that the board could choose between two different proposals, we're not suggesting that. What we are wanting to do is demonstrate our good will towards what I said at the beginning. If this is about a school's access to this property for practice, and playing fields there's a way to make it work. So, we just wanted to walk you through that in just a few minutes. I'm going to turn back to David Patton, and have him do that. Next slide pleas.

MR. PATTON: One more please. So, briefly we're

2.0

going to look at two alternative schemes that Friends of the Field has developed. These are not fully fledged engineering proposals, these are sketches somebody elaborated that look at one field with natural turf that's used by ECC, and combined, these reduce the objectionable conditions in the project as it stands. Next please. This is the first scheme.

It's a single large, very large, regulation size, professional size soccer field with a somewhat reduced parking lot at the end. Fewer car spaces are needed than in the current Maret proposal. Even the current Maret proposal provides more parking than is needed. This scheme shows 45 percent less lot coverage than the 3.7 acres of the Maret proposal. Sound mitigation, privacy, and visual intrusion are much improved with this approach.

This would be a field in a park like setting, not a field perched on a high, artificial plateau. Fencing would only be needed around the field, and not around the entire five acre site. Construction would involve much less cut, and fill. This approach sends a message of openness, and respect for the setting, and the neighbors. Next please. This is the same scheme shown against Maret's tree survey plan.

Far more of the natural site is preserved, much more generous buffers for privacy, and to help mitigate

2.0

noise. Next please. We developed a second alternative, and I'll say that these were developed at a time when we were working in good faith to try to reach some sort of compromise with the Maret team. This alternative shows parking now moved even further to the interior of lot, and better shielded from Nebraska Avenue.

Locating parking here would not require a special exception. If this parking lot were created with pervious paving, it would allow for underground rainwater storage, and that would reduce loads both on the storm water system, and provide a reservoir for maintaining the natural grass field. Engineered grass fields are cooler, reduce injuries, carry vastly reduced toxic loads, and support natural ground water infiltration, and absorption.

And Kyla, and Diana have provided many, many resources for further information. Next please. This is that same scheme again projected against Maret's tree survey plan. Even less impact on existing trees. Additional heritage trees can be saved, and there's an opportunity for planting many new trees for all the benefits that trees provide. This would be far preferable to a fringe of landscaping shrubs, and raised retaining wall planters.

Locating the driveway here, instead of where it has been conditionally approved improves sight lines at the crest of the hill of Nebraska Avenue. And as before, less

2.0

intense use of the field means less traffic, fewer safety concerns, and less traffic noise, and pollution. Going back to the drawing board, as we have done, shows that the Maret proposal on the table is not the only possible alternative, even if Maret can overcome the legal hurdles of building a commercial scale off campus athletic facility in a residential zoning district.

Our scheme, again, clearly not a full blown engineering proposal, reduces lot coverage, requires less paved parking, reduces tree impact, reduces storm water loads, would reduce traffic, and parking pressure, reduces noise, and by increasing buffers, would be more compatible with this residential setting. It would tend to preserve, and stabilize our community, and this city, not disrupt them. Thank you very much.

MR. SHERMAN: Thank you David, can we have the next slide please? And then the next slide. So, by way of conclusion Chairman Hill, and board members, here's the fact. Going to this scheme that David is outlining, regardless of the configuration, we showed you two, Maret would still be able to support 82 percent of its upper school athletic programs. Again, we've heard from Maret, and heard from the agency that the two field concept is an absolute necessity.

By going to one to one multi purpose field of the sort we're proposing, a regulation sized field, it will

2.0

accommodate these nine upper school teams. We've identified them here, soccer teams, girls, junior varsity, varsity, boys soccer, varsity, junior varsity, girls lacrosse varsity, junior varsity, boys lacrosse varsity, boys football varsity, boys football JV. And we're asking the question isn't that enough?

And we're making the statement from our side that this is what the neighborhood can live with. Assuming of course that Maret can surmount the legal hurdles. Now, finally I just want to add none of this is new to anybody on this call from Maret, or the ANC. We have repeatedly put forth proposals to try to enhance this development so as to make it palatable for the neighbors, to have it be in harmony with our neighborhood, to retain the character, and integrity of our neighborhood.

And Maret has rejected all of our proposals. we just want to end on the notion that we've come to you in We've expressed our concerns, legal, and around good faith. the adverse impacts, but we've also put an alternative on the table that we're not expecting you to adjudicate, we're not saying that you choose one of these of course. We're simply saying to you that there is another way. I want to thank all of our team that contributed. I want to thank the board for listening to us. Again, we're very grateful to you for giving us party status, and for hearing us out. Thank you

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

2.3

	very much.
2	BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay Mr. Young, thanks. All
3	right, well Mr. Sherman, thanks for your presentation. I
4	don't know if you have any media training, but you seem
5	pretty good. So, I thought the presentation was done well,
6	I really thought it was informative, and I thought it was
7	well organized. I think we're going to try to go through
8	questions here in a variety of ways. And y'all want to check
9	if you're muted or not, just to let you know as we kind of
10	come across.
11	I guess Mr. Sherman, my quick question to you is,
12	I mean have you been involved in this since the beginning
13	more, or less?
14	MR. SHERMAN: It depends on what you mean by the
15	beginning.
16	BZA CHAIR HILL: Where exactly are you located
17	again?
18	MR. SHERMAN: We're at 5829 Nebraska, just across
19	from the Episcopal Center. We're basically across, Chairman
20	Hill, from where the baseball diamond, home plate would be,
21	we're kind of across the street there.
22	BZA CHAIR HILL: Give me your address again, I'm
23	sorry.
24	MR. SHERMAN: Yeah, 5829 Nebraska.

BZA CHAIR HILL:

Got it.

So, when I say the

beginning, you've been to the ANC meetings, you kind of 1 did you get involved, when did you start, or when did this 2 team start evolving with the ANC? And the commissioners are 3 here, because I have some questions for the commissioners as 5 well. 6 Yeah, certainly. MR. SHERMAN: So, we became 7 involved in this project -- the first ANC meeting on this 8 topic, there was a mention of this project in June of last 9 year, and then there was an ANC meeting in September of last 10 vear. Maret produced its proposal for community comment on November 1st, and then began a series of community outreach 11 12 meetings that they have already spoken to. November 1st of what year? 13 BZA CHAIR HILL: MR. SHERMAN: 14 Last year, 2021. 15 BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay, got it. 16 So, let me amplify that just a bit. MR. SHERMAN: One of the things that we have said to our ANC is that we as 17 a neighborhood, we've said it to the ANC, we've said it to 18 ECC, we've said it to Maret. We, as the concerned neighbors, 19 2.0 would have much preferred to have been involved from the very 2.1 beginning when the ANC became aware of the project, when ECC, 22 and Maret signed the lease, we would have preferred that 23 there had been, at that time, community outreach.

once the project was done, the proposal was signed, sealed,

So, that we could have provided our output, not

and delivered, and submitted to BZA, the first draft of that -- the first application went again on November 1st, but back in time. So, if you asked me how long have we been involved? Practically we've been involved since we first saw the Maret proposal on November 1st.

BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay, so that was my first question. All right, now I'm going to just turn to my board members. Do you guys have any questions? You want to keep kind of chugging along? Do you have any questions right now for the party in opposition?

I have a few quick questions, and one point of information. First, if you will indulge me, when you see people you want to remember, it's been over 30 years, I want to know is this the same Tom Downs, and this has nothing to do with the case, that was the former president of Amtrak?

MR. DOWNS: That's it, that's right.

ZC CHAIR HOOD: Okay, I actually left Amtrak before you, I thought so. I left Amtrak before you, I left when President Claytor was the president, but I had heard your name for years, so thank you. And thank you all for indulging me for digressing that. Let me ask, Mr. Sherman, the -- no, let me go to Mr. Donohue first. Mr. Donohue, you sure have cited the OAG memo. Did OAG approach you to support you, or did you approach them?

2.0

2.3

We approached the OAG. 1 MR. DONOHUE: 2 Because of their new way of doing ZC CHAIR HOOD: Now, do you think they would have -- if you had 3 things. supported this project, would they still have supported you, 5 or are they just taking opposition cases? I'm just curious, to your knowledge. 6 7 MR. DONOHUE: I'm sorry Mr. Hood, that was 8 garbled, I didn't get it. 9 Is it still garbled? ZC CHAIR HOOD: It was? 10 MR. DONOHUE: No, you're good. Did they approach you because you 11 ZC CHAIR HOOD: 12 were in opposition -- you approached them because you were in opposition, do you think they would have still taken your 13 case if you were a proponent? 14 15 MR. DONOHUE: Well, I don't know. But I'll say this, we approached them, because we thought that this was 16 17 a twisted interpretation of the zoning regs. We knew that application originally designed 18 Maret was 19 Can't be accessory, so they tried to fit within 2.0 this principle use, we couldn't figure out how they could get 2.1 there, so we approached the OAG to see if they would agree 22 with the position. And as you see from the opinion, they do 23 not. 24 CHAIR HOOD: Okay, I really meant, for me, regardless of how OAG, and how everything comes out, that's

how I believe this whole process should be working with OAG, and the community, so I'm fine with that. Mr. Sherman, let me ask you about your vision. This is what the community's vision is, but -- and I don't mean this personally, but I just want to have this discussion with you. Obviously Maret feels like the 82 percent that you support does not leave them room to grow.

I mean they're trying to grow with the new field. I understand the impacts that the field may have on the neighborhood, but do you think the 82 percent support, the way I read it, is room for Maret to grow, or gives them room to grow?

MR. SHERMAN: Well, in terms of growth, we've identified nine different athletic programs that would be supported by a multi purpose field. The one program, one sport would be baseball, which you can see, in our one multi purpose field, don't include a baseball diamond. So, that would not be there. There are other alternatives of course, Maret still operates the Jelleff facilities in Glover Park, and there are other options for that.

The thing is really this, as I indicated, we want to be supportive of any school using these facilities. Keeping in mind, ECC, when they had children resident in their program, they had children in their swimming pool, they had children on their fields, we've lived with this without

2.1

objection. And we're prepared to live with a school using athletic fields going into the future. And we just see it as an opportunity to reach an agreement with the neighbors that can work for everybody.

MR. DONOHUE: Okay, I may come back, and have some more questions Mr. Sherman, because as you have Maret now, it's going all over the city, and this is why I'm asking them to go back, and look at their track record, that they do with Dwight Mosley Field. Mr. Tummonds, as you know, I have worked with you, and other applicants on these kind of field situations, and I'm going to ask through the chair, I'm going to continue to ask Mr. Tummonds, in those other cases it took us maybe 20 years hopefully to get it resolved.

I hope it doesn't take that long here, because I see the same things brewing. I'm hoping Mr. Tummonds, you have great experience in working with cases, and I'm not going to call the name of the cases, I don't want to jinx it. But you have a lot of experience in working with the community in cases. And I would ask Maret, Mr. Sherman, to continue to work through this process. Because I hear what the community is saying.

We have an OAG letter, we have -- I know the zoning requirements that we have to look up, but I think one fo the things that's key, and I really appreciate Mr. Sherman, your group's presentation. I think it was very

2.0

thorough, and very well done. But Ms. Bennett, let's talk about PFAS. PFAS is a hot item at the United States Environmental Protection Agency for the past two years or so, probably even longer. But PFAS is all that's being talked about right now.

Some of the situations that you named about the kids, and the fields, I'm sure you're familiar, you asked us to ask you questions, so I'm going to ask. I think it was you that asked us. But anyway, the fields, we've known some years ago in the city, when they were changing over fields that cause cancers to the kids, and that was what was predicted, or insinuated. I believe that proved not to be true, am I correct? That question was to Ms. Bennett. I think it was Ms. Bennett who talked about PFAS.

MR. SHERMAN: So, Mr. Hood, Ms. Bennett had to leave at 3 o'clock for another engagement, but Diana Conway is still with us, and perhaps she can take that question, if that's okay with you.

ZC CHAIR HOOD: Yeah, that's fine. Ms. Conway?

MS. CONWAY: Yes sir, thank you for the question,

I am not a PHD, but I am a retired attorney, and I've spent

ten years working on this issue, you're exactly right about

the PFAS issue. It is all over the map because the science

is incomplete, because the only people who have known about

it were places like 3M, and DuPont. So, most of the

2.1

information we have about PFAS is from organizations like Dr. Bennett's that are taking it upon themselves as a nonprofit to start testing for this stuff.

Most of the information that is coming out is the result of Dr. Graham Peaslee at the University of Notre Dame, who is a nuclear physicist who came up with the first test to detect any PFAS, and you have to run a fluorine test. So, the science is extremely incomplete, and I would say simply that any time any kind of science comes through with some certainty, it's worse. So, for example the fire fighters are turning up with staggering levels of testicular cancer because their turn out gear is soaked in it.

The dust levels in fire stations are tremendously laden with PFAS, and there's just not enough science on the other places. But I will tell you that we have proved, and we now have the industry admission that they use PFAS in the manufacture of these products. So, you're talking about most fields are two acres, this is almost four acres of PFAS laden plastic stretched out, killing everything below it, and leeching day after day into the water, soil, and air.

Most drainage systems on fields are geared to field performance, not protection of water quality. So, there is not enough information to give you a hard number on how much, or where it's going to go, but we know it's not good, and it's forever.

2.1

2.3

1	ZC CHAIR HOOD: Thank you. And I know a lot of
2	people are probably trying to figure out the nexus between
3	that, and the land use which the board is doing. The nexus
4	for me is we take an oath to protect the residents of the
5	District of Columbia, and that includes health, and adverse
6	impacts, and everything, and when I heard that, that's what
7	came to mind. So, that's all the questions I have for now.
8	But there's some additional discussion, I have a lot more
9	questions.
10	But as the chairman has mentioned, we don't want
11	to be here until two o'clock talking about the same thing.
12	So, I will forego the rest of my questions, and I think I can
13	find my answers through the record. So, thank you Mr.
14	Chairman.
15	BZA CHAIR HILL: No problem Chairman Hood.
16	Chairman Hood, we're going to be here until late, but we'll
17	be talking about other things by then. Let's see, anyone
18	else for the applicant? Okay, Mr. Downs, I'd like to thank
19	you for your service also as well. I know the boards that
20	you have served on, and it is a challenge, and so thank you.
21	MR. DOWNS: Thank you.
22	BZA CHAIR HILL: Let's ee. Okay, Mr. Tummonds,
23	do you have any questions for the presentation?
24	MR. TUMMONDS: No questions.
25	BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay. Let's see, we did the

Office of Planning. We did the -- commissioners, are you 1 guys -- so Commissioner Speck, and Commissioner Higgins, can 2 3 you hear me? 4 MR. SPECK: Yes. 5 BZA CHAIR HILL: Commissioner Speck, are you with us for the remainder of the time, or do you have to go? 6 7 MR. SPECK: I'm hoping to be. 8 BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay, great. Okay, because I had 9 questions I'11 wait until for you, but qet 10 everybody's testimony. So, Mr. Young, what we're going to 11 do you quys, is I'm going to ask Mr. Young to let everybody go -- well, how am I going to do this? 12 It gets kind of confusing, because I haven't had this many people on the 13 screen before. Mr. Young, do you know how many people you can 14 15 bring in on the screen at one time? I don't think that there is a limit. 16 MR. YOUNG: I think it will just get pretty crowded. 17 So, if you want to do like five at a time. 18 19 BZA CHAIR HILL: Let's do five at a time. Leave just if some kind of need 2.0 here, there's 21 questions, and you all -- if you've got any questions of anybody, just let me know by raising your voice I suppose. 22 2.3 I'm not going to go around the table each time to ask --

well, I'll do a bank of five, and then I'll see if you got

any questions, okay? Mr. Young, if you would tell me the

1	five that you're bringing in.
2	And why don't you bring in support first, as per
3	the regulations? By the way, the tie is about to come up
4	pretty soon Chairman Hood. (inaudible)
5	ZC CHAIR HOOD: I'm not going to comment on the
6	tie.
7	BZA CHAIR HILL: Yeah, well it's done now.
8	MR. YOUNG: So, I brought the first four in, the
9	fifth one is calling on the phone, so I'll just unmute them
10	when it's their time.
11	BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay, could you give me the names
12	please Mr. Young?
13	MR. YOUNG: The first one is Aakash Thakkar.
14	BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay.
15	MR. YOUNG: And then Alison Brooks.
16	BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay.
17	MR. YOUNG: Edward Galiber.
18	BZA CHAIR HILL: Galiber?
19	MR. YOUNG: Yeah.
20	BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay.
21	MR. YOUNG: And Frentress Roach.
22	BZA CHAIR HILL: And who's on the phone?
23	MR. YOUNG: Gregory Poe.
24	BZA CHAIR HILL: All right, let's start, Mr.
25	Thakkar, or Ms. Thakkar, I can't see, can you hear me?

1	MR. THAKKAR: Can you hear me?
2	BZA CHAIR HILL: Yes, is it Mr., or Ms. Thakkar?
3	MR. THAKKAR: Yeah, Mr. Aakash Thakkar.
4	BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay.
5	MR. THAKKAR: For some reason I can't get the
6	video, I don't know if
7	BZA CHAIR HILL: That's all right, I can hear you
8	Mr. Thakkar.
9	MR. THAKKAR: Okay, great.
10	BZA CHAIR HILL: So everyone knows, as a member
11	of the public you'll have three minutes to testify. So, if
12	you could please introduce yourself for the record, and then
13	go ahead, and give your testimony.
14	MR. THAKKAR: Happy to do it. So, my name is
15	Aakash Thakkar, and I'm involved in this case in a couple of
16	different ways. I live in the ANC over in Chevy Chase, D.C.,
17	I'm a member of the Maret board of trustees, and my kiddos
18	do go to Maret, just to share kind of my various
19	affiliations. As you Chairman Hill, likely know, and
20	certainly Chairman Hood knows, I've also appeared frequently
21	before both of you as a partner in a firm called EYA on
22	matters not related to this.
23	But I do have some perspective that I'd like to
24	share, given that expertise, or supposed expertise if you
25	will. A couple thoughts, first, we have been working, and

I will say it this strongly, Chairman Hood is probably the person who taught me 20 years ago that when you're working on a project like this, you have to work with the community from the very start. And I'd like to say that while we understand that there are still concerns with Friends of the Field, we have worked, myself, Marjo Talbott, Trey Holloway, and others, sitting in folks' backyards for months.

As Bruce said, starting in September, October, November, to try to work on any issue we could to get to what I call a happy place. And I think that the 38 page ANC resolution clearly shows that we worked very hard with all the parties that we could work with to get to a resolution. I think the issue we're having here, and I don't believe it is one that is surmountable, is our need for two fields. We need two fields because, as Bruce said, and as we have said, we need the baseball diamond, and we need the multi purpose field.

The good news is, as Mr. Halloway said, we don't use both of those fields at once, but we need that type of field coverage, just like the Jelleff Field, frankly, can be used down in Georgetown to allow those different sports to be played. And so, that's the crux of this back, and forth. But we need the two fields, and I think we've done a lot of work with regard to buffers, and to vegetation, and such around the site to make the field palatable for folks who

2.0

live close by.

2.0

2.1

2.3

I'll also say that fields like this, I live right around the corner from Chevy Chase Community Center, baseball field, parks, lights, there are houses right across the street, and I'm not saying there aren't issues, the lights are the big issue over in my neighborhood, no lights with this field, but fields are a part of the urban fabric, and neighborhood fabric throughout the city, and I think this one will be that as well.

The last thing I will say around this discussion with OAG, I guess I do have some grave concerns, only in that -- back to Chairman Hood, what he taught me 20 years ago, if you want to get involved, work with all parties. And for a letter to come in at literally the 12th hour, I know that we weren't reached out to by OAG. I know the ANC, who I thought was the group that OAG was actually supposed to represent, I had read what OAG's land use group was going to do, and I thought they were going to work with ANCs to help them understand the process, and weigh in.

The ANC didn't hear from OAG, and if you look at the letters, and briefs submitted by Donohue & Stearns, and then the OAG brief, they look extraordinarily similar. And I say that to say that clearly there was collaboration there, but it strikes me that if OAG wanted to sort of get an understanding of the full picture, that there would have been

outreach to all the parties involved to understand our 1 positions, our interpretations. 2 3 In fact, exactly what we are proposing, because 4 the OAG letter frankly misstates the number of students that 5 are going to be using the field, the type of leasing activity that may happen. So, I will just share with you, it really 6 7 concerned us seeing that at the 11th hour with facts so misrepresented, and without time to just sit down, 8 9 understand the positions, and walk through the facts of the 10 case, so all could understand where folks were coming from. 11 So, I am here to testify in strong support. 12 appreciate the ANC, and the Office of Planning, and this board's time, and I'm here to answer any questions that folks 13 may have. 14 Thank you. 15 BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay, thanks Mr. Thakkar, and welcome back, I haven't seen you in awhile, and I'm still not 16 17 seeing you now, but I do recall seeing you often before. Okay, the next one is Ms. Brooks, can you hear me? 18 19 I'm sorry about that, I was using my MS. BROOKS: 20 mouse when my mouse wasn't working. 2.1 BZA CHAIR HILL: Sure. 22 MS. BROOKS: So yes, I am here. 2.3 Could you introduce yourself for BZA CHAIR HILL: 24 the record please? 25 MS. BROOKS: Certainly. My name is Alison Brooks,

I am a Maret alumni parent, I also happen to be the chair of 1 an ANC, and an ANC commissioner that has appeared before you 2 3 in the past. 4 BZA CHAIR HILL: Old school, all right. 5 MS. BROOKS: Full disclosure. Commissioner, go ahead, and give 6 BZA CHAIR HILL: 7 us your testimony please. 8 MS. **BROOKS:** Sure, so today I'm here in 9 capacity as a resident of Washington D.C., and a Maret alumni 10 parent. I thank you for allowing me the opportunity to speak. 11 I submitted a letter of support in advance of my testimony. 12 And while I intended to address that letter today, after 13 listening to some of the comments, I feel like I want to address some of the points that were in fact made today, so 14 15 forgive me if it's sort of a little disjointed. 16 Mr. Donohue brought up development, and traffic, 17 and I'd like to point out that many areas of the city have multiple developments at the same time. 18 This is typical in Washington D.C., and these 19 inconveniences are normally 2.0 temporary. So, District of Columbia is an urban environment, 2.1 and every area of the city has seen increased traffic, and We all work together, and no community, 22 parking problems. 23 or friend of the community is immune to that. 24 Traffic, and parking are components of city life, and Maret has submitted a traffic plan, and has always

adhered to the traffic plan that they use in Woodley Park. Quite honestly, in my opinion, I see this really as an equity issue, and I want to make sure that everyone sort of keeps that in the forefront of their mind. Ultimately this small fringe group is opposing the application because they don't want change in their quality of life.

And they don't have any regard for the quality of life impacts for the much larger group of residents that would be impacted once the field is in operation. So, traffic, and parking in the city is a nightmare, and always has been, and will continue to be, and we all have to share in that. The truth is that the only thing that never -- that nothing ever stays the same, that's the only thing that doesn't change.

The city is a place that's open to all, right? We've heard those commercials, D.C. is open. So, when we talk about, or when the fringe group talks about the problems for residents, they're talking about the same types of issues that everyone in the city is affected by when development projects come into their neighborhood. And again, as an ANC commissioner, I'm uniquely qualified to discuss those impacts in a community.

Given the financial issues that ECC faces, this field will be used for something, whether you like it, or not. Whether it's affordable housing, which Councilman Lewis

2.0

George suggested what he would have looked for in this space, sports field, or some other unidentified lease. I don't think the Friends of would be receptive for any of those uses that forces them to change their view of their world. And so, while fields might be needed in wards six, seven, and eight, that does not mean that city residents don't travel throughout other areas of the city.

It does not mean that residents of wards six, or seven should be forced to remain on their side of the city, and only use fields in that side of the city. It doesn't mean that residents from other areas of the city don't come to parts of the ward to use public, or private schools. There are numerous, as I said before, ongoing developments in my community specifically, and whether, or not people in other areas of the city were in more need was never a consideration of this group when I came before you.

That was not the metric by which you determined And so, for whether, or not development should take place. it to be known that the record, Ι Ι found that want particular comment extremely offensive to me personally, and to others that were raised, and natives of wards six, seven, But I think it's very telling of the sense of and eight. the neighbors in this community often that express, and that I've heard them express in prior meetings.

And this is probably, quite honestly, the only

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

1	occasion where this group would be concerned about children
2	that are living in ward seven, and eight today. It was
3	disingenuous, it was hypocritical, and it was insulting.
4	Considering Mr. Beam's testimony, I'd like to mention that
5	Wilson High School, which is just up the street, has an
6	outdoor field which Maret has often used in the past, and it
7	wasn't disturbing to the quality of life of those residents,
8	and as the mother of a division one football player, I was
9	one of those parents that was out there shouting, and
10	screaming, and ringing cow bells.
11	So, unfortunately I think that there is an over
12	exaggeration of the noise impact to their quality of life.
13	More specifically, it's important to reiterate that Maret has
14	a track record of being a good, and transparent neighbor,
15	currently located in a D.C. neighborhood, much like the
16	proposed location, the campus is regularly used by external
17	groups, the community, et cetera.
18	BZA CHAIR HILL: Commissioner?
19	MS. BROOKS: Yes?
20	BZA CHAIR HILL: See if you can help wrap it up
21	for me, because I think
22	MS. BROOKS: Yes, this is my last bit, I promise.
23	BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay.
24	MS. BROOKS: Last little bit. I lost track of
25	where I was, but that was my last little bit, so I'll just

end there.

1

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay, thank you Commissioner Brooks. All right, next person I got here is Mr. Galiber.

MR. GALIBER: Yes, good afternoon, can you hear me okay?

BZA CHAIR HILL: Yes.

MR. GALIBER: Very good. Good afternoon everyone. My name is Edward Galiber, I reside at 1742 Holly Street, Northwest Washington D.C., just across the park. I am an ECC board member, and I'm an urban school psychologist, and I was the psychologist for the Episcopal Center for Children for three years. And also before that was the placement specialist for D.C. Public Schools for ten years. And Episcopal Center for Children has been a critical element of education for the city.

For not just D.C., but Maryland, and Virginia as well for its unique approach to educating special needs kids. This project facilitates the reopening of the Episcopal Center for Children, and Ι know that this board is particularly dealing with the Maret project, but I am here to be supportive of the project, because it facilitates the opening of the Episcopal Center for Children, and I hope that's brought in as part of the decision. That's all I have, thank you.

BZA CHAIR HILL: Thank you. The next is Ms.

Roach?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

MS. ROACH: Yes, Frentress Roach, hi, how are you?

BZA CHAIR HILL: Good, can you introduce yourself
for the record please?

MS. ROACH: Yes, my name is Frentress Roach, I am a D.C. resident, and I am in support of Episcopal Center for Children, as I was a part of a child that went attended their school from 2009 to 2014. And their school is critical to the community, to the D.C. area in support of just having a specialized education school system that supports, and gives a therapeutic environment to help students with disabled needs.

My daughter has actually transitioned out, and she now attends Wilson, which is still in the community, and she is doing well. She made honor roll, she made notes in support of -- she's not being able to be here, she's in school right now, but she states that she has matured, handles a lot of frustrations well, and that ECC is a very diverse school that teaches you a lot of life long skills that you can use down the line.

reading, and writing helped Her has her substantially, and she has exceeded grade level expectations So, I think just -- I understand the traffic in that course. congestion, and other annoying nuisances of having a new field being put into the community, and

1	activities that are not normally there I think there's a
2	great opportunity to try to expand, and help the school
3	develop to maybe maintain those trees that are not able to
4	be maintained the same as other areas.
5	Or, because of lack of funding, or whatever the
6	school may have dropped. I'm just I'm really in support
7	of ECC. I mean it was a great environment for me, and my
8	child. I chose this school, it was the only school local to
9	D.C. area, instead of having my child drive to Virginia, or
10	Baltimore, which is a long hour ride, which being
11	specialized, that's a long time for children. So, I'm really
12	in support of this therapeutic environment staying put in
13	this neighborhood. And so that's all I have to say, thank
14	you.
15	BZA CHAIR HILL: Thank you Ms. Roach, is it Poe
16	on the line? On the phone?
17	MR. YOUNG: I just brought him in.
18	BZA CHAIR HILL: Hello, can you hear me? Mr. Poe?
19	MR. POE: Mr. Chair, can you hear me?
20	BZA CHAIR HILL: Yes, can you hear me?
21	MR. POE: Yes sir, I apologize (Inaudible.) may
22	I proceed?
23	BZA CHAIR HILL: Can you introduce yourself for
24	the record?
25	MR. POE: Yes, thank you. My name is Gregory Poe.

My wife, Sunita Duggal, and I have lived at 2923 Northhampton Street Northwest at the corner of Utah Avenue for almost 15 years. Our house is one block down on the west side of Utah from the Episcopal Center for Children intersection. We strongly support the Maret ECC proposal. It's a wise, and productive use of the site for the entire community, Maret, and the ECC.

Our February 3rd letter supporting the project is exhibit 128 on the BZA docket. Along with living close to the site, we've been Maret parents for 16 years. Our younger daughter is a second semester senior, and we have nothing to gain by supporting the project. The significance of our Maret affiliation is that we've witnessed, for the past 16 years, without exception, the intense commitment that Maret shows to the communities with which it's involved.

Our neighborhood should welcome Maret. I've attended every ANC meeting since December regarding this project. Every Maret office hours meeting. The February 26th, DDOT public space committee meeting, and the February 21st, and 23rd so called town hall as convened by project opponents. I've read every filing on the BZA docket, if nothing else, I'm well informed, and I live right here, just as the opponents do.

With respect to traffic, and safety issues for example, the facts support the Maret project, and the

2.0

speculation on opposition is quite overblown. Indeed, you've heard this afternoon from Mr. Patton, a leader of the opposition group, but at the February 23rd meeting, he stated that Maret's traffic study is, and I quote, a competent study done by competent professionals who were hired by Maret to do what was required to meet what DDOT required, close quote.

And today at 12:32 p.m., Mr. Donohue, the lawyer for the opposition group stated that there is a quote pretty significant traffic situation now, close quote. And that's just wrong. I live right here. We understand the anxiety, and resistance to change that some people in our neighborhood have expressed. The site however is not park land, as some opponents have characterized it over the last three months. It's the ECC's private property.

We live in a dense, urban environment. This affluent northwest neighborhood is part of it. We should support efforts to increase space for children to develop, should support efforts serve children with we to emotional challenges. I apologize for my video malfunction Let me end my remarks by noting our appreciation for the hard work that our ANC has done. And I must add that the attacks by the opposition group on the chair of the ANC, Randy Speck, which I mention only because they are in the record in this case, are unwarranted, and unfair.

His integrity is beyond reproach. Thank you for

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

the opportunity to testify today. Sunita, and I respectfully 1 request that the BZA approve the project. 2 3 Okay, thank you. BZA CHAIR HILL: All right, 4 everybody do me a favor, and just mute your line for a 5 Mr. Beam, were you trying to say something earlier? 6 MR. BEAM: Yeah, I was going to respond to the 7 statement from one of the previous supporters --8 BZA CHAIR HILL: I understand, can you do so --9 can you give me a couple seconds, and go ahead, and respond 10 if you like? 11 MR. BEAM: Sure, right now? 12 BZA CHAIR HILL: Yeah, go ahead. 13 MR. BEAM: Okay. So, I don't remember exactly what she said, just that there's not going to be a noise 14 15 impact, she's a parent, and she has a cow bell. And you know, it's well over the noise code. The impact is going to 16 17 be well over the noise code. The noise code isn't developed so that nobody hears anything. It's developed in an urban 18 environment, understanding that people have to live with each 19 20 other. And even if --2.1 BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay, ${\tt Mr.}$ Beam, I'm sorry. Commissioner Brooks, I thought that -- I couldn't remember 22 23 why you brought up Mr. Beam's name, I thought you had brought 24 Beam's name because there was little bit а controversy to the comment.

MS. BROOKS: No.

2.0

2.1

an opportunity to comment back. So, Mr. Beam, we'll come back to you, it was concerning the testimony for the sound mitigation, and I appreciate the commissioner for allowing me to just clean this up in my mind, because I wanted to make sure there was -- as everybody knows that does this in the public, things run hot sometimes. So, I'm just trying to make sure everybody is as respectful as possible, that's all.

MS. BROOKS: Absolutely.

BZA CHAIR HILL: So, thank you both for allowing me that opportunity to clean that up. So, Mr. Beam, we'll come back to you a little later, and Commissioner Brooks, thank you. Does my fellow board members have any questions for any of the witnesses? And if so, please raise your hand. Chairman Hood?

ZC CHAIR HOOD: I'm sorry I keep having questions, but I have to make sure I understand what's going forward. I have a question for Commissioner Brooks, and I appreciate Ms. Roach for her comments about her daughter, and glad she's doing well, and continues to do well. Commissioner Brooks, you mentioned equity, and I know that's one of the things that through the council, and the city, and the mayor, we have to deal with racial equity.

Could you expound on that a little bit? You

mentioned the equity issue, and I want to make sure I can grasp, and have my arms around where you were coming from mentioning equity.

MS. BROOKS: Sure, it's multi faceted. So, there's the equity issue in that this affluent neighborhood doesn't want what it doesn't want, and they just want you to shut it down, it's not what they want. It's an equity issue because the ability for students to pursue sports, and have the same playing field, figuratively, as other schools, other comparable schools. So, int his conference that would be schools like Sidwell, Flynn Hill, et cetera.

To be able to have the ability to work out regularly, to compete on a quality field, to not have to roam the city looking for fields, to be able to have consistent practices, equates in some cases into real dollars. And life changing dollars. So, as I mentioned, my son is a Maret graduate who attended a division one college for free. He left college with a four year degree, and is able to not start his adult life not in 250000 dollars of debt, which is what Wofford College costs.

He then was able to come back to Washington, D.C., his home, and work for the district government, and help to teach, and represent himself to other students in the city. And to give an example to young people every day. That's the real difference in a man's life.

2.1

ZC CHAIR HOOD: I got you, and you made your 1 point, I put that right on my list with personal accessory 2 3 use, so thank you Commissioner Brooks, I appreciate that. 4 Thank you Mr. Chairman. 5 BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay, give me a second Mr. Patton, because I'm not sure exactly what I'm going to do 6 7 here with you guys. What's supposed to happen, well anyway, 8 give me a second. Does anybody --9 I'm just trying to answer Mr. Poe, MR. PATTON: 10 who invoked my name. 11 BZA CHAIR HILL: Got you, okay. Does anybody have anymore -- well let me do this first. Okay, so I'm going to 12 try to make sure nobody mentions anybody's name anymore if 13 they can help themselves, okay? So, go ahead Mr. Patton, and 14 15 please respond. I'll make it brief. 16 MR. PATTON: So, our group, 17 Friends of the Field, put on two town halls outside of the ANC setting to help share things that we knew, and had some 18 19 experience with at the end of February, February 21st, 2.0 February 23rd, Mr. Poe attended both of them I believe. 2.1 asked me to share the video of the February 23rd meeting, at 22 which Mr. Downs, and I presented some of our thoughts about 23 transportation. 24 And I said at that meeting, yes. That the Wells

& Associates study ticks all of the boxes that were required

1	of them by DDOT. That does not make me say that the DDOT
2	study was not fundamentally flawed, that it was badly scoped,
3	and that there were huge elisions in it. I stand by that
4	comment, thank you very much.
5	BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay, great. Let me see here,
6	so nobody's got anymore questions, all right. Let's see, if
7	now Mr. Young, I don't know how you do this, but I guess
8	if you can kind of keep all the witnesses, can you excuse
9	everybody, and bring them back Mr. Young, or once they're
10	excused, are they excused?
11	MR. YOUNG: No, I can bring them back.
12	BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay, if y'all want to stick
13	around, you can, because you're apparently interested enough
14	that you stuck around this long, but I'm going to excuse you
15	guys for now, okay? If you can excuse the witnesses, and
16	bring in, how many more you got on this side now? You've got
17	another five for me Mr. Young?
18	MR. YOUNG: Yeah.
19	BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay. And if you can name their
20	names please, as they come in.
21	MR. YOUNG: Cheryl O'Neill, Dipa Mehta, Ian
22	Cameron, Jay Ingram, and Jennifer Backus.
23	BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay, great. Mr., or Ms.
24	O'Neill, can you hear me?
25	MS. O'NEILL: Yes, I can.

BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay, great, could you introduce yourself for the record please?

MS. O'NEILL: Yes, I am Cheryl O'Neill, resident of 3619 Everett Street Northwest. I am the parent of a child that attended ECC for about four years, and is a severely disabled child. And I would just like to propose that I am a proponent of this proposal, just because there is a paucity of resources for children like my daughter, who suffers from a severe mental illness, and ECC was an extraordinary experience in her life.

After ECC, after she graduated from ECC, and she aged out at the end of her elementary school career, she was forced on a bus to Virginia, and to Maryland, and to many schools that were not part of her community, and that disruption in her education, and being forced out of the District of Columbia was devastating for her, and on top of many other illnesses. And so I'm a little bit surprised that so much of this hearing has been about traffic, and parking, and the Maret school.

Because in my mind, this is about preserving an enormous gem in the District of Columbia, that welcomes in, and educates, and improves a very, very disadvantaged population of young children with severe emotional, and behavioral disabilities. And I just can't say enough about how it's improved her. And a part of it has not only to do

2.0

2.1

2.3

1	with the extraordinary staff that they had, which we hope
2	will come back some day, but also the extraordinary quality
3	of the place, which no one has talked about.
4	Yes, it's a beautiful campus, the open space that
5	is part of that campus will be preserved in this proposal,
6	and it will also help in the funds that it will provide for
7	ECC to reopen their doors again, which will be an
8	extraordinary thing for the children of the District of
9	Columbia, who will no longer need to ride on buses for hours,
10	and hours to Virginia, or Maryland to attain education. So,
11	I strongly support this application, and implore you to do
12	so. Thank you.
13	BZA CHAIR HILL: Thank you Ms. O'Neill, thanks for
14	your testimony. Ms. Mehta, is it Mehta? Was there Mehta
15	somewhere?
16	MS. MEHTA: It is, yes, hi.
17	BZA CHAIR HILL: Hi, could you introduce yourself
18	for the record? Yes, please.
19	MS. MEHTA: Yes, sure. My name is Dipa Mehta, and
20	I am commissioner for ANC 3F03.
21	BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay, Commissioner Mehta, you'll
22	have three minutes, and the clock is there, and you can begin
23	whenever you like.
24	MS. MEHTA: Great, thank you, thanks. Thank you,
25	and thank you members of the Board of Zoning Adjustment for

the opportunity to speak today. I am here today to share my perspective as a ward three ANC commissioner, because I believe that there are several compelling reasons why the BZA should grant this special exception. Underscoring what the prior witness said, it's my understanding that ECC's mission is aimed at the needs of children with significant emotional, and social challenges, clearly an under served group in the district.

As a policy matter, I believe the district should support measures that fortify the fiscal health an serves a vulnerable population. institution that commissioner, and that's what I'm testifying as today, believe it sets truly a dangerous precedent to yield tot he collective will of nearby property owners regarding under utilized private property. Many district residents, including me actually, would love to live near undeveloped green space for which they do not pay taxes, and which they do not otherwise support, or maintain.

But that simply cannot be the standard of review for the BZA. The fact is that this project is the subject of an agreement between private parties on privately owned land. When I did a google search of athletic fields in ward three, I couldn't find a single field that was not located either directly adjacent to, or across from private homes. Unless the BZA decides that children don't belong in

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

neighborhoods, which is clearly not the district's policy, the BZA should grant the special exception relief sought by Maret in order to proceed with the field development project.

Fourth, -- third, excuse me, I note that as a practical matter, the agreement between the ECC, and Maret, would keep large amounts of the property green, and undeveloped. The residents who oppose the field project seem to be banking on their short sighted conviction that blocking this project will prevent all future development of ECC's property, which I think is a highly unlikely outcome given ECC's need for revenue, and the district's goals for density.

Finally, I want to note that as a commissioner, I often hear from folks who are opposed to a neighbor's renovation plans, a landscape project, or a new building construction. In some instances the concerns raised are valid, because they involve legitimate issues that are addressed by statute, or projects that contravene public policy. In other cases, the concerns of activist neighbor groups are not grounded in law, or sound public policy, but are instead fueled by a desire to preserve the status quo that they have come to enjoy over many years.

Or to avoid inconveniences that they believe they should not have to bear. In my experience, common defining characteristics of this latter group includes moving from one line of argument to another, and when all of those arguments

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

2.3

levy personal attacks those charged 1 on evaluating the proposed project while balancing the interests 2 of different constituencies. The Friends of the Field group 3 has played out all of these tactics at the ANC review level. 5 And when faced with a balanced, and well reasoned result coming out of an exhaustive ANC review, the group is 6 7 now abusing the process by asking the BZA to reject the ANC's judgment to achieve an outcome that diverges from sound 8 9 public policy, and would block a project that would surely 10 benefit many stakeholders in our city, most importantly, the children at ECC, and the student athletes who would benefit 11 12 from use of athletic fields. It's my hope that in reaching a decision in this 13 matter, the BZA will take into account the thorough, and 14 extensive review process undertaken at the ANC level, and 15 avoid yielding to 11th hour contortions of zoning regulations 16 17 order to achieve an outcome that the serves interests of a small group. Thank you all for your time, and 18 19 consideration today. thank you for 20 BZA CHAIR HILL: Okav, 2.1 testimony commissioner. Is it Cameron, is that available? 22 That's right, yes, Ian Cameron. MR. CAMERON: 2.3 Great, could you introduce BZA CHAIR HILL: 24 yourself for the record please?

MR. CAMERON:

25

Yeah, my name is Ian Cameron,

president of the Maret board of trustees, and have two children who went to Maret, and they've now since graduated. I just wanted to add a couple things, because a lot of points have been made here, so I won't say what others have said. Clearly, all the city agencies have concluded that this project will not have an adverse, or objectional impact on the area, and that's important to remember.

Ι just wanted, there's been lot of misrepresentation from Friends of the Field, I'll just draw a couple of examples. It was stated by one witness that whistles would be used throughout the day on the field, complete misrepresentation if you look at the proposed schedule for field use that was included in our presentation. The lawyer for Friends of the Field characterized activities on the field by outside groups as being jamborees, and soccer tournaments.

Again, complete mischaracterization. In fact, with our agreement with the ANC, we will not be holding, neither Maret, nor other users will be holding any kind of events like that, it's completely contained to individual sporting events. At one point, one of the witnesses for the Friends of the Field said that a bat hitting a ball would be an objectionable impact, would it exceed sound barriers. And as a resident of the city, I was appalled that we are saying basically someone playing baseball is exceeding the noise

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

laws of this city.

2.1

2.3

That's incredible. Meanwhile, I'm sure many neighbors, not only in this area, but all across the city use new electric leaf blowers regularly. I think we just have to have a little bit of proportion when we're talking about this project. We've worked with the ANC, we've worked with the neighbors, we have a long list of conditions which we've agreed to by the ANC to be in aligned with the neighborhood. We've shown incredible open approach to our neighbors.

Having a number of meetings with our neighbors, ANC meetings, information sessions, and we are good neighbors, and I think we have to approach this project in line with what Commissioner Mehta just stated, that this is in line with so many regulations in the city. And finally, I just want to add one thing, Friends of the Field cited the Ready2Play strategic plan for DPR. And it's true that Ready2Play is a guiding document for DPR.

In the Ready2Play survey of ward four residents, ward four residents overwhelmingly expressed a desire for more recreational fields, including multi use fields such as this. Moreover more than 30 percent of those ward four residents who participated in the survey said they used school facilities for their recreation. And finally when asked to identify additional recreation sports in ward four, the ECC property was identified multiple times by

respondents, more than any other location in the ward, and certainly west of the park.

And this goes to the bottom line, residents in ward four, in fact across the city, realize that more fields, whether they're owned by schools, or operated by DPR are needed, especially west of Rock Creek Park. Thank you very much.

BZA CHAIR HILL: Thank you Mr. Cameron. All right, next witness, is it Ingram?

MR. INGRAM: Yes, hi, how are you? So, I'm Jay Ingram, I live in the 3100 block of Quesada Street, so I would consider myself a close proximity neighbor to the ECC, and for the record I have two children that are currently enrolled at Maret. But I approach the development of the ECC property with a long relationship to this subsection of Chevy Chase, having lived here for almost 17 years, and during more recent times, I, and hundreds of others, maybe thousands of other residents have regularly speculated about what would become of the ECC if, and when it was no longer to continue its operations.

And now that day has arrived for the BZA to address the future of this land, and without question, the ECC Maret partnership is a terrific solution for all of the stakeholders involved. The official record in this matter is extensive, and my remarks can in no way supplement the

2.1

2.3

details, or documentation associated with this proposal. But what I can testify to is my certainty that Maret will be a first class partner to this region of the district.

Maret's level of commitment, and attention to the concerns of the community, particularly the considerable effort that was made to engage, and accommodate the interests of the residents whose properties are immediately adjacent to the grounds is representative of the level of community oriented collaboration that is a cornerstone of Maret's operations, and should provide maximum encouragement to all stakeholders that Maret is, and will be an exceptional partner for many, many years.

When it's all said, and done, the proposal relates to the development of a playing field. Let me restate that, a playing field. A space where kids, kids from Maret, kids from the ECC, kids from this neighborhood, and kids from other neighborhoods can play the sports they love, and have fun in a world that can seem upside down at times. And while this represents a threat to some in the immediate proximity, who have gone to extraordinary lengths to obstruct, and delay, I just don't see how that can ever be viewed as a detrimental objective, and result. Thank you.

BZA CHAIR HILL: Thank you Mr. Ingram, thank you for your testimony. Is it Ms. Backus?

MS. BACKUS: Yes, it's Jenny Backus, nice to meet

2.0

2.3

you.

2.1

2.3

BZA CHAIR HILL: Nice to meet you as well, could you please introduce yourself for the record?

MS. BACKUS: Absolutely. My name is Jenny Backus, and I live with my husband Ed Pagano at 5841 Nebraska Avenue, which is directly across from where the new field will be. And I am here today to speak in favor of this proposal. I just want to hit a couple of things first. We do have a son who is a sophomore at Maret, who probably won't end up getting to use this field, because he doesn't play the sports that are listed.

But we would have been strong proponents of this proposal, regardless of whether, or not our son went there. He just started in ninth grade, he went to Lafayette, and Deal. He was an active kid who played a lot of sports, we grew up looking at that field across the way, we love ECC. I think that's the major reason why my husband, and I are mostly in favor of this proposal. Is because raising our child, and growing up in ECC, meeting those kids, meeting the teachers, we had a lot of use on the street.

The teachers would park, the kids would swim in the swimming pools, it was wonderful to see that community going. I volunteered a lot at Lafayette, and Deal to support the kids at ECC, and one of our biggest challenges in the neighborhood is ECC didn't have the resources to open up that

field tot eh community. There were signs posted, no trespassing. Some people tried to sneak on, but looking at that green space, and not being able to let the kids in the community use it has been really frustrating.

So, I think one point that hasn't been stressed here is that having this proposal is going to open up, and they're inside the ANC conditions, which I do want to thank all of our ANC commissioners for all of the time that they've put into this, and countless hours, we hosted one of those community meetings in our home, but the conditions in there, that I would encourage you to look at are increased used for the community to use that space.

We can finally actually get on that field, and play pickup football on Thanksgiving, or have my son, or any other kid in the neighborhood go throw a frisbee. Having that gives the community more access to that space versus less. The second thing I want to quickly say is that there are a lot of people in the neighborhood that do support this proposal, and I understand. I live directly across, we were very worried when we first heard about this.

And I think that there's really been an attempt for dialogue, but I think sometimes when people get upset, some facts get exaggerated. And I am concerned that there's been communicated to some of our neighbors, who initially may have been inclined to ask a lot of good questions like the

2.1

Friends of the Field have, that have not been given accurate information in response. There's been lots of talk about crazy traffic, and all this stuff that people are using, but I really would encourage you to go back, and look at the stipulations that were laid out with the ANC about just how much people can use this space.

And we have seen the ECC vibrant, the busing, the encouraging of other people to use it. The second to last thing I would really quickly like to say is that there are a lot of parents all over this city whose kids play youth sports, who have to drive hours, and hours to go there. There's a lot of people in this neighborhood, having this field here, people can walk to this field. I'm disappointed that there's not more use for community youth sports, but I understand we all have to make compromises.

I thank you so much for your attention to this, I know it's a really difficult thing. But there are a lot of people in our neighborhood, even some of those people that were blue dotted on those maps that support this proposal, and I really thank you for your time.

BZA CHAIR HILL: Thank you. Thank you for your testimony. I'm looking at my board members first, do my board members have any questions for the witnesses? Okay, I meant also by the way, whether there was any questions from the parties also, and so are there any questions from the

2.1

Okay, then I'm going to go ahead, and excuse this 1 group, and thank you all for your testimony. Mr. Young, how 2 3 many more do we have on the support side? 4 MR. YOUNG: I have eight more. 5 BZA CHAIR HILL: Eight more on the support side? 6 MR. YOUNG: Yeah. 7 BZA CHAIR HILL: How many do we have on the 8 opposition side? I think I have that list, that's all right. 9 Go ahead, and see if you can fit in -- can you fit in eight 10 more here? 11 MR. YOUNG: I believe so. 12 BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay, let's go ahead, and try 13 that. And before you do pull that up, Mr. Donohue, and Mr. Tummonds, can you hear me? 14 15 MR. TUMMONDS: Yes. 16 MR. DONOHUE: Yes. 17 BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay, so again, what I'm doing here is -- as you can see, there's a lot of testimony, so I'm 18 19 just quickly asking if anybody has any questions. So, if you 2.0 do, just speak up after I go through the bunch. 21 trying to get -- there'll be a chance, I guess, in rebuttal, and then also questions from rebuttal Mr. Donohue, that you 22 23 might have an opportunity to respond if you choose to. 24 I'm just letting everybody know that so that we can be as efficient as possible.

1	Mr. Young, if you can go ahead and bring in the
2	next if you can get in eight here, that'd be great, and
3	just give me their names as they come in.
4	MR. YOUNG: I have Joel Velasco, Lisa Brown,
5	Lionel Brown, Mary Beth O'Quinn, Michael Sriqui, Mike Di
6	Marco, Stephanie Nash, and William Simons.
7	BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay, this is the biggest group
8	we've had on the Zoom thing during the pandemic. I'm going
9	to bring in more now just to see what happens. So, we're
LO	going to go one at a time, and if you all want to turn on
11	your cameras, fine, if you don't, that's fine. I'm going to
12	go one at a time through this. What I'd like to say, if you
13	all can hear me, is that please don't say anything
L4	controversial about somebody else.
15	Don't call out a name, don't call anybody
16	anything, because then I'm going to get back, and forth, and
L7	we're not in person, so it's very difficult to do this
18	through this Zoom environment. So, try your best to keep it
L9	to the testimony that you would like the board to hear.
20	Because the board's the ones that are trying to decide this.
21	Okay, Mr., or Ms. Velasco, can you hear me?
22	MR. VELASCO: Yes, I can.
23	BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay, can you introduce yourself
24	for the record please?

MR. VELASCO: Sure. Mr. Chairman, my name is Joel

Velasco, my wife, and I have called Chevy Chase, D.C., Barnaby Woods home for nearly 15 years. We live just a few blocks away from the field. Our two boys are students at Maret after attending Lafayette Elementary, and one at least will have graduated by the time this project is concluded, if it is on time. In other words, our car spends countless miles shuttling kids around the DMV given the shortage of field space in our neighborhood.

Regardless of your views about this project, I ask you to think about what this neighborhood will, could, should look like in 10, 15, 20 years. Yes, many of us will not be living here, but someone will. This city is growing, albeit more slowly in the last couple of years. The Metropolitan Council of Government projects D.C. population will add another 100000 by the end of this decade, and perhaps reach 1 million by the middle of the century.

What that means is that we must find better ways to utilize the open spaces in our increasingly urban area. Wish as you may, but none of us are going to stop that trend. The ECC property will not remain as it is. You may wish to think of it as pristine, but a closer look reveals another story. The trees are old, the fences are rusty, the trees are covered in ivy, and rotting, and generally on their last leg.

If you really care about the environment, like my

2.0

2.1

neighbors claim, then it's time to pony up some money to the ECC to preserve what's left behind while we litigate this I believe in fact Maret is doing just that. away. They're providing the resources that **ECC** can SO correction, restart its mission while ensuring this open space is available for more people. If you want things to stay as they are, no trespassing signs on rusty chain link fences, and decaying streets, sure, oppose this project.

ECC's mission won't be fulfilled, sorry kids with special needs, better get on that train to Baltimore. Parents will continue to travel to every corner of Montgomery County for sports, and above all, get ready because change is coming. Another applicant will soon be here asking for another variance. Perhaps for a high rise, mixed use property, or even worse, the property will just be abandoned. It has been said here, change is not always easy.

But the Maret ECC partnership is the best opportunity that has come to our neighborhood, and will be a welcome relief to families of not just soccer dads like me, but also parents of special needs kids in our city, thank you.

BZA CHAIR HILL: Thank you, thank you for your testimony, and thank you for keeping it under three minutes.

All right, Mr. Brown, can Mr. Brown hear me, or Ms. Brown?

MR. BROWN: I'm Lionel Brown.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

BZA CHAIR HILL: Got you. Mr. Brown, if you could introduce yourself for the record, you'll have three minutes, and you can begin when you like.

MR. BROWN: Thank you Mr. Chairman. My name is Lionel Brown, I live on the 5300 block of 29th Street, where I also volunteer as neighborhood block captain, whole-block captain for my neighborhood. My wife Ruth, and I, full disclosure, are Maret parents. We have a senior, and a sophomore, who like other people said, will not directly benefit from this project when it's completed. As we noted in our letters to the BZA, and the ANC, we strongly support Maret's proposal.

Given it is the best option, and supports the greatest need both for a vibrantly growing neighborhood, and city. It thoughtfully, and empathetically balances the school needs for playing fields, provides neighbors in the community, and city wide access to additional quality fields, while more than adequately, I'm sure most of us would agree that given all the stipulations in the MOU that you talked about earlier, correct? Which, in my personal view is a bit onerous, but the school has already stipulated to that.

And then more importantly, we have to take the need of the ECC into consideration. This is a place that supported special need kids, these kids have a need. I mean we have a few people talking for them, but not a lot of

2.0

2.1

2.3

1	people in our community are here to represent their views.
2	I mean, we should agree that the ECC needs to recommence its
3	operations. I can say as a Maret parent, long time Maret
4	parent, and I would like to think of myself as dispassionate,
5	even though I'm corrected to Maret, since my kids go there,
6	but I know the school.
7	I know the neighborhood, I've advocated for some
8	of these issues the neighbors are advocating for here with
9	the ANC. And I know the ANC has done a very good job in
10	terms of balancing all the needs, and I think they're
11	considerate of the proposals, of the stuff they sent to the
12	BZA should be taken very, very seriously. And for these
13	reasons, I'm asking Mr. Chairman, and the rest of the BZA to
14	respectfully support the approval of the project.
15	And we should get the project underway, because
16	it's in the best interest of our neighborhood. So, thanks
17	for your time, and thanks for the opportunity.
18	BZA CHAIR HILL: Thank you Mr. Brown, thank you
19	for your testimony. Ms. O'Quinn?
20	MS. O'QUINN: Yes.
21	BZA CHAIR HILL: Could you introduce yourself for
22	the record please?
23	MS. O'QUINN: Yes, can you hear me?
24	BZA CHAIR HILL: Yes. Okay, my name is Mary Beth
25	O'Quinn, and I'm going to speak in support of ECC. I am a

parent of a former ECC student, who graduated in 2018. I want to bring attention to the historic role that ECC is playing in the history of the welfare of children in the District of Columbia. They are truly a unique institution. They have national prominence in their model of treating, and educating children with trauma. Many children in D.C., in the past have trauma.

And this is going to be even more frequent in the future as we come out of the pandemic, where children have suffered so much loss of primary, and secondary care givers. My son was adopted from foster care with severe trauma, he was fully diagnosed with PTSD at the age of four. He came to ECC, and benefitted tremendously from its attachment based program. It is based on trust with people, and a child learning to relate to adults, and relate to their peers.

So that they react with kindness, and respect. And once a child is stabilized emotionally at ECC, then they can begin to heal. This model has been developed at ECC to a high level of function, and my son benefitted from this tremendously. He made a friend, a very good friend, and those two boys helped each other heal from the loss of their biological mothers, and they're continuing to grow, and trust, and help each other grow up.

My son is now graduating from high school. He has been accepted to college, he is a National Honor Society

2.0

student, and he is going to study social work, because he wants to work at a place like ECC, where he can help children with his own level of trauma to heal, and operate as productive adults in society, and form nurturing, loving relationships in their own families, and communities. That's what we all ought to do, not just for children.

And ECC is the one that gives us parents the tools to do so, and the support parents need to heal children like this. I am so grateful that my son had this opportunity to benefit, and heal in this very unique place. Thank you.

BZA CHAIR HILL: Thank you Ms. O'Quinn, and thank you very much for your testimony. Let's see, is it Shakira -- I'm looking, Sriqui? I'm totally saying that wrong, I'm sure. Michael, Michael can you hear me? I can't hear you, but now I can at least see your mouth move.

MR. SRIQUI: That's better, can you hear me now? BZA CHAIR HILL: Yeah.

MR. SRIQUI: Okay, you were pretty close Mr. Chairman, thanks very much. My name is Michael Sriqui, I'm 5232 Sherier Place Northwest, I'm testifying today as a commissioner for ANC 3D04, and as a board member of D.C. Soccer Club, formerly known as D.C. Stodderd Soccer. Just before I start, I want to say for the record that I'm testifying in my individual capacity as a commissioner, ANC 3D did not take this issue up, and has no position on it.

2.0

I'll try to go through my testimony, and skip, because a lot of it has already been provided, and I'll offer But simply as a commissioner, it's hard it for the record. put my finger on a proposal like redevelopment proposal that is -- whose win, win benefits are as extensive as these. I think that should also be the case for those who are skeptical of development, simply for the reason that this is one of the least intensive uses for that land that could probably come up. So, in that case it's a win for those folks too.

One thing I'd like to reflect on is that this case, I believe provides the BZA with an opportunity to give institutions space to reevaluate generally accepted notions of how field use factors into their missions as what they like to call themselves as good neighbors. Maret happens to be a valued, and indeed proactive partner in the community. And speaking from the viewpoint of D.C. Soccer Club, it really has been.

It's been proactive actually, it's made its main campus fields available for youth athletics beyond its student body for a long time, and we have every confidence that this project will allow it to expand on that commitment. And it's one of the reasons D.C. Soccer Club is so excited to endorse it. But Maret is a fairly exceptional case in this regard. Similar institutions often close such

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

facilities off from community use through conditions campus plans, relief applications, and other interactions 3 with the zoning process. think approval of Maret's special exception will signal that other potential request sorry, interpretations of what it means to be a quote good neighbor are indeed possible in this respect. I think Maret has devoted ample resources to tweaking its plan to meet somewhat relative the intended requests to use of the facilities that it proposes here. It has visited, and revisited ways in which to discourage public parking on public road, and already hemmed in the site's potential, that even perhaps rare use of temporary lighting might enhance. 13 14 So, Mr. Chairman, if Maret seeks greater latitude to expand public access in the name of youth sports, or any other worthy community use, it deserves to have the board's 16 And again, I would ask that my full written comments be allowed on the record. I also have a statement 18

your time. I quess Mr. Sriqui, how BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay. do you say it?

from the D.C. Soccer Club staff that did not get in under the

MR. SRIOUI: Sriqui.

BZA CHAIR HILL: Sriqui, Sriqui.

24 hour wire, and I'd like to submit that too.

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

15

17

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

Thanks for

Sriqui, yeah. 1 MR. SRIOUI: 2 Sriqui, if you could go ahead, BZA CHAIR HILL: and submit your testimony into the record, if you're saying 3 you have not had it -- you did not, is that what you're 5 saying? 6 So, I have my own MR. SRIQUI: That's correct. 7 testimony which I'd like to submit. Also the club did not 8 get its letter in under the 24 hour wire, and there was a 9 letter prepared by staff. I'm a member of the board. 10 BZA CHAIR HILL: I understand. So, if everyone would like to go ahead, and submit their testimony into the 11 record, if they have not done so, we'll go ahead, and keep 12 the record open for that. And then the D.C. Soccer Club will 13 go ahead, and keep the record open for their testimony as 14 15 Mr. Moy, if you could just go ahead, and keep a list 16 of all the witnesses, if in fact we're missing their written 17 testimony, so that's number one, okay. 18 Let's see, thank you for your testimony Mr. Sriqui, I'm totally not getting it, but close, as best I can. 19 20 And then Di Marco, is it Di Marco? 2.1 MR. DI MARCO: Yeah, I'm here. 22 Thanks Mr. Chairman. MR. SRIOUI: 2.3 BZA CHAIR HILL: Yeah, sure, of course, thank you. 24 Mr. Di Marco, and thank all the commissioners for coming. If you're a commissioner, good for you. Mr. Di Marco, you

want to go ahead, and introduce yourself for the record, and give us your testimony please?

MR. DI MARCO: Sure. I am Mike Di Marco, and I am here today both as a D.C. resident, as well as the executive director of Horizons Greater Washington to testify to Maret's history as a good community partner, and support the proposed partnership between Maret, and the ECC. For context, Horizons is a primarily summer academic enrichment program for 400 K through eight students in the D.C. area. We've hosted a site at Maret since 2000.

At Horizons, we work not only to stop the summer slide for under served students in Washington D.C., but to accelerate academic achievement during the critical summer months. And on average, Horizons students gain one to three months in math, and reading skills at the conclusion of each summer with Horizons. We're proud to serve students who primarily attend title one public, and public charter schools.

None of our incredible results over 20 plus years of programming would be possible without Maret's commitment to Horizons. This commitment to community, and Horizons's mission starts at the top with head of school Marjo Talbott. It was Marjo's commitment to our mission, and building a better, more equitable D.C. that brought Horizons to the D.C. area over 20 years ago. Since that time, Marjo has served

2.0

2.1

as a steady presence on Horizon's board of directors, and helped our program expand from serving 15 students at Maret in 2000 to serving over 400 students at three schools in summer 2022.

It's Marjo's vision, commitment, and advocacy with her fellow school leaders that's made our expansion in programming possible. I can personally attest to the way that Maret wholeheartedly opens their doors to serve our Horizons students. At no cost, Maret provides program space, busing, staff resources, and material supplies, and yes field space to Horizons to ensure that our Horizons students can have a transformative, and life changing experience every year for nine years.

As part of the partnership, Maret really works hand in hand with us. As a community partner, I've seen the entire Maret community live out their mission, and values, particularly in supporting their student's success in connecting to their communities. In my role, I can attest that Maret is a conscientious, welcoming, willing partner, always mindful of their responsibility to serve the greater good.

I'm grateful for Maret's partnership with Horizons, and fully confident that the proposed partnership with ECC will benefit the larger community. Thank you.

BZA CHAIR HILL: Thank you Mr. Di Marco. Is it

2.1

1

2

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

MR. SIMONS: Simons.

BZA CHAIR HILL: Simons.

MR. SIMONS: Simmons has two M's.

BZA CHAIR HILL: Great, hi Mr. Simons, could you introduce yourself for the record please?

7 MR. SIMONS: Yes, I'm just turning on my video. 8 I quess I'm on, yes.

BZA CHAIR HILL: There you go.

MR. SIMONS: Thank you, and good afternoon. Му name is Bill Simons, and I have been a volunteer at the Episcopal Center for Children for over 35 I'm years. currently the chair of the board of directors. For over 125 years, ECC's vision has been to create an educational, and therapeutic environment for young children with severe emotional disabilities. These are children who, variety of reasons, require special education services that are simply not available in their neighborhood schools.

Providing these services is expensive, and the funds we receive from the various government entities did not cover our costs. The very difficult decision to temporarily suspend operations before we depleted our reserves was heartbreaking. When word got out that we had paused our school, developers approached us about buying our vacant land, and even all of our buildings. We received numerous

inquiries from churches, charter schools, retirement communities, housing developers.

But the ECC board was unanimously opposed to selling any of our property. When Maret School approached us with a plan to lease our open land, and develop it into playing fields for children, we felt that it was an excellent solution. Instead of filling our vacant land with more buildings, our partnership with Maret provides ECC with income so that we can renovate, and we are renovating our buildings, and reopen the school.

It provides athletic fields for children, and preserves our five acres as open space for the neighborhood. It would be irresponsible for us not to use every resource we have to reopen our school to serve the children, and the families that need us. Two things are absolutely certain. ECC will not be deterred from our longstanding mission of helping special needs children, and their families. And change will come to our five acres of open land in one form, or another. We cannot afford to have it remain as is. Thank you for allowing me to testify.

BZA CHAIR HILL: Great Mr. Simons, thanks so much, I didn't know we were going to get you. Can you tell me again what your role is?

MR. SIMONS: I'm the board chairman of the ECC.

BZA CHAIR HILL: This is great, you're the last

2.1

witness, and this is somebody who I would have had some questions for, so that's great. And the board might as well in a moment. I see one person's hand up, I think that's the last person. Mr. Blake, you had a question?

MR. YOUNG: You have one more witness, sorry.

BZA CHAIR HILL: I'm sorry, okay Mr. Simon, and everybody else, just hang on a second. Who do I still have? Is it Nash.

MR. YOUNG: Stephanie Nash.

BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay, Ms. Nash, I'm sorry, could you go ahead, and introduce yourself for the record? You're on mute Ms. Nash.

MS. NASH: Thank you. Can you hear me now? I was getting a little nervous there, I thought maybe I wasn't going to be called upon. My name is Stephanie Nash, I'm the CEO here at the Episcopal Center for Children. I've worked here for more than 30 years as a clinical social worker, and administrator. A lot of what I wanted to talk about has been said, and it was very heartfelt to hear supporters say some of what I want to say.

But I want to take this time as the CEO to say our nonprofit, nondenominational organization here has resided in Chevy Chase since 1930 on this beautiful campus. We were recently nominated for historic landmark status as well. We provide special education, and family support to children

2.1

coping with conditions that you heard our parents speak about. ADHD, oppositional defiant disorder, mood disorder, trauma, anxiety disorder, and specific learning disabilities.

D.C., 84 percent of our students were from D.C. Public Schools, and public charter schools. The rest were from Virginia, and Maryland. Our students were primarily children of color, of which 91 percent of our students qualified for free lunch under the federal government guidelines. Our students face many challenges, and 47 percent had multiple disabilities. You heard our board chair mention in 2019, we made the painful decision for our operational pause because of the funding gap.

Our campus is silent today, but we eagerly away resuming services for our children, and for our families. Some have suggested that ECC's services are no longer needed. This is simply not true. Talking with the Office of the State Superintendent -- excuse me, the superintendent of education, otherwise known of OSSE, it's very clear that there is a continuing need for ECC, not just today, but in the future.

With the pandemic, I'm sure people have realized the need for mental health services for children will only increase. While the District of Columbia has improved upon serving special education for public schools over the years,

2.0

2.1

2.3

mainstream is not an option for the children that we've served. Their individual educational needs were so great that their IEPs could not be addressed in the public schools, it was not sufficient.

So, unfortunately while we've paused our program, several of our other schools like ours also closed in 2019. So, at this date, there's only one school similar to ECC in the district. Due to these closures, district students, as you heard, are being bused. Some of them up to three, to four hours a day on school buses to get to their schools that are in Virginia, and in Maryland. And that, we think is a detriment to families, because they cannot participate in the education, and treatment of their students, of their children.

To reopen, you heard our board chair, we need to have additional revenue streams. So, our hope, and our belief is when Maret reached out to us, building an athletic field, we were excited by the opportunity to align with a school that we believed had the same commitment to education, and diversity that we had. We feel strongly that athletic fields -- excuse me -- an athletic field is less intrusive than some of the other options that you've also heard our board chair mention.

The clear benefit's of Maret's athletic field would include long term funding for ECC, it would include use

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

for our ECC students who primarily were in wards six, seven, and eight to be able to use the field, in addition to neighborhoods, and the community children. We've appreciated Maret's sensitivity, and diligence, and working through this process. It's been a long one, as you might guess. It's time for ECC to get back into the business of helping children with special needs.

Our intention is to reopen this fall in 2022, we've done significant repairs, and we'll be continuing to do so. Our initial program will be 20 to 25 students. With the referral process playing out, we expect that enrollment to grow. So, I thank you all for your time, and I strongly encourage you to support Maret's application. I'm looking forward to the children walking through these halls again, and it not being so silent. I welcome, and thank you all again for your time, and attention.

BZA CHAIR HILL: Thank you Ms. Nash, thank you for your testimony, and thank everyone for their testimony.

MS. BROWN: Mr. Commissioner, I'm sorry, my name is Lisa Brown, and I was scheduled to give testimony, and I wasn't called on. I think there were two Browns, and so you may have missed me.

BZA CHAIR HILL: I'm sorry Ms. Brown.

MS. BROWN: That's okay.

BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay, could you please introduce

2.0

2.1

yourself for the record? And then also, you'll have three minutes as well.

MS. BROWN: I can, and I'm sorry, I don't have my video. My name is Lisa Brown, I am the Maret Parent's Association president. I have two children at Maret, I have a senior, and seventh grader who both will not benefit from the use of the field, as they play different sports. So, I just wanted to -- there's lots of things that I wanted to say, that have already been said, but I do want to touch on two points.

And one is that I feel like I know for a fact I have several friends who live in, or near the area of the proposed fields who have been afraid quite frankly, to speak in favor of the fields, because they feel like they're going to be bullied. So, I just want that to go out there for the record, that some of those blue dots that we saw on the map were actually folks who are in favor of -- while their address might lie close to the field, and close to Friends of the Field, they actually are in favor of this development.

The other thing I'd like to say is that I've sat on several meetings, if not all of the meetings starting way back in the fall, and I take issue with the way Maret has been characterized as a bad actor. I've been a parent of two students at the school for seven years, and I can tell you that Maret, and the leadership of Maret is very intentional,

2.0

2.1

2.3

in everything that they do. And as the president of the MPA, one fo the things that I'm charged with is building community, and fostering communication.

Maret, at their Woodley Park location, makes sure that we all follow the guidelines. I myself, when I was coming just for my tour, parked in the wrong place, and before I could get on the campus, I was approached, and gently, and respectfully reminded that that's not where I could park, and would I come, and move my automobile. Maret hosts farmer's markets, and as you know, other sports teams. And community, and being a good actor in the community is primary to what Maret stands for.

So, I respectfully ask that the BZA vote yes, a resounding yes for us to go ahead, and develop these fields so that not only the children of Maret, but other children in the District of Columbia can use these fields to further their athletic desires. Thank you so much.

BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay, thanks Ms. Brown. Thank you everybody for their testimony, thanks for sticking around so long. It's -- you obviously care to stick around this long. Let's see, does the board have any -- I have a couple of questions, but does the board have any questions for anyone? I just only have one. Ms. Nash, you guys, so when you're -- when would you open -- you're saying you're opening in the fall.

2.0

I'm just trying to understand the relationship, and I can also ask Mr. Tummonds, the relationship between this agreement, and ECC actually starting again.

MS. NASH: It is largely contingent on this going through. Our timing, and a lot of the renovations that we've started have begun in a way, programing, and planning for fall 2022. There may be some flexibility with that, because we had been in operation, we have what the city calls a certificate of approval. I've already submitted that, and working with the city around any other guidelines that would need to be addressed, sort of an iterative process.

So, right now our goal is for fall of 2022, but yes, indeed, it's contingent on us being able to move forward with this application, and some of what is being planned, and discussed at this time.

BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay, thanks. I mean it's not necessarily within the general standards, but I was curious as to when -- how this relationship bore out. So, okay, does anyone else have any questions? Okay, all right, I'm going to go ahead, Mr. Young, and excuse everyone. And then what I would propose, is that the board take a quick break. And hopefully you all come back, meaning my board members. You know we've got two more?

I don't know what's going to happen now, you know? So, but anyway, Mr. Young, if you could excuse the witnesses,

2.0

2.1

1	and bring back everybody who was here with us before. And
2	then let's take a quick break, okay? And we'll come back in
3	five, ten minutes, okay? Thank you.
4	(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter went off the
5	record at 4:32 p.m. and resumed at 4:41 p.m.)
6	MR. MOY: Our Board has returned to its public
7	hearing session after a very quick recess. And the time is
8	at or about 4:41 p.m.
9	BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay, Mr. Young, can you hear
10	me?
11	MR. YOUNG: Yes, I can.
12	BZA CHAIR HILL: How many in opposition that you
13	still have with me? I have 26 signed up.
14	MR. YOUNG: I have, like, 16, give or take.
15	BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay. Why don't you go ahead and
16	bring, let's see if we can do eight at a time again.
17	MR. YOUNG: Okay.
18	BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay. And then just give me the
19	names, okay?
20	MR. YOUNG: First one is Kathy Abbruzetti, and
21	then I have Crystal Wright, who is on the phone, and I'll
22	unmute her, Ann Sutherland, Carol Zachary, Jil MacNeice, who
23	I believe is also with Thierry Rosaheck, Jonathan Axelrod,
24	and Mary Callahan.
25	BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay. So that'll get me, that's

	our first batth then, oray.
2	Okay, so as I'm sure you all have been listening
3	throughout the day or what have you, everyone who's here get
4	three minutes to testify. If you could please go ahead and
5	introduce yourself after I call your name for the record.
6	And then please, again, don't call out anybody's
7	name or, like, say anything, you know, really controversial
8	about any person or persons. Just stick to the testimony,
9	and it'll be better. Because basically you're trying to
10	convince the Board that they're not meeting the standard for
11	us to grant the relief requested or vice versa.
12	All right, the first person I have is Abbrunetti.
13	I don't know if I'm saying that right or, oh
14	MS. ABBRUZZETTI: Hi, good afternoon, it's Kathy
15	Abbruzzetti.
16	BZA CHAIR HILL: Oh, Abbruzzetti. Okay.
17	MS. ABBRUZZETTI: Yes.
18	BZA CHAIR HILL: Ms. Abbruzzetti, if you could
19	introduce yourself for the record, and then go ahead and
20	begin whenever you like.
21	MS. ABBRUZZETTI: Absolutely, I'm Kathy
22	Abbruzetti. My husband and I live at 5939 Utah Avenue. So
23	our property backs up to the park and is very close to the
24	field that's being proposed.

So as a bordering neighbor of the Episcopal Center

for Children, I'd like to restate our support of the school and their mission. But as more information has come to light regarding the commercial scale, high intense use of the proposed sports complex, we've become alarmed and disheartened at the size of the design and the scope of usage for the fields.

Through many meetings with various participants, we've learned that the proposed sports complex scope and usage will create a negative environmental impact as well as create noise, parking, and traffic issues that are contrary to the communal fabric surrounding ECC.

When you combine this proposed complex with the proximity of St. John's College High School, which is 0.5 miles away, the probable impact of that noise, parking, and traffic will be detrimental to those who live in the surrounding neighborhood, pay taxes, and support the city.

Our residential zoned community will be disrupted permanently by the scale of this project and the intensity of its use. Our ANC Commissioners failed to listen to over 150 adjacent families and to protect our neighborhood from such a drastic project.

As an adjacent neighbor, I feel that our concerns have not been heard or addressed. So we ask this Board of Zoning Committee to vote against Maret's request for a zoning exception and help our community continue to seek a viable

2.0

2.1

2.3

1	solution for ECC that is less invasive and more in keeping
2	with the neighborhood currently zoned as residential. Thank
3	you.
4	MR. YOUNG: Thank you, Ms. Abbruzzetti. Mr.
5	Wright or Ms. Wright, I can't see.
6	MR. YOUNG: Thank you. I have Crystal Wright next
7	who is on the phone. And I'll unmute her.
8	BZA CHAIR HILL: Ms. Wright, can you hear me?
9	MS. WRIGHT: I'm not on the phone. I'm on the
10	computer.
11	BZA CHAIR HILL: Can you hear me?
12	MS. WRIGHT: No. Yes.
13	BZA CHAIR HILL: You can hear me?
14	MS. WRIGHT: Yes, hello?
15	BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay, hello.
16	MS. WRIGHT: Sorry, I thought, yes, can you hear
17	me?
18	BZA CHAIR HILL: Sure.
19	MS. WRIGHT: Okay, sorry. You were saying I was
20	on the phone. But I'm actually on my Mac. So I got a little
21	confused. I apologize.
22	BZA CHAIR HILL: No problem, that's all right.
23	You woke us all up.
24	(Laughter.)
25	MS. WRIGHT: Sorry. Ha, ha, ha.

So you want to --1 BZA CHAIR HILL: 2 I guess I've been waiting all day. MS. WRIGHT: 3 Okay. 4 BZA CHAIR HILL: That's all right, I got you. 5 want to introduce yourself for the --Thank you so much. 6 MS. WRIGHT: Yes. Thank you 7 My name is Crystal Wright. I have resided at 5853 I oppose Maret's redevelopment 8 Nebraska Avenue for 13 years. 9 of the ECC field into an athletic stadium in the middle of 10 a residential neighborhood. And as a single Black woman, I can tell you, 11 12 entitlement did not pay for my home, but hard work did. house sits directly across the street from the side of the 13 14 field where Maret seeks to build a cutout and, I'm sorry, a curb cut and a 50-car parking lot along with a bus lap. 15 This section of Nebraska that stretches from Utah 16 17 to Oregon Avenues on which I live experiences a high amount of commuter traffic from Maryland in the morning and evening. 18 I've lived here for 13 years. And this is not overblown. 19 I see it every day. 20 2.1 I also see the racing that goes on, people driving over 50 miles an hour as you have folks walking their kids 22 2.3 to and from school. This will only be exacerbated when the 24 redevelopment of Oregon Avenue is completed in 2022.

Maret did hold many meetings with neighbors,

including one at my home in October with neighbors directly impacted on the Nebraska side, but that was for PR purposes only, because Maret did not alter their field plans at all.

Maret informed neighbors that weekday games are expected to attract up to 100 spectators and that five games a year could attract 300 or more spectators. Many children and parents walk to and from our neighborhood DC public school, Lafayette. How will their safety be impacted by the significantly increased traffic on Nebraska Avenue?

For over six months, our ANC Commissioners, Randy Speck and John Higgins conducted a charade of listening sessions with residents to whom they were elected to serve. The Commissioners have silenced opposition voices during ANC meetings.

I signed up to speak at a meeting in January of this year, and despite knowing for months my opposition to the project, and the location of my property, both Commissioners gave other neighbors who don't live near the field preferential treatment allowing them to speak early in the four-hour plus evening meeting.

Due to my work obligations, I was unable to wait until after 9:30 p.m. to speak. I cannot fathom how ANC Commissioners could vote in favor of a zoning exception for a massive commercial athletic complex that turns a residential neighborhood upside down for a very rich private

2.1

2.3

school that is not in our neighborhood.

2.0

Located in Woodley Park, Maret School has a \$35 million endowment. This has been reported and verified by the Washington Post, and a principal whose compensation was over half a million dollars in 2020. While I am happy that Maret boasts about educating students of color, as it should in post-civil rights era, this fact has nothing to do with this EEC field project. Seventy-five percent of students attending Maret pay the full \$42,000 yearly tuition.

Maret wants to use or lease the field to other groups nearly 11 months out of the year. What peace and quiet do I receive for being a tax paying homeowner in a DC residential neighborhood? This multi-million dollar athletic complex greatly benefits the rich, private Maret school, its students, and their parents, as many have testified in support of the project. How does this athletic complex benefit homeowner residents of Chevy Chase like me?

The top selling agent with Long and Foster estimates that Maret's proposed redevelopment of the ECC field will cause property values of homes in the area to decline by 10 percent. As I have noted, nobody pays my mortgage but me. I'm a single Black woman who has worked very hard for this investment in my future.

Finally, our ANC Commissioners created a committee to promote diversity and equity in the Chevy Chase community.

1	Rather than talking about this, I would think that the
2	Commissioners would want to put their words into action by
3	protecting the equity of tax paying homeowner residents lie
4	me who actually live in Chevy Chase and who would be
5	negatively impacted by this athletic field. Thank you.
6	BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay. Thank you, Ms. Wright.
7	Thank you for your testimony.
8	Sutherland, Mr. or Ms. Sutherland?
9	MS. SUTHERLAND: Good morning.
10	BZA CHAIR HILL: Can you hear me?
11	MS. SUTHERLAND: I can hear you.
12	BZA CHAIR HILL: Could you introduce yourself for
13	the record?
14	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes. My name is Ann Sutherland.
15	I live at 5869 Nebraska Avenue. I've lived in this house
16	with my husband for 38 years.
17	BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay. I just want to let you
18	know, you'll have three minutes and
19	MS. SUTHERLAND: Yes, I got you.
20	BZA CHAIR HILL: you can start whenever you
21	like.
22	MS. SUTHERLAND: Okay. My name is Ann Sutherland.
23	I live at 5869 Nebraska Avenue, lived here for 38 years with
24	my husband. I live directly across the street and on the
25	downhill slope from the site of the ECC And I think that

no one is arguing that the ECC does great work. And I think no one is arguing that Maret is a very fine school. I think that we all understand that.

But some of the supporters today accused the neighbors, the contiguous neighbors, of opposing this just for opposing change for change sake. I think nothing could be farther from the truth. Because if it were, the neighbors, the contiguous neighbors, would not have proposed — attempted to propose an alternate design plan for the field that would have been less intensive.

We oppose the plan, the current plan, because it is too much development for the size of the site. It's very aggressive use with constant use. And I think we have some real concerns, those being, I mean, I think it's easy for supporters to support when you don't live where we do. You know, when you don't live where you can see the field or hear the field, it's easy to be in support, sure. Why not, right.

But frankly, you know, the increased traffic, water runoff which we know is going to happen, and it's already a big issue in this neighborhood, loss of trees and natural habitat, parking issues, these are all fallout that are going to a lot for the neighbors to bear.

And, you know, we think that Maret and ECC need to modify their design to mitigate the effects on the contiguous neighbors, many of whom have lived here for

2.0

And the contiguous neighbors deserve consideration 1 and respect for what we've contributed to building this 2 3 This is not going to be low impact on the people community. that live right around. And that's it. 5 BZA CHAIR HILL: Thank you, Ms. Sutherland. you for your testimony. 6 7 MS. SUTHERLAND: You're welcome. Oh, Ms. Zachary? 8 BZA CHAIR HILL: Zachary? 9 you hear me? 10 MS. ZACHARY: Yes, I can. Can you see me? I can hear you and now I can see 11 BZA CHAIR HILL: 12 If you can go ahead and introduce yourself for the record, and then you'll have three minutes to give your 13 And you can begin whenever you like. 14 Well, hi. 15 MS. ZACHARY: All right, I'm Carol And I live on Rittenhouse Street and I have for 40 16 Zachary. 17 And I know that the Board members here have seen your dysfunctional, and neglected, 18 share of and apathetic This isn't one of them. 19 neighborhoods. This is highly 2.0 functioning and absolutely a wonderful neighborhood. And I'm 2.1 going to give you three snapshots of it, if I can. 22 One, the pandemic hit. And we have over 50 kids 23 in a three-block area who are under 12. And they all know 24 when their birthday party is. What do you do? Well, little

Mary, and by the way, I'm switching out the names to protect

the innocent, but little Mary is first up. It's her birthday.

Well, somebody runs up and rings the doorbell. And when Mary steps out, here is the entire neighborhood standing in the street, stopping traffic, standing in the sidewalks and so forth, and we belt out the worst rendition of Happy Birthday to You that you have ever heard. And she's tickled. And we're tickled. And so we can't stop.

The next kid is up in about two weeks. We've got to do it for him. And then we bring in balloons, and then we bring in knock-knock jokes. And pretty soon, we've done 35 around the calendar. And our singing hasn't gotten any better. But this is good community.

Three weeks ago, a beloved member of our community checked himself out of the hospital, told his doctor, who was disapproving of this move, that he wanted to go home to die in his own bed, in his own house, in his own neighborhood. We couldn't see him, but we were told that he would love his cards and his letters going to him there. And his kids read them to him. And he died, and we walked him home. That's good community.

A couple of years ago, a new family moved in. Somebody met them at the door with cookies. Another person met them at the door with a directory and, you know, the schedule of the garbage pickup. That's really important.

2.0

2.1

2.3

Fast forward a couple of weeks, and the older kid has to get 1 to the hospital. It's midnight. How do they get help, 2 3 because the younger kid is sleeping? The guy goes to the directory, remembers the name 4 5 of the guy or the family that gave him the cookies, and called those people. And they say, you know, they start to 6 7 explain, and could you just come over and cover. And the guy 8 that they called says don't worry about it. I'm putting on 9 my robe, I'm grabbing my cell phone. I'll be there in 20 And he was. 10 seconds. That's good community. Well, these are three snapshots. As you know, it 11 12 takes a long time to build a strong community. But it's And it can disintegrate. It's always fragile. 13 fragile. This plan, this multi-sport, two-field concept shoved right 14 up against our postage stamp size backyards, this plan that 15 field rental business 16 attached to it. has а sort of 17 masquerading as a charity, this plan made possible only by totally degrading the environment and thus erroding the 18 community that's surrounding it. This plan that is promoted 19 20 as good for our community, Board members, I'm unconvinced. 2.1 BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay. Thank you, Ms. Zachary. Can you hear me? 22 23 Thank you, Chairman Hill. MS. ZACHARY:

It sounds like a lovely neighborhood.

BZA CHAIR HILL: Thank you, thank you Ms. Zachary.

1	MS. ZACHARY: It is.
2	BZA CHAIR HILL: Let's see. Is it Ms. MacKenzie
3	or McKenzie?
4	MS. MACNEICE: MacNeice.
5	BZA CHAIR HILL: McNeice?
6	MS. MACNEICE: Yes.
7	BZA CHAIR HILL: Can you hear me?
8	MS. MACNEICE: I can hear you, yes.
9	BZA CHAIR HILL: Would you introduce yourself for
10	the record, please? And then you'll have three minutes to
11	give your testimony.
12	MS. MACNEICE: Okay. I'm Jil MacNeice. I live
13	at 5723 Nebraska Avenue Northwest. It's about 300 feet from
14	the front of the ECC. And I am a Friend of the Field.
15	There's been a lot of talk about the friends being against
16	the sports field. And that is not the case. We want a
17	single field, not no field.
18	And I want to talk a little bit about my strong
19	support for the use of natural grass over plastic turf on
20	that field. You've heard about the problems of plastic
21	grass, the toxic chemicals that can make us all very, very
22	sick. I want to talk a little bit about how you can have
23	real grass and how real grass is actually doable.
24	The science and technology around natural grass
25	has advanced tremendously in the past couple of years. And

it's actually now possible to make natural fields that can handle heavy use as well as any synthetic turf field does. For example, the Maryland Sportsplex in Montgomery County has both grass and plastic turf fields. And the grass fields are as carefully engineered as the plastic ones. And they actually hold up equally well with constant use. And they are equally playable, even during rainstorms. Half of all NFL fields are natural grass.

And the Baltimore Ravens went natural in 2016. And the players love it. And also, I just want to mention that Montgomery County is only installing natural grass in it's public fields. So it can be done. And it is being done all over the country.

To be successful, a grass field needs careful planning, engineering, and maintenance. But that's not any more effort that's involved in putting in and installing a plastic turf field. So here's what's involved? First, you have to hire a certified expert. Then you need to conduct soil analysis and add soil amendments. It's important to execute drainage engineering on the site and also to make site specific seed selections. There are many, many turf seeds that can handle drought extremely well these days.

Then the field needs to be maintained with aeration, watering, overseeding, and fertilizing. And here's an interesting fact, these natural grass fields, with all the

2.0

1	intensive care and planning that they need are still cheaper
2	than plastic turf. The Safe, Healthy Playing Fields
3	organization estimates that the 20-year life cycle cost of
4	grass is actually 30 percent less expensive than plastic turf
5	fields.
6	Excuse me, I want to end on this one point. Grass
7	field experts have a saying. They say, "Keep your eye on the
8	field. Grass tells you when it's in trouble. With plastic
9	turf, the only ones who know theres's a problem are the ones
10	who get hurt. Thank you very much.
11	BZA CHAIR HILL: All right, thanks, Ms. MacNeice.
12	Thanks for your testimony.
13	Is there a Roseneck with you?
14	MR. ROSENHECK: This is Thierry Rosenheck.
15	BZA CHAIR HILL: How do you say your name?
16	MR. ROSENHECK: Thierry. It's Thierry.
17	BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay. And how do you say your
18	last name? I'm sorry.
19	MR. ROSENHECK: Rosenheck.
20	BZA CHAIR HILL: Rosenheck. All right, Mr.
21	Rosenheck, if you could go ahead and give us your testimony.
22	MR. ROSENHECK: Sure. Thank you very much,
23	Commissioner. My name is Thierry Rosenheck. I live on
24	Nebraska Avenue, 5723 Nebraska Avenue, across the street from
25	the ECC. Thank you for letting me speak.

Today I want to talk about the homes that are going to be -- that are around the Maret playing field. counted about 33 homes that could be losing the enjoyment of their backyards. In architecture, I've learned that some fundamental lessons are related to the notion of front and The public and the private -back. MR. AXELROD: Hi, you agree. I've lost the sound. Can you tell me when he's calling on me. Mr. Axelrod, I can hear you. BZA CHAIR HILL: MR. AXELROD: Thank you. MR. ROSENHECK: May I continue? BZA CHAIR HILL: Yes, please continue. Sorry. MR. ROSENHECK: So as I was saying, there are fundamental lessons in architecture related to front, back, public, private, profane, sacred. It's human nature, and we even dress according to those things. We have an office dress, we have a Sunday dress, we have a dress for home. Home has vestibules that allow for people to be greeted and invited in further in the kitchen, in the back of home. The notion of front/back is always with us. office situations, you have a receptionist and the back In churches you have vetibules, a congregation aream office. and you have the sanctum. So we're talking really about --MR. AXELROD: Stay on this so I can hear the --BZA CHAIR HILL: Rosenheck, Mr. hang

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

	becond.
2	BZA CHAIR HILL: Mr. Azelrod, you're unmuted
3	again. Go ahead, Mr. Rosenheck. Sorry.
4	MR. ROSENHECK: So what I'm talking about is
5	privacy. What is privacy? It's my ability to control my
6	interactions. We are taught to design for our built
7	environments to respect privacy. The backyards that I look
8	at with the playing field as it is being proposed by Maret,
9	all of these backyards are going to be potentially losing the
10	private moments that they are able to have when they go
11	outside in their backyards. Basically, Maret dropped a
12	playing field in 33 backyards. Thirty-three families can no
13	longer have private moments in their backyards.
14	(Audio interruption.)
15	BZA CHAIR HILL: Go ahead. Mr. Axelrod, can you
16	hear me? Mr. Azelrod?
17	MR. AXELROD: Yes?
18	BZA CHAIR HILL: Just to let you know, you're
19	coming on and off mute. So if you could just try to stay
20	muted, okay.
21	MR. AXELROD: Yes, I have a problem with the
22	sound. But I can tell if it's my turn to speak. I'm ready
23	to do that.
24	BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay. Go ahead and put yourself

25 on mute right now, if you wouldn't mind.

1	MR. AXELROD: What?
2	BZA CHAIR HILL: Just give me a second, Mr.
3	Axelrod.
4	MR. AXELROD: My name is Jonathan Axelrod. I live
5	on
6	BZA CHAIR HILL: Mr. Axelrod?
7	MR. AXELROD: 2832
8	BZA CHAIR HILL: Mr. Axelrod, just wait a minute.
9	I'm still in the middle of another person's testimony. If
10	you could just mute.
11	Mr. Rosenheck, you were speaking about privacy,
12	and backyards, and front doors.
13	MR. ROSENHECK: Yes, I was. The privacy of
14	people's backyards, they are going to be losing the ability
15	to enjoy and once you have a playing field there, there
16	is no more control of what you hear. It's whatever Maret has
17	decided is going on with their games that people are going
18	to be hearing in their private backyard. When I go to my
19	backyard, I am able to have a moment of stillness in my
20	backyard. If I have a game going on there, I lose that
21	ability. It's the loss of privacy.
22	So at this point, I'm a little bit conflicted,
23	because I want to tell you, please stop a project like that
24	from taking place. But at the same time, our organization,
25	our group organization has decided that we are not against

And we've heard from Maret's proponents over 1 development. and over again that we are against development. We are not. 2 We have proposed an alternative to their designs. So I think 3 that that should be taken into consideration, that we are not 5 opposed to that. Thank you. 6 Thanks, Mr. Rosenheck. BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay. 7 Sorry it was a little confusing. Mr. Azelrod, can you hear 8 me? 9 MR. AXELROD: Yes. 10 BZA CHAIR HILL: Could you go ahead and introduce And you'll have three minutes for 11 yourself for the record? 12 your testimony. 13 MR. AXELROD: Thank you. My name is Jonathan Axelrod. I've lived at 2832 Rittenhouse Street since 1974. 14 I'd like to submit in writing what I was planning to say and 15 16 spend my time addressing Board Member Smith's question 17 concerning enforcement of any deal that's made with Maret or ECC to apply the terms and conditions of any agreement. 18 19 I was on the advisory committee that the ANC 2.0 created to consider resolutions of this Maret plan. We 2.1 submitted a wish list. They said no to all of that. And 22 then the Commission or Commissioner Speck produced a template 23 of an agreement, or a template of a design, and asked for 24 comments.

We submitted, instead of just comments to his

proposal, we submitted a draft of a contract between the Friends of the Field, and Maret, and ECC. One of the things we accepted was a suggestion from the ANC that there be a task force. And the task force was to be composed of one representative from each of the four streets surrounding the field, Rittenhouse, Nebraska, Utah, and 28th.

And that task force, among other things, was supposed to be the first step in resolving disputes between Maret, ECC, and the residents of the community. And if the task force couldn't resolve the dispute, it would go to arbitration. And the arbitrator and the task force, for that matter, would have authority to impose sanctions and, I guess, do other things to enforce the agreement. We thought that was a great idea. Soon after we submitted objections to the proposal, because we wanted the same crucial issues that we're talking about today.

One night in February the ANC had a meeting to discuss the ANC's draft and all the comments. And one of my comments was that the four representatives from the four surrounding streets should be elected by the people on those streets who could say were the most affected by whatever was going to happen here.

Between that meeting and the publication of the resolution that's before you, the ANC changed the task force so that it would no longer have people from the four streets

2.0

1	and changed the task force so that they would all be
2	appointed by the ANC and not necessarily be composed of ANC
3	3/4G residents. So there is or there was a mechanism for
4	enforcing the agreement, whatever agreement there was. Our
5	plan was that we be a part of it, and we certainly aren't
6	now. But thank you for the opportunity to speak. And I will
7	answer any questions.
8	BZA CHAIR HILL: Thanks, Mr. Axelrod. Thanks for
9	your testimony. Thanks everybody for sticking around. Is
10	it Callahan, Ms. Callahan? Can you hear me? Ms. Callahan,
11	can you hear me?
12	MS. CALLAHAN: Hello? Just muted again.
13	BZA CHAIR HILL: Yes, got you. Can you go ahead
14	and introduce yourself for the record?
15	MS. CALLAHAN: Yes, thank you for this opportunity
16	to talk. My name is Mary Callahan. And I live at 6008 Utah
17	which is across the street from the portion of Utah that will
18	be most affected by this development.
19	BZA CHAIR HILL: Ms. Callahan, can you hear me?
20	Can you hear me?
21	MS. CALLAHAN: Yes.
22	BZA CHAIR HILL: Just to be sure, can you see the
23	clock on the screen? No? Anyway, Ms. Callahan, I'm just
24	letting you know you have three minutes to give your
25	testimony. There's a clock on the screen, but I'll let you

know when you're over, okay?

2.0

2.1

MS. CALLAHAN: Okay. Can you hear me now?

BZA CHAIR HILL: Yes, I can hear you very well, thank you.

MS. CALLAHAN: Okay. I live across the street from the section of Utah that is contiguous to the plan for the field. And the first time I actually heard about this development was in December or January from members of the Friends of the Field. At that particular time, because we don't have children at Lafayette or whatever, that was my first contact.

So I'm not quite up to speed on some of these issues, but I'd like to speak to a couple of the issues that concern me, mainly in terms of the children in the neighborhood and those who will be using the field.

My husband and I run a company that focuses on issues in education. It's based in DC. And the rising concerns about construction of artificial turf fields are moving across the country right now. And so we're facing this development at a point when the rest of the country is beginning to double think whether these are a good idea. And Jil Macneice spoke a few minutes ago about the fact that it's a concern in Montgomery County, and they've begun to rethink installing artificial turf there as well.

One of the other concerns is that this will create

a heat island. The fields get very, very hot in the summer. There are often periods when the children who are supposed to be playing on them can't play on them. And since 2018, this particular block has lost four heritage trees alone, old oaks that have been dying off.

And the block is now being served bу Urban to replace those trees. I'd be а lot more comfortable if the plan included this point at an Environmental Impact Statement on both of those issues, both for the neighbors and for the children who will be using the field.

I am fully aware of the important mission that ECC follows. In fact, there is an enormous need for more programs such as ECC's across the country. As well, Horizons and some of the other organizations that will be using the field are excellent organizations. But at this particular point, the neighbors still don't have a sense of who else will be using the field. And there are a number of children potentially involved will be impacted this who bу development.

BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay, Ms. Callahan, thank you. All right, does anybody have any questions of any of the witnesses? And if so, please speak up.

All right. Mr. Young, thank you all very much for your testimony. Mr. Young, you have another eight to bring

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

1	in? And you can give me their names as you come in, please.
2	MR. YOUNG:
3	(Pause.)
4	MR. YOUNG: I have Mary Louise Wagner, Nancy
5	Voisin, Paul Fisher, Rebecca Maydak, Sheppard Rambom, and Amy
6	Stephen who is on the phone.
7	BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay, great. Ms. Wagner, can you
8	hear me?
9	MS. WAGNER: I can. Can you hear me?
10	BZA CHAIR HILL: Great, yes, I can. Could you
11	introduce yourself for the record? And then you'll have
12	three minutes to give your testimony. There should be a
13	clock there, and you can begin whenever you like.
14	MS. WAGNER: Okay. I don't see the clock, but
15	I'll do the best I can.
16	BZA CHAIR HILL: That's okay.
17	MS. WAGNER: We live on 30th Street just below
18	Legation Street. We are approximately two-tenths of a mile
19	from the field, but we walk our dogs in the neighborhood,
20	we've raised three children in the neighborhood, lived here
21	since 1995.
22	Several key points I'd like to make. I've been
23	listening all day. So I'll try to respond to a couple of
24	things as well, assuming my time doesn't run out. My key
25	points I want to make though really go to transparency,

planning, and engendering trust with the neighborhood.

I don't recall exactly when we first heard about this, but it was consistent with the September, October, November timeframe that others have mentioned. It has not been transparent. I appreciate Maret has made a lot of changes along the way, and I appreciate the involvement of the ANC. But getting information has been difficult. And I don't believe that the planning that should have gone into the process of making this field has been done or made available to the community and the neighborhood.

I've seen the 3D maps, I've participated in some of the meetings. But it's the getting from Point A to Point B that I'm wondering about. How will this unfold during the planning -- during the development process? It is described as if it will be simple. It is not going to be simple.

It is not like we will suddenly have this beautiful field. There will be a major disruption in the neighborhood during this process. And I don't feel like that Maret and its supporters have really shared that with the community and addressed it with the community. Instead our focus has been on how wonderful this will be at the end.

And that doesn't seem like the appropriate way to do it for me. I don't know why that is. Is Maret in denial about how it is going to unfold or are they not being transparent about how it will unfold? I feel we need to see

2.0

2.1

1	more of that before a decision is made. I would like to see
2	more of Maret listening to a counter proposal as has been put
3	forth by Friends of the Field. None of that feels to me like
4	it has been happening, and I think it needs to happen.
5	Let me just say a couple things about what's been
6	said during the course of the day. This isn't about
7	supporting ECC. We've lived here for almost 30 years, and
8	we've supported them and support their mission. This isn't
9	about that. It isn't about supporting Maret. It's about is
10	this the right way to help ECC fulfill its mission. And I
11	don't think it is.
12	This is a private school, private entity, who
13	needs a field. In my opinion, find it somewhere else and not
14	in the middle of a neighborhood. This is also not about an
15	entitled neighborhood who doesn't want new development.
16	BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay, Mr. Wagner, you're running
17	out of time, just to let you know.
18	MS. WAGNER: Okay, I'm done. Thank you.
19	BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay, thank you. Thank you, Ms.
20	Wagner.
21	Is it Ms. Voisin?
22	MS. VOISIN: Yes, can you hear me?
23	BZA CHAIR HILL: Yes. Could you introduce
24	yourself for the record, please?
25	MS. VOISIN: Yes. My name is Nancy Voisin. I

1	live at 6004 28th Street Northwest. Can you see me, because
2	I'm here with some other neighbors today?
3	BZA CHAIR HILL: No. I don't see you. But I can
4	hear you. You're on a computer?
5	MS. VOISIN: I am on a computer.
6	BZA CHAIR HILL: Can you see me?
7	MS. VOISIN: I can see you. Can you see us?
8	BZA CHAIR HILL: No, can't see your name either.
9	MS. VOISIN: Okay.
10	BZA CHAIR HILL: Hold on a second, maybe somebody
11	there can help you.
12	MR. YOUNG: I don't know why, but it's not giving
13	me the option to make her a panelist.
14	BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay. Ms. Voisin, if you go
15	ahead, the other people can speak, if you're trying to tell
16	me that individual people are going to speak.
17	MS. VOISIN: Well, what I'm trying to tell you is
18	that the entire east side touching the Episcopal Center field
19	is here in my house. So hopefully we can get on camera. I'm
20	going to speak for all of the neighbors.
21	BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay.
22	MS. VOISIN: You've been through the backyard
23	today, through the slides shown by Trey Holloway of Maret,
24	shown by Claudia Russell of Friends of the Field. My
25	backyard was shown, and some of the neighbors' backyards were

shown as well on the slides.

2.0

2.1

My house is one of five located, as I mentioned, on the east end of the Episcopal Center Field. Four of these five homes share a property line with the Episcopal Center for Children site, and we are unique in this respect. We're the only neighbors who border the Episcopal Center for Children.

I'm here today with my next door neighbors, Dr. Kenneth Austin and his wife, Gladys Austin, my two doors down neighbor, Mrs. Willa Golden. And we, together, speak for all of the neighbors who are on the east side of the field, including, there are two more, Claudia Russell and the Thannault (inaudible) family, Sylvie and Thierry Thannault.

Our IT Department is here to see if we can get on camera.

BZA CHAIR HILL: No, that's okay, Ms. Voisin, go ahead and continue. I don't know whether it's going to work.

(Simultaneous Speaking.)

BZA CHAIR HILL: I can hear you.

MS. VOISIN: Yes, as the neighbors who border the Episcopal Center property, we are the most directly and the most negatively affected by the proposed project. And I want to inform you that the entire east side of the field is opposed to development as it is proposed but also supports the single field solution that you have seen today.

You've seen the plans from Maret. The structure, comprised 12 feet of retaining walls topped by a six-foot fence and 30 feet of netting starting four feet from our property, is a highly objectionable condition. We asked Maret to change it. But they will not. Their position is that their sports program needs are more important than we are. We disagree.

There is no question that this condition will negatively impact our enjoyment of our properties, cast our yards in shadow, and devalue our properties. The retaining walls start only four feet from our homes, or from our properties, and the walls, fence, and netting will tower higher than our houses. And this condition, very importantly, has not been addressed in the ANC's proposed conditions.

You saw it with your own eyes. You saw it on the slides. But we're seeing it with our own eyes, looking outside. This project, as presently planned, will also physically bisect our neighborhood, cutting us off fully from the rest of the neighborhood. The athletes will be looking down on our houses and looking down on our yards from 12 feet above them. We'll be basically, like, in the bottom of a swimming pool.

It is not too late to reject this plan or to change this plan to make improvements that will serve not

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

only the Episcopal Center for Children, which every one of these neighbors has supported in writing for years when the Episcopal Center wanted to get a special exception to build their media center. All of the neighbors on the east side supported that in writing.

And it is not too late to change the plan to make improvements that will serve ECC and Maret sports field, improvements that could be embraced and supported by us on the east side of the field whose properties border the Episcopal Center and by the entire neighborhood.

If I have any more time, I'd like to just make one more remark about Jon Axelrod's remark as to the different blocks who would be represented if this field is built. You heard him say that there was a unilateral change made to one of the proposed conditions.

The condition was that all four sides of the field, Utah, Nebraska, Rittenhouse, and 28th, would have a representative chosen from the street, by the neighbors, to represent that street in managing and dealing with the development with Maret and with the Episcopal Center if the field or fields are built. That proposal was changed to disenfranchise the neighbors for whom I am speaking. And I wanted to just make that remark because it's not right. Thank you for your time.

BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay. Thank you, Ms. Voisin.

2.0

2.1

Let's see, thank you for your testimony. Is it Fisher?

MR. FISHER: Yes, it is.

BZA CHAIR HILL: Oh, great. Mr. Fisher, could you please introduce yourself for the record? And then you'll have three minutes to give your testimony. And you can begin whenever you like.

MR. FISHER: Sure. Good afternoon. My name is Paul Fisher. And I live at 5935 Utah Avenue Northwest, adjacent to the Utah Avenue alley which abuts the ECC property. I will be able to look down from my office window at the football goal post if this sports complex is approved. The goal post is directly across my property line about 12 feet from the ECC property line.

Before I speak about the personal effects this project will have on my family and me, I would like to touch on a fact which I believe the proponents of this sports complex are misrepresenting. This is the claim that there is not enough field space for use in the city. This is a claim that keeps being repeated, and no one seems to be able to present any evidence that establishes a factual basis for it.

Over the last decade, there have been many projects which could have had field space added to them. Walter Reed is but the latest example. Yet the city does not. If the city were to make all DCPS fields available,

2.1

there would be a ton of field space available. But again, it does not.

These two facts demonstrate that there really is not a lack of field space in the District but rather that field space for private schools is a low priority for the city council that represents the District as a whole. A single neighborhood zoned 4-1-B should not have to endure objectionable conditions in order to advance a private party's priority that is not a priority for the District.

As has already been stated, there will be extreme annoyance on my property from commercial noise above 60 decibels. And I will skip what's been said earlier to, you know, to advance the program slightly. I'm extremely concerned that Maret is not guaranteeing that they'll have seating for all of the spectators. They estimate at some games they could have up to 300 folks watching. If these folks are allowed to stand all around the field, the crowd noise will be magnified in all directions. This will impact all the direct neighbors of the property as well as myself.

I fully support the Friend's one field proposal, but in the event that the special exception is approved, I'd like to request that it be mandated that Maret provide bleacher seating for all spectators at all events so that this way the noise could be -- they could measure the noise with noise consultants and actually aim the noise in specific

2.0

2.1

2.3

directions versus having people stand all around the field making noise in all directions.

Their latest plan said that they were only going to have 70 bleacher seats. And they have claimed that some games will have upwards of 300 people attending as spectators.

Finally, I'd like to touch on the continuing stated fact that ECC should reopen. Now, it may have been the finest educational program for special needs kids in existence. I'm not doubting that. But there are only two items that have been proven. One is that the ECC does not have a sustainable business model. And two, the cost, there is a limit to the amount of money that the city will pay to educate special needs students. Neither of these facts has changed since the ECC's 2019 closure.

I have personally asked numerous times to see the lease between ECC and Maret. They have declined to present it which may be their right. However, without this information I have not been able to determine how this lease can give ECC enough cash to remain solvent. There is a serious risk that down the road the ECC will still become defunct. This will have dual ramifications.

The first being that my neighbors and I will have been sold adverse conditions for no purpose other than increasing Maret's coffers. The second being that ECC's

2.0

2.1

2.3

1	future downfall and Maret's departure could leave the field
2	in an irremediable state and full of toxic chemicals. ECC
3	has let their facilities fall into disrepair before, even
4	purposefully disregarding BZA orders. Thank you for your
5	time.
6	BZA CHAIR HILL: Thank you, Mr. Fisher. Thank you
7	for your testimony.
8	Is it Maybok, Maybok? Oh, Rebecca Maydak?
9	MS. MAYDAK: Can you hear me?
10	BZA CHAIR HILL: Yes. Can you
11	MS. MAYDAK: Okay, yes. I was clicking the
12	button, and it wasn't working.
13	BZA CHAIR HILL: That's all right. Can you
14	introduce yourself for the record?
15	MS. MAYDAK: Yes. I'm Rebecca Maydak. I live at
16	5900 Utah Avenue Northwest. That's right on the corner of
17	Utah and Nebraska.
18	BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay, Ms. Maydak, you'll have
19	three minutes to give your testimony. You can begin whenever
20	you like.
21	MS. MAYDAK: Yes. I just want to say with all the
22	ANC commissioners that aren't in our ANC wing, and I'd like
23	to say I was the ANC commissioner in this ANC for seven
24	years. I chose not to run, so I haven't been in ANC for just
25	lover a year But the other ANC Commissioners. I respect

their opinion, but they don't represent the constituents here. So I don't think they should be given great weight, because they don't represent the constituents in this --

BZA CHAIR HILL: They are given great weight, Commissioner, and you're still a commissioner. So they were just testifying on their own.

MS. MAYDAK: Okay. So this discussion seems to be implying that if a person is not in support of the plan for Maret to have these fields the way they propose, that you're against the ECC. I just want to say I've lived here 22 years. I got to know some of the kids, because I'd walk around the neighborhood. And when I had a medical injury I'd engage with them.

I'm known in the neighborhood for my Halloween house. The ECC kids would come and trick or treat. And the parents would say, I'm sorry we don't live here, but my child goes to the school and gives me an update. Because it would take me about two weeks to get my decorations up. And I'm, like, if your child goes to the school you're part of my community. And they were always welcome.

I totally support the ECC. What I don't support is Maret having a money making proposition here. I don't think anybody, I should only speak for myself, I don't mind Maret using the field. What I mind is, like, one person said they belong to DC Soccer. They have 9,000, according to the

2.1

2.3

website, 9,000 players for DC Soccer. If they start playing on our fields, how are we going to -- how is our infrastructure going to support that many people coming? If you've ever been to a DC Soccer game, their rival games can get really, really large with large crowds.

So I think that should be restricted. I don't think this exception should be allowed if that's one of the things that Maret should do. Because I think the big elephant in the room is the subleasing of the fields by large sporting organizations, right?

And then I just want to -- a lot of the things I want to say have been said. So I'm just going to reiterate Mr. McNeil's (inaudible) thing. I would like the Commission to imagine for a minute that your house shares a property line with the proposed field. It's a beautiful day, not like today, sunny, 80 degrees, you're out for a glass of wine, or your little cocktail, your brandy, whatever, you're going to grill some steaks. And you want to enjoy your backyard.

But also seven days a week, not only do we have Maret, which is fine, that wouldn't be seven days a week, but they're releasing, and they're leasing out all this property. You might have a DC Soccer practice, you might have another large sporting organization. How are you going to even enjoy your backyard and your quality of life? It's not going to happen. You're going to have people in the sidelines

2.0

1	shouting encouragement to the players and everything else.
2	I just want you to be aware that I don't think anywhere else
3	in the city that many houses share the property line with a
4	field. So I'm asking you not to grant the proposal.
5	But I want to mention one other thing. Our
6	neighborhood has Blessed Sacrament within a half mile, they
7	have fields and athletic facilities. We have Lafayette
8	Elementary School and Lafayette-Pointer Park. They have a
9	baseball field and a sporting field. And they have a park
LO	that has a big open field. And we have St. John's High
11	School College. How many sporting facilities is a half-mile
12	supposed to maintain or support? And I think the impact's
13	going to be irreversible.
L4	BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay, thanks, Commissioner. All
15	right, thank you for your testimony.
16	Raybom, oh, Rambom?
L7	MR. RAMBOM: Rambom, Sheppard Rambom.
18	BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay, great. You will have three
L9	minutes to give your testimony, sir, and you can begin
20	whenever you like.
21	MR. RAMBOM: I don't need all three minutes. So
22	I live at 6008 Utah Avenue Northwest. And I'm not one of the
23	people that's on the contiguous part of where the field will
24	run. It doesn't back up to my house. I have no friends on
25	that side of the field. But I have been watching this

process. And I feel like the voices of the people from that neighborhood haven't really been well heard by the ANC and by others. And I'm glad that we have this opportunity to really provide some information to you today.

I feel like the ANC report, through no fault of the ANC, but they swear an oath to the city itself. So the concerns that they had are about filling the soccer fields, finding a way to replace the problem with Jelleff that the school has to move, to be able to have Maret have a full field, to free up other sports fields so that other elementary schools like Hines Elementary can play at Jelleff, at the Jelleff field.

There's a lot of that stuff that was going on in the decision of the ANC to sort of -- in their decision about what to do, because they swore an oath to benefit the most people in the city or how it benefits the city. And there's a clear benefit. It says it their document. It's in my letter to the ANC. I point to the paragraph in the ANC report which expresses their, I would call it a bias against the neighbors, oddly enough the ones that we elect.

So my concerns are about the commercial use of the field. There's been a lot that's been said about the proportion, the fence. And the people, they're concerned about the building or have a response that it's out of proportion to a field that's going to benefit a lot of

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

1	people. The issue that I have is that the size of the field,
2	the scope and the footprint of the field is what's out of
3	proportion.
4	And I also believe that the opponents have
5	demonstrated, through their presentations which you've seen,
6	the adverse impact to the community and also that they are
7	interested in having something happen there, that they would
8	be willing to have an alternative and that there should be
9	more work to have that worked out.
10	But there were really very limited negotiations
11	with Maret to consider getting rid of, you know, they had to
12	have the baseball field. And my concern is that, you know,
13	that's what makes it untenable, as far as I'm concerned. So
14	I appreciate your hearing from me today, and thank you very
15	much.
16	BZA CHAIR HILL: Thanks, Mr. Rambom. All right,
17	Mr. Stephens, or Ms. Stephens?
18	MS. STEPHEN: Hi, this is Amy Stephen.
19	BZA CHAIR HILL: Oh, Stephen, okay.
20	MS. STEPHEN: Can you hear me?
21	BZA CHAIR HILL: Yes. If you could introduce
22	yourself for the record. And you'll have three minutes to
23	give your testimony. You can begin whenever you like.
24	MS. STEPHEN: Thank you. My name is Amy Stephen.
25	I am a parent of three MRA alumni, all of whom were athletes.

And I spent many, many, many hours on the fields cheering and enjoying the sports program at Maret and understanding its power to build fine adults and fine athletes.

That's not in question at all. And I think many of the people who testified in the last few hours would agree. We're not against sports. We're not against Maret. In fact, I dearly love Maret. However, I'm also talking today as someone who's worked for the last ten years for Safe, Healthy Playing Fields.

Diana Conway spoke earlier, four hours ago. And for those of you who did not hear her testimony, I just want to reiterate the fact that the fields that are selected currently, or the fields that have already been employed by Maret, are generally synthetic fields. And there's a lot of strong feelings that it needs to be a synthetic field.

However we have compiled, and not just me, about 40 scientists across the country for the last ten years have contributed an enormous, overwhelming, body of science that shows that these fields are not healthy for kids and not healthy for a community.

They simply, by virtue of their design, they have a flaw. And that is that they have synthetic materials on their surface. And they are ground in and pounded on by athletes and the breakdown is compounded by being out in sun and heat/thaw cycles. That particulate is really dangerous.

2.1

2.3

And it's something that in this new age of environmental awareness of human health, and plastics, and PFAS, absorption and exposure for kids, we should all be working together to minimize that for our communities, for the kids who are on the field now, but also for those who have to clean it up ten 20 years from now. years from now, And let's work or together, let's do that. We can do this together. guarantee you.

There's a whole nascent industry of new-technology grass fields. There's science behind the drainage. There's signage behind minimizing that particulate exposure risk. And that is the good news. Jil MacNeice talked about a little bit earlier today. I hope you all can head in that direction together as this field comes about, make the right one, be the leaders of this very powerful, wonderful and dynamic community.

I know we can do this. And I know we can create a win-win. We have proposed giving Maret, whoever wants to, a full brief on some of these new findings. It's not really new, but we've summarized a lot of the risks. I don't think those are well publicized. We don't have a big, huge, you know, well funded PR campaign. Our scientists are all voluteers, but they're fantastic. We have --

BZA CHAIR HILL: Ms. Stephen, I --

MS. STEPHEN: -- members of the CDC --

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

1	(Simultaneous Speaking.)
2	BZA CHAIR HILL: You're kind of running over time,
3	if you can just wrap it up.
4	MS. STEPHEN: Okay. So I just want to encourage
5	Maret to educate themselves on some of these. And to the
6	community, I want to offer it from Safe, Healthy Playing
7	Fields. We're happy to share our information with this Board
8	or with the community members who want it.
9	BZA CHAIR HILL: Thank you. Thank you, Ms.
10	Stephens. Ms. Stephens?
11	MS. STEPHEN: Can you hear me.
12	BZA CHAIR HILL: Yes, I did. Thank you very much
13	for your testimony.
14	MS. STEPHEN: You're very welcome.
15	BZA CHAIR HILL: Mr. Young, how many do we have
16	left on this group?
17	MR. YOUNG: We have no more. We just have one
18	person who was underclared.
19	BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay. All right, does anybody
20	have any questions for the witnesses? And if so, please
21	speak up.
22	All right, Mr. Young, if you could please excuse
23	all the witnesses. Thank you, everyone, for your testimony.
24	And if you can please bring in the undecided witness, and
25	that person's name when you get a chance, Mr. Young.

1	(Pause.)
2	MR. YOUNG: It is Meredith Rathbone.
3	BZA CHAIR HILL: Rasbone?
4	MR. YOUNG: Rathbone.
5	BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay, great. Thank you. Ms.
6	Rathbone, can you hear me?
7	MS. RATHBONE: Yes, I can hear you. Could you
8	please introduce yourself? And then you'll have three
9	minutes for your testimony.
10	MS. RATHBONE: Yes. And unfortunately my camera
11	is not working, I apologize for that, which is a shame. So
12	my name is Meredith Rathbone. And I live, my family and I
13	live at 5931 Utah Avenue. And we are next-door neighbors to
14	the Media Center, the proposed locker room for Maret, and
15	restroom and locker room for Maret and for third parties five
16	to seven days a week throughout virtually the entire year.
17	That building at its closest point is about 20 feet from us
18	and, you know, we are uniquely affected by all of this, 20
19	feet from our house I should say, not just from our property.
20	And I'm sorry that my camera is not working,
21	because I was planning to just show you right out of my
22	window. It's right
23	BZA CHAIR HILL: I'm sorry, Ms. Rathbone, you're
24	not allowed to.
25	MS. RATHBONE: You can imagine how close. But

anyway, you know, we are not in theory opposed to a lot of this. We do have many of the same concerns as our neighbors regarding frequency of use and things like that. But I want to talk to you about the concerns specifically as they relate to our property.

And, you know, I will say most of the concerns that we have, we've raised them with Maret. And most of them Maret has agreed to mitigate, which is great. We're happy about that. But the one significant concern that we still have outstanding is the most significant concern. And that is the fact that the location of the entrance to that building, the locker room and the restroom entrance, is proposed to be right outside of our house on the part of that building towards Utah Avenue that is right outside of our house.

It was not originally proposed to be there, but Maret has recently proposed moving it there. And they have suggested some proposed mitigation with respect to that location. They've said they want to have it in that location but allow entrance only from the Nebraska side and put up kind of a fence.

But that's just not going to be sufficient to keep, you know, the noise and the foot traffic right outside out door, you know, away from our house, including from not just the first floor which things would partially be blocked

2.0

2.1

by the fence, but from the second and third floor. We've got three bedrooms, we've got a kitchen, we've got a dining room, we have the office where I work from home.

And, you know, the good news is there's a simple solution which is to move the door back to the other side of the building towards the field where it was originally proposed. And we very much hope that Maret will do that.

I will say that this building, when it was approved by the DCA 20 years ago, there was significant discussion at that time regarding the impact on the nearest neighbors. It's in the transcripts. And the D.C. Office of Planning then noted that it would not generate any additional foot traffic. And it's said that it must not tend to adversely affect the use of the neighboring property.

And the BZA summary order reflected that as well. And, you know, the BZA's deliberation specifically addressed the intended use and the mitigations when it made the decision to allow that building to be built so close to our property line and our house.

And, you know, the fact that the building was there and the entrance location is so near our house, you know, that's acceptable, I think, for ECC's use of it, they have not so many students, and they're all there to supervised during the day, and it's during school hours. But that doesn't mean that its acceptable from my perspective

2.1

1	for this new proposed use which is a sea change in proposed
2	use. It's just so much more use than what is currently
3	there.
4	BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay, Ms. Rathbone.
5	(Simultaneous Speaking.)
6	MS. RATHBONE: Are you 5860 Nebraska, is that what
7	you said, 5860 Nebraska, is that what you said.
8	MS. STEPHEN: No, 5931 Utah. We are the closest
9	neighbors to ECC. We are right next to the restroom and
10	locker room building.
11	BZA CHAIR HILL: That's there now?
12	MS. RATHBONE: That's there now. And that's is
13	right now a media center for ECC. That's what it was built
14	for, and that's the building that Maret is planning to use
15	as a locker room for their students and as a restroom for
16	BZA CHAIR HILL: I got you. Okay, I understand.
17	MS. RATHBONE: all the third parties that are
18	leasing it, for visitors to the games, for everybody
19	BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay.
20	MS. RATHBONE: who comes to on the space. And,
21	you know, I'll just say kids or exuberant, and they're loud,
22	and they should be. And it's not a library, it's
23	BZA CHAIR HILL: I got you, that's relevant. I'm
24	sorry, your running out of time here, but
25	MS. RATHBONE: But I'll just say quickly that, you

1	know, what we're hearing from our house is not kind of, you
2	know, happy noise from over on the field, we'll hear that as
3	well, but very close proximity sounds. When people are out
4	there right now, I can hear them. I can hear the words they
5	say.
6	And I would like the BZA to please require that
7	this entrance be moved back over to the other side of the
8	building where it was originally proposed and that the other,
9	you know, mostly fencing and landscaping mitigation that
10	Maret has agreed to is also included in the order. Thanks.
11	BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay. Thanks, Ms. Rathbone. You
12	said the fencing and the what?
13	MS. RATHBONE: It's mainly just, apart from moving
14	that over, it's mainly just fencing and landscaping, like
15	trees, to kind of buffer the visual and the sound.
16	BZA CHAIR HILL: All right. Thanks, Ms. Rathbone.
17	MS. RATHBONE: And by the way, I've never done
18	this before. I don't know how to get that to you. But we've
19	agreed again with Maret on most of that. And I'm happy to
20	some how send that through to you so that you can see what
21	it is that we've discussed with them. But what the
22	BZA CHAIR HILL: You've agreed with Maret on what?
23	MS. RATHBONE: We have agreed with Maret on most
24	of these litigations, the fencing, and the landscaping.
25	BZA CHAIR HILL: You personally have agreed,

1	correct?
2	MS. RATHBONE: Yes. Yes, our family has spoken
3	to Maret about this quite a bit.
4	BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay.
5	MS. RATHBONE: And we've managed to agree on most
6	things
7	BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay, Ms. Rathbone, just give me
8	a second. Give me a second, okay. I'm sorry to cut you off.
9	I'm just getting tired. I'm running on, like, nine hours
10	now, so I'm starting to just give me one minute, okay, Ms.
11	Rathbone?
12	MS. RATHBONE: No, go ahead.
13	BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay. Does anybody have any
14	I don't want to lose Ms. Rathbone, does anybody have any
15	questions of any of the witnesses from the Board? Okay.
16	If you could, okay, so now we can just come back
17	to you. So, Ms. Rathbone, you said that you have an
18	agreement or you started to have an agreement with Maret?
19	MS. RATHBONE: Yes. So we've met with Maret.
20	They've come to our place, and we've walked through and, you
21	know, talked about our concerns and have, not a written, not
22	a formal written agreement but
23	BZA CHAIR HILL: I understand. Who did you talk
24	to at Maret?
25	MS. RATHBONE: With Marjo and Trey.

1	BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay, I don't have that, give me
2	a second.
3	Mr. Tummonds, can you hear me?
4	MR. TUMMONDS: Yes, we can. And Mr. Holloway is
5	here to address the discussions that have occurred.
6	BZA CHAIR HILL: That's okay. I just want to know
7	do you have
8	(Simultaneous Speaking.)
9	BZA CHAIR HILL: do you have Ms. Rathbone's
10	contact information?
11	MR. TUMMONDS: Oh, there's been lots of
12	conversations with Ms. Rathbone.
13	BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay, so you do have it. Okay.
14	That's all I need to know at this point.
15	Okay, Ms. Rathbone, thank you so much. And thank
16	you everyone for your testimony. I'm going to excuse
17	everyone from the hearing room. And, Mr. Tummonds, if you
18	can turn back on your camera for me. And I have Mr. Donohue.
19	I still have the Commissioners or no? Did I lose the
20	Commissioners? Oh, I got Commissioner Speck I've still
21	got. Okay.
22	So what is supposed to happen now is there's
23	supposed to be, thanks (inaudible), so I do have a bunch of
24	questions, unfortunately. And I don't know where my fellow
25	Board members are. But now what happens is there is rebuttal

that Mr. Tummonds will be able to give. And then Mr. Donohue will be able to ask questions only on rebuttal. This isn't again, Mr. Donohue, as you know, to create more testimony. You're asking questions on the rebuttal.

And I might have some questions of everybody, but

And I might have some questions of everybody, but I kind of want to get through a couple of things first. And me and my fellow Board members do as well. I hate to do this, I suggest another quick five minute break, because I want to talk to Mr. Moy to see about our next two cases and what's going to happen, right. Because I don't think -- I definitely know our third -- I know our last case isn't going to happen. And so I've got to figure out what's going to happen. And I'm going to -- anyway, give me five minutes, okay. So let's take five minutes, and we'll be back. Thank you.

(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter went off the record at 5:54 p.m. and resumed at 6:07 p.m.)

BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay. All right. So let's see. Mr. Tummonds, I'm going to go ahead and let you do a rebuttal.

MR. TUMMONDS: Okay. Are you ready? Thank you, sir. Thank you very much.

As is the normal standard, we will just make a few pointed responses in our rebuttal and then move on to any questions that you may have so we can wrap this up. And we

2.1

really appreciate the time, energy, and diligence that you've spent here today.

First, I would like to address the discussion regarding the use of whistles or the horns and whether or not the shot clock horn and whistles on the athletic fields would rise to the level of a noise disturbance as defined in the DCMR Section 20-2799, the D.C. noise regulations.

It was discussed that a noise disturbance is any sound which is loud or raucous or loud and unseemly and unreasonably disturbs the peace and quiet of a reasonable person of ordinary sensibilities.

What was also part of that definition is the following. It says in making a determination of a noise disturbance, the mayor, the executive, or the administration shall consider the location, the time of day when the noise is occurring or will occur, and the duration of the noise.

Based on that definition, we do not believe that a whistle or an intermittent shot clock noise, horn, that may occur only in lacrosse games rises to the level of a noise disturbance.

The second issue we'd like to address and the third and the fourth will be addressed by Mr. Holloway. And I'll now ask him to present his testimony.

MR. HOLLOWAY: Thank you. So I will start with the media center. And I will just like to note that the

2.0

2.1

Maret team, Marjo, myself, some of our consultants happened in Ms. Rathbone's home twice and walked the alley with her and husband. We'd sit in her backyard.

I do want to emphasize to your point, Chairman Hill, the media center is an existing building, and the door that we're proposing to use is an existing door that was built when the building was built.

As Ms. Rathbone said, we've agreed to a number of concessions with her in terms of screening and do fencing on the property. So we feel that we've worked in good faith with them and are just using the existing building and the existing door that's already there.

Next I'd like to talk about turf. I know we heard a lot from folks about turf and safety. And as a school, it's certainly important to us that safety is top of mind. But I would like to point out in the ANC resolution on page 14, paragraphs 45 through 48, it really addresses this matter head on. I won't read it word for word, but I'd like to note a few items.

This section notes that the community concerns were largely resolved in an email from the Friends of the Field, many of which are folks you've heard from tonight. Their memo in an email stated that replacing recycled rubber tire elements with natural-based infill, such as a wood-based material, would be acceptable to them. And I would say even

2.0

2.1

2.3

the word replacing is a little bit inaccurate because we never proposed a rubber-based infill. So we felt and feel that that issue was settled.

But the ANC did a lot of research on behalf of the neighborhood, obtained information on different types of infill, in particular, the natural infill that we've always proposed. The ANC's report notes that these materials significantly reduce field temperatures when compared to the rubber tire infill. So we feel good about using that material.

Regarding the differences between natural grass and synthetic turf, the ANC also did more research on behalf of the neighborhood, reached out to a neighbor who had some expertise in environmental analysis.

And they noted in their report that natural grass could be workable for a time, but that ultimately that grass compacts. And that takes its toll. It leads to difficulty in growing grass. And it limits the ability of water to infiltrate into the dirt and the grass. This leads to additional stormwater runoff.

When you compare that to our proposal, which includes a permeable underlayer to detain rainwater, we do a much better job with the synthetic turf taking that water runoff and depositing it where it's supposed to be.

And lastly, natural grass requires extensive

2.0

2.1

2.3

fertilization and water. That water is best left in the river. This was all noted by a neighbor that lives on Oliver Street, one block from the home, who does not share the concerns about a synthetic field.

I would like to discuss the limited use by third parties of the field that we're proposing. Whether it's a youth baseball league, youth soccer league, or another youth sports league, there is a strong need in the city for multipurpose fields, in particular baseball diamonds. There are only 2 90-foot baseball diamonds in Northwest D.C. So, when those youth baseball leagues need somewhere to play, it's a scramble for them.

We have a good, long history of working with users to rent the field behind our school buildings. These relationships are long and strong. And we certainly have rules for them. And I have no problem enforcing those rules. I am personally in charge of enforcing those rules with anyone who uses our field, And I have not had any problem telling someone if they don't abide by those rules they cannot use our field. The same would hold true if we had lessees at the new proposed space at the Episcopal Center.

Since the meeting in September when we first presented our plan to the ANC, we've noted that we expect third-party users to be youth sports leagues, not adult beer leagues, not jamborees, as some have insinuated in their

2.0

2.1

testimony.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

I will also note the in the ANC resolution on page 20, condition 3a, it says the following. Maret shall only permitted to lease the fields youth sports to organizations based in the District of Columbia. So we're There is not going to be, as I said, committed to that. adult beer leagues or anything that is not a D.C.-based youth sports league that would use the field.

The last issue that I want to talk about is alternative design. I know the Friends group has presented what they think to be a workable solution for everyone. We have noted in our earlier testimony that we need a baseball diamond, we need a multipurpose field.

But our team did look at lots of options for this site. We looked at a number of different configurations. And the Friends put some sketches out there. But you can do lots of sketches. But until you stress test those, you cannot get a clean picture of a plan's feasability.

For example, the second scheme that the Friends put up in their presentation encroaches on the brink of heritage trees that are existing on the site. You have to protect those heritage trees. So that site automatically is non-viable.

So our team has done work to stress test how you can actually put the field onto the site, protect the healthy

1	heritage trees, and meet all of the setback requirements that
2	we have. I will
3	MR. TUMMONDS: That concludes our rebuttal
4	testimony.
5	BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay. Thanks. Mr. Donohue, do
6	you have any questions about the rebuttal?
7	MR. DONOHUE: No, sir.
8	BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay. All right. So now I got
9	I'm going to let Mr. Donohue have a conclusion, as well
10	as Mr. Tummonds. Mr. Tummonds will get the last word. But
11	I do have a bunch of questions for after we've done all this
12	stuff. And so I'm going to try to do this I guess in a
13	couple of ways.
14	Mr. Tummonds, you guys so I am interested in
15	seeing we're going to get some information from you,
16	right? And so I am interested in seeing the MOU, whatever
17	you end up trying to put together. Okay? Did you have a
18	construction management plan of some kind? I forget.
19	MR. TUMMONDS: Yeah, if you look at the last,
20	starting in the conditions of the ANC resolution, starting
21	on page 12 of the Commission's resolution, it is section
22	number 5, communication concerning construction activity and
23	management of construction
24	BZA CHAIR HILL: Give me a second. Are you in the
25	ANC's report?

1	MR. TUMMONDS: Yes. So I'm sorry. They had two,
2	there's two sets of numbers. There's the first sets of
3	numbers which are for the resolution, which go pages 1
4	through 16 I believe. Yes. And then starting on what would
5	be page 17 is the attachment to the resolution. And those
6	are the proposed conditions.
7	BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay. Can you you were going
8	to read something.
9	MR. TUMMONDS: Okay. What I'm going to tell you
10	is that there are 12 pages of construction management-related
11	conditions in the ANC resolution. And
12	BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay, okay. So those are
13	right. So you're going to put those in the MOU I guess for
L4	us to kind of look at together in one area?
L5	MR. TUMMONDS: Yes.
L6	BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay. And then what happened to
L7	the whole like a task force thing with the people from the
18	different streets?
L9	MR. TUMMONDS: Right. So, first of all, the task
20	force was always intended to be just as really part of the
21	construction management plan. That's it was to help guide
22	and disseminate information about construction-related
23	activity that was going to incur during the periods of
24	construction.

I think we wanted to make sure that that was going

1	to be a viable and workable group. And we proposed to the
2	ANC that it should consist of ANC members. And the ANC then
3	came up with who they believed would be part of a workable
4	group that would achieve the goals of the task force, which
5	is really a construction management task force.
6	BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay. And that's in the and
7	I'm trying to pull up this thing again.
8	MR. TUMMONDS: Sure. Yes. The who is
9	participants in the
10	BZA CHAIR HILL: Which exhibit are you in again?
11	Can you tell me?
12	MR. TUMMONDS: So this would be the ANC
13	resolution. So off the top of my head what exhibit number
14	that is, I'll get that checked.
15	BZA CHAIR HILL: I have so many files open now.
16	And that echo is not annoying at all.
17	MR. TUMMONDS: Exhibit 233 is the ANC resolution.
18	And so, if you went to the fourth to last page of that
19	document, it should refer to itself as page 18.
20	BZA CHAIR HILL: Got it.
21	MR. TUMMONDS: At the top, A, ANC 3/4G shall pick
22	a Maret project task force.
23	BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay. Got it. All right. So
24	all of these again are going to be in the MOU that you're
25	going to put together for us. Is that correct?

MR. TUMMONDS: Correct.

2.1

2.3

BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay. Because that will be an easier place to look at it. Okay. Let's see, construction management plan.

And then if you could submit whatever you have about -- and I'll let all my fellow Board members also ask their questions. If you can, submit something about Ms. Rathbone's discussion and something that at least makes it easier for us to understand the situation. I understand that building was there before. I understand that door was there before. But just something that explains what you all have agreed to or what you think you're agreeing to.

MR. TUMMONDS: Absolutely.

BZA CHAIR HILL: The question that I have again was for why you needed the baseball diamond. And one explanation that I've been given thus far was in the rebuttal. And it was that there's not a lot of baseball diamonds in the city was one portion of it. But could you elaborate a little bit more as to how the school got to where they need the baseball diamond?

MR. HOLLOWAY: Sure. So we have a varsity baseball team, of course. And they need somewhere to play. They currently practice and play at Jelleff. We have -- I'm sure you know. We have an agreement there where our kids can go there to play and we can play games, but that does run

At that point, we do need somewhere for our baseball 1 So it's a programmatic need for us. 2 team to play. 3 MS. TALBOTT: And we've had baseball for many, many years. Many schools have the problem of not being able 5 to find baseball diamonds. We have used the leasing fields that was referred to before by the Commissioner. We were 6 7 great partners with that and supported that field as well in 8 Southeast. So we have had to kind of rotate around the city 9 looking for baseball diamonds. This --10 BZA CHAIR HILL: I'm sorry to interrupt. The netting is there because of the baseball field, correct? 11 12 MR. HOLLOWAY: No, it's soccer and potentially lacrosse. You know, the distance from home plate to the 13 netting like at Rittenhouse is 14 440 feet. So it's not 15 necessarily for baseball. But still, the height of 16 BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay. 17 it would be more or less kind of for baseball. trying to get a sense of this. It's not that height because 18 19 of soccer. 2.0 MR. HOLLOWAY: Yeah, I mean, given that we're 2.1 going to have baseball, lacrosse, and soccer all there, I mean, any one of those balls could make their way out of the 22 23 So that's what the netting is for. playing area. 24 BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay. This is not a money-making proposition for Maret, right. Like I mean, I don't see

you guys are not doing this in order to make money. I mean,
that's not what the school is there for. Can you clarify the
costs involved and how that would play out in terms of people
using the field?

MR. HOLLOWAY: Sure. The limited use by third parties that we talked about would really only cover a small portion of the annual cost of upkeep for this field.

When you talk about the lease payments to the ECC, as well as maintenance on the landscape upbringing that we're putting in place, maintenance of a synthetic turf field, repairs that need to be done on netting on the site, I mean, there's any number of things that need to be done, any lease payments would merely offset a portion of our annual costs.

BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay. So the -- or I should say the ability to use the field is something that Maret is thinking is a benefit for the community on the whole. Is that correct?

MS. TALBOTT: Absolutely. And our field at the Woodley campus, we offer it to DC Soccer and many other places because there's not enough field space in the city. It is totally, mainly to benefit the greater community. We've also kept the field open to our neighbors and the community so that they don't have to go other places to go do a pickup Frisbee match.

BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay. And I think, Mr. Tummonds,

2.0

2.1

also Chairman Hood was interested in some clarification on 1 your opinion to the letter that was provided from OAG. 2 3 MR. TUMMONDS: That's correct. 4 BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay --5 (Simultaneous speaking.) MR. also provide 6 TUMMONDS: it, the to 7 information that Commissioner Hood requested with regards to 8 the Dwight Mosley Field. And we have that information. 9 BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay. Mr. Tummonds, can you give 10 me an idea as to what things you all have already, what's the word, helped out with, like, you know, negotiating with the 11 community in terms of like a back and forth? Like what have 12 13 you given up to get to where you are right now? And I apologize, because that delay is just throwing me. 14 15 understand my question? 16 Okay. Yeah, I think to point to MR. TUMMONDS: 17 Mr. Holloway's testimony at the beginning, you may remember that one of the first site plans he presented included all 18 these red boxes that went all the way around the field. 19 2.0 we talked about the changes that have been made to the field 2.1 in response to comments and questions and concerns that we 22 So we think that is a good indication of have heard from. 23 all the stuff we've done. 24 It was a little tough to see. But then in our in our PowerPoint presentation, statement as well,

1	broken that down. There are some other slides that group
2	those changes we've made under larger headings like intensity
3	of use, stormwater management site design.
4	BZA CHAIR HILL: Where do you see I am thank
5	you. That was six hours ago
6	MR. TUMMONDS: Yeah.
7	BZA CHAIR HILL: that I'm looking at this
8	slide. But now I'm back to this. Is there another
9	MR. TUMMONDS: Yeah, slides 14 and 15.
10	BZA CHAIR HILL: In addition to 7.
11	MR. TUMMONDS: Correct.
12	BZA CHAIR HILL: When you submit something to the
13	record again, can you submit 7, 14, you know, slide 7, and
14	what did you say, 14 and 17?
15	MR. TUMMONDS: 14 and 15.
16	BZA CHAIR HILL: 14 and 15. I would like to see
17	a summary as to what you guys have already worked with with
18	the community.
19	MR. HOLLOWAY: Chairman Hill, I'm going to try
20	thing to try to fix that echo. So just bear with us for a
21	second. Okay. Can you hear us?
22	BZA CHAIR HILL: I can hear you.
23	MR. HOLLOWAY: Are you
24	BZA CHAIR HILL: Oh
25	MR. HOLLOWAY: echo still?

BZA CHAIR HILL: Can you hear me? 1 2 MR. HOLLOWAY: Yes. 3 Oh, wow, that's gone. BZA CHAIR HILL: Oh my 4 gosh, it was awful. 5 MR. HOLLOWAY: I'm a tech wizard. I was literally like -- it was 6 BZA CHAIR HILL: 7 the most distracting thing, anyway. Well, at least my fellow Board members now won't have to suffer through it when 8 9 they're asking their questions. I had hoped that -- they'll 10 think that I'm -- okay. All right. 11 Okay. Sorry. Give me one second, and then I'll 12 turn it over to my Board members. All right. I think that was it for me in terms of questions. 13 Oh, I did have one Mr. Donohue, can you hear me? 14 question for Mr. Donohue. 15 MR. DONOHUE: Yes, sir. 16 BZA CHAIR HILL: So you've been working with this, 17 your client for a little while now? 18 MR. DONOHUE: Yeah, since November. BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay. So did you get an idea as 19 2.0 to what other things your client might -- because, again, 2.1 what has been -- I'm just curious. This is a question as to what other things your client might have thought was going 22 to happen with that, you know, what other things the Friends 23 24 of the Field might have like to see there rather than what is currently being proposed.

1	I mean, I understand the one field. But there are
2	a variety or a multitude of other things that could happen
3	to that property. Do you know if they had thought of other
4	things?
5	MR. DONOHUE: Well, let's talk about the Friends'
6	proposal for a moment, Mr. Chair, because seven hours in was
7	the first time we've had any engagement on the Friends'
8	suggestion about what could be an acceptable offer. You
9	know, we had a detailed discussion about the one field, grass
10	only, per the limitations on the use. And we had no
11	questions from the Applicant. We got no engagement on that
12	at all.
13	BZA CHAIR HILL: No, I'm sorry, Mr. Donohue. My
14	question was forget about The Maret School. Like did, had
15	they thought of other things that might happen to that
16	property? That was my question to you.
17	MR. DONOHUE: Had they thought about what might
18	happen to the ECC
19	BZA CHAIR HILL: Yeah, if The Maret School wasn't
20	here, if this proposal wasn't on the table, what had they
21	thought might be an acceptable solution to that property?
22	And I can ask the ANC commissioners. They might know also.
23	But you know
24	MR. DONOHUE: If you want to know what the Friends
25	thinks about it, we should ask the Friends. But we didn't

have that conversation. 1 2 Okay. Mr. Sherman, can you hear BZA CHAIR HILL: 3 me? 4 SHERMAN: Sorry. I couldn't find my mute. 5 It was hidden. Yes, I can hear you. Yes, I can. BZA CHAIR HILL: So my question was, forget about 6 7 The Maret School, like, you know, what other things -- I 8 mean, it's a big piece of property. 9 MR. SHERMAN: Right. 10 BZA CHAIR HILL: And you guys know, like what other things did you think or had hoped, the Friends had 11 hoped was going to happen with that property? MR. SHERMAN: Well, you have to understand, again, 13 going back to the question I think you had asked or one of 14 the Board members had asked about when we first got involved, 15 as I believe Commissioner Speck mentioned or someone else 16 17 mentioned, DCPS was interested in the property. to purchase it, not lease it. So ECC decided not to engage 18 There's a history there. But we were not at the time 19 DCPS. 2.0 that was happening, as neighborhood residents, aware of those 2.1 conversations. And we were not involved. 22 There were other options. Mr. Simons of ECC 23 mentioned that they had a number of suitors, people calling 24 them, developers and others.

Keeping in mind that this is zoned residential

1	right now, this is what the special exception is for, right.
2	It's zoned residential
3	BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay. That's all right. So you
4	have, this is the only thing that was on the table that you
5	guys had thought about, because this is what's on the table
6	that you have to consider.
7	MR. SHERMAN: Well, nobody was floating another
8	offer or another suggestion by us to say, hey, let's see if
9	we can do this.
10	BZA CHAIR HILL: All right. Okay.
11	MR. SHERMAN: But let me say this. One thing that
12	has concerned us is that a number of people have raised the
13	specter of, oh my gosh, if you don't go for this proposal
14	then they're going to put low-income housing on the property.
15	It's come up in numerous conversations. Well, I'm not saying
16	it's something that's occurred to you. I'm simply saying
17	it's occurred in many of the public conversations. All
18	right.
19	BZA CHAIR HILL: I was just curious.
20	MR. SHERMAN: Yeah.
21	BZA CHAIR HILL: It's okay, Mr. Sherman. I was
22	just curious. And
23	(Simultaneous speaking.)
24	BZA CHAIR HILL: zoning experts. There's a
25	multitude of things that can happen to that property

Right. 1 MR. SHERMAN: BZA CHAIR HILL: 2 -- that are outside what you 3 think might happen to that property. But that's okay. 4 MR. SHERMAN: I don't doubt that. 5 BZA CHAIR HILL: I was just curious. 6 MR. SHERMAN: Right. 7 BZA CHAIR HILL: So all right. It looks like, Commissioner Speck, were you about to say something? 8 No? 9 All right. I'm going to turn it over to my fellow Okay. 10 Board members. Who would like to go next with any questions Mr. Smith has his hand up first. 11 they have? I just have one question as to The 12 MEMBER SMITH: Maret School, and it's about that netting based on some of 13 the testimony that we received from the residents out there, 14 15 the protective netting that Chair Hill brought up. 16 Was there a reason -- was that required by the ANC 17 as part of your negotiations? What is the reasoning for having such a large netting structure there? 18 19 MR. HOLLOWAY: Sure. So it was not required by 2.0 the ANC. What we were trying to do is find the right height 21 to keep balls from escaping the surface of play to get into neighbors' backyards. I think in our resolution with ANC 22 23 we've also said, you know, where we can go lower on the 24 netting we shall certainly endeavor to do so. But we've

tried to do is tell the neighbors, you know, we think 30 feet

in these spots is what we're going to need to have to keep those balls in the field of play.

MEMBER SMITH: Okay. And is that 30 feet based on for recommendation for this type of various structure for athletic fields primarily used by, you know, schools or from particular -- I'm just asking, because it's a very large netting structure, something that I -- you know, something of this size reminds me of something at Topgolf. So that's my question.

MS. TALBOTT: I would like to just try to answer that. If you came to our school, you would see that we have netting around our whole field because we have neighbors surrounding our field. The netting we're presenting has hole that are going to be grade so that they don't stick into your face. The netting is something you can look through. And it's what you have at Jelleff. It's what you have at most of the schools that are in the neighborhoods.

MEMBER SMITH: Okay. And it sounds like --

MS. TALBOTT: Our landscape and our athletic people who build fields, this is their recommendation. We don't want to put any higher netting than is necessary.

MEMBER SMITH: Understood. And it sounds like, based on what was stated a little earlier, you will continue to have discussion with the ANC. So okay. So I'm sorry. Mr. Speck.

2.0

2.1

1	MR. SPECK: Yeah, if you just look at the first
2	page of our conditions on this particular paragraph, it's
3	paragraph 1d, the protective netting shall be no taller than
4	30 feet, measured from the finished rig adjacent to the
5	immediate location and shall be reduced to lower levels
6	whenever possible based on field experience and observation.
7	So, if the, a lower net will work, it will be reduced under
8	our conditions.
9	MEMBER SMITH: Got you. Okay. I see. All right.
10	Thank you. Thank you, Maret team.
11	BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay. Who's next? Let's let the
12	Chairman Hood go last, because, you know, he is the chairman.
13	Let's go, Mr. Blake.
14	MEMBER BLAKE: Sure, so a quick follow-up to Mr.
15	Smith's question. Is it possible to have the net be variable
16	in height in times when the game is, games are going or not?
17	And is that cost prohibitive, or is that actually something
18	that's feasible based on the technology that's available at
19	a cost management price?
20	MR. HOLLOWAY: So I would say, you know, we are
21	always trying to manage, you know, what we're doing from a
22	cost perspective. There is technology for variable height
23	netting. One of the problems with that, though, comes with
24	

25 having a practice. They either forget to put the netting up,

forget to take it down, that type of thing. And then the balls go where you don't want them to go. That's why we've opted for the permanent netting. Just hoping that answers your question.

MS. TALBOTT: And I would just say that the goal posts for the football field are going to be removable, so they'll only be up during the fall season. And that I think would be more of a visual sight than the netting.

MEMBER BLAKE: Okay. And this may be a question for Mr. Speck. With regard to the, Mr. Axelrod's comment earlier about the ongoing input from the immediately adjacent neighbors, is that -- I noticed the task force really is dealing with the pre-construction.

But as an ongoing matter, how did that work out, because he indicated you kind of like, he was excluded from the last part? It seemed like that should be an ongoing thing. Could you explain how that worked out?

SPECK: The task force is only MR. for construction period. And it will consist οf ANC the commissioners and their designees. So the ANC, the commissioners can designate others as well to participate in the task force as needed. If a particular issue comes up that we want someone who has familiarity with that issue, then we'll put them on the task force as well. But it's only for the construction period.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

2.3

1	There will continue to be ANC monitoring, because
2	we've got reports that are required over a ten-year period
3	on a variety of issues, traffic and stormwater management
4	particularly. So we'll still be monitoring what's going on,
5	but it won't be through this formal task force, which is only
6	for the construction period.
7	MEMBER BLAKE: Well, was Mr. Axelrod's proposal
8	that you vetted an ongoing task force or not a was that
9	just for the task force period?
10	MR. SPECK: It was just for the construction
11	period.
12	MEMBER BLAKE: Okay. Thank you.
13	MR. SPECK: Just for the construction period.
14	MEMBER BLAKE: Okay, okay. And the question I
15	have for the Maret team quickly is that I understand that
16	this is not a real revenue generating center for you. If you
17	did not have revenues from this, would this still be feasible
18	economically?
19	MS. TALBOTT: The answer is yes. It will put, you
20	know, more burden on our families and tuition payments. But
21	we are not seeing this at all as a cash cow. It is more to
22	help us with the general maintenance. It's not to help us
23	with the lease. It's for the general maintenance of the
24	field.
25	And I would say that Wilson, Sidwell, many schools

1	do rent to D.Cbased youth at a fairly low amount per hour,
2	which is what we do on our own backfield to help with the
3	wear and tear.
4	MEMBER BLAKE: And, then the last question on that
5	would be, and this could be to the ANC as well. Did you look
6	at a phase-in period where you could do something to minimize
7	the, or kind of introduce the activity to the field, as
8	opposed to the full-on traffic initially?
9	For example, not having the secondary, the
10	third-party activities initially, and then starting just with
11	the Maret traffic? Or is that, that just didn't make sense?
12	MS. TALBOTT: Are you asking me or Randy, Mr.
13	Speck?
14	MEMBER BLAKE: Actually, it was to the both of you.
15	Whoever could answer it. I'm just curious whether that
16	discussion took place, and if it made sense or not.
17	MR. SPECK: There were discussions about phasing
18	it in, and we've also got a provision in our conditions, that
19	specify that on the usage time period, the periods of usage,
20	that that can be modified based on experience as well.
21	And, it would have to come back to the BZA for an
22	amendment to the order. But we think that we ought to look
23	at experience, and see how that works out. And, decide
24	whether it should be increased or decreased.
25	MS. TALBOTT: I also would just say, and I think

1	Commissioner Speck would agree with us, that we started with
2	more hours for outside youth sports groups, because of the
3	demand. And, we heard from DC Soccer and other places, they
4	wanted more hours.
5	But we reduced them with the ANC's acknowledgment,
6	so that we're not on the field as much as we could have been.
7	MR. SPECK: And, as we note in our resolution,
8	there's a push-pull here between some commissioners, some of
9	our commissioners, wanted much more use, use by youth groups
10	because they, they think that's a very important aspect of
11	this community asset.
12	But we were sensitive to the immediate neighbors,
13	and so we reached a compromise essentially. One that was
14	still having a significant use for youth sports groups, but
15	also restricted the hours so that it wasn't as intrusive as
16	it might have been.
17	MEMBER BLAKE: All right, thank you very much. I
18	appreciate those answers.
19	BZA CHAIR HILL: Just to follow up before I get to
20	Chairman Hood. You guys, or a commissioner, and also the
21	Maret team. What is the mechanism with which you're coming
22	back to speak to the ANC in terms of how this is going?
23	Mr. Tummonds, maybe?
24	MR. TUMMONDS: Sure. Well, I think there is
25	okay, great.

First of all, there are, we have the requirements 1 2 to monitor our, the traffic and parking aspect. 3 So, I believe it's every, once in the spring and 4 once in the fall, for the first three years, we have to come 5 back to the ANC to say, here are the parking accounts that we did, here are, they are basically in keeping with what the 6 7 CTR anticipated. 8 So, for the first three years, we're coming back 9 twice a year to do that. 10 Mr. Holloway also mentioned that for 10 years, we're filing notice of annual reports on the status of the 11 12 storm water management systems, and how they're working. 13 Also, we, the Maret team, they are going to be a member of this community. And, ECC is a member of 14 certainly with 15 community. And, Ι think that 16 relationships that we've established with the ANC, with 17 Chairman Speck, with our SMD Mr. Higgins, they know our number, we know their number, and we will continue to have 18 dialogue with them on a continual basis. 19 20 And, certainly through this construction period, 2.1 we're going to have a lot of dialogue. 22 BZACHAIR \mathtt{HILL} : No, I appreciate it. The 23 construction period seems very well thought out. 24 again, we in the past, have had where there's been like, you know, you go back to the ANC every six months, or something

Just to discuss how things are going. 1 2 And, I don't mean necessarily, about traffic. 3 It's anything. I mean, and I don't know whether, and I'm again trying to go through the conditions that the ANC has 5 put forward, that why we'll have an opportunity to look more closely at when you put it together with an MOU. 6 7 But if you can highlight, or show how you will be 8 involved with the ANC after the construction. You know, how do we know that the school will continue to be engaged with 9 10 the community, and their concerns moving forward? MR. TUMMONDS: Yes. We'll be sure to address that 11 12 in the MOU. BZA CHAIR HILL: Thank you. And, then the final 13 thing and then I'll get to Chairman Hood, sorry Chairman 14 15 Hood, is that the, and this is to the Maret team again. The whole ECC agreement stuff, like how did that 16 17 come about? And, would you also in your testimony and just again reiterate, that it's a partnership with ECC that is 18 going to, not that this has anything to do necessarily with 19 20 the regulations, I just, this is for my own curiosity, right. 2.1 Like, I mean the regulations are very clear as to what we're supposed to look at. I'm curious as to how the 22 23 ECC thing came about, and your testimony is that 24 arrangement will facilitate the ECC's ability to continue on.

Is that correct?

1	MS. TALBOTT: Correct.
2	BZA CHAIR HILL: And, how did it come about?
3	MS. TALBOTT: I think that it was known in the
4	community, and at the ANC, that ETC ceased its ongoing
5	operations two years ago. There was a lot of interest from
6	different groups. Maret heard about that, and our board
7	approached the board in ECC about a long-term lease.
8	ETC was not interested in selling its property as
9	they said. DCPS and other groups, had offered different
10	types of arrangements. But ECC felt that we were most
11	aligned in what they believe in, and do.
12	I think that the partnership has continued to
13	enhance as we work together on the plans, on how to really
14	support one another.
15	I'm sure that Bill Simmons or Stephanie Nash,
16	could answer it from their perspective. But it was out
17	there, it was in the public domain. We knew that DCPS was
18	looking at it. We knew that other people, and we have had
19	this long-term need.
20	BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay, I appreciate it. Thank you.
21	Chairman Hood, do you have questions?
22	ZC CHAIR HOOD: Yes, thank you Mr. Chairman.
23	When I look at, after hearing all this today for
24	a few hours give and take, and the different positions, I go
25	back to Subtitle U and Subtitle X, the private school shall

be located so that it is not likely to become objectionable to adjoining nearby properties because of noise, traffic, number of students, and otherwise (inaudible) goes on norm, and things that the Chairman mentioned we are supposed to look at.

One of the things that I've said in another case, and I'm going to say it in this one, too. At some point, all of us were young. Who wants to go to a baseball game, or a soccer game, where you can't hear?

Blowing a whistle is part of the game. Having a referee there is part of the game. And, the young lady who, commissioner, I think it was commissioner, one of the commissioners, I can't, I think I wrote her name down, Commissioner was it Brooks?

But either way, yes, Commissioner Brooks, talked about equity. At some point in time, all of us were young and played sports. I haven't been to any sporting events that were quiet. I haven't been to any.

So, what my point is, let's continue to continue to have that dialogue with Maret. I know that there's some more things that we may be replying to, and it looks like the ANC had a lot of things fine, too.

I think we need to probably expand a little bit more, the dialogue with the, and I heard the discussion between Board Member Blake and the ANC.

2.0

2.3

We might need to expand that group that's going 1 2 to continue to monitor what's going on. I think that can be 3 updated. 4 But let me ask Mr. Sherman this. When you talk 5 about other uses that can go there, it's not necessarily low income. But it could be affordable housing. And, that's one 6 of the things, I think, West of the Park, and other areas can 8 do their fair share. But in this case, that's not before us. 9 10 not the proposal that's before us. Maret is not talking about getting into the affordable housing business. 11 12 And, when I look at your, Mr. Sherman, when I looked at I think it was yours, because it's been a few hours 13 so forgive me if I got whoever's PowerPoint presentation it 14 15 was. One of them had, I think you all's recommendation 16 17 which took away the diamond, and the other one had the field and the diamond. I believe you said, I think the, your 18 organization Mr. Sherman, was fine without the diamond, and 19 2.0 maybe some other caveats. But Maret is recommending with the 2.1 diamond. 22 Is that, did I characterize that, did I capture 23 that correctly, or am I mischaracterizing? MR. SHERMAN: Yes, you did. 24 25 ZC CHAIR HOOD: So, again, it goes back to my point

of maximizing. The problem with a lot of things, and I hate 1 to bring this analogy in for sure. The problem with FedEx 2 Field was when it was built, it was already outdated. 3 It was 4 already outdated. 5 So, you know, I know people when we build stuff in the city like we did the tech hotel some years ago, the 6 7 Zoning Commission by mandate by Congress. When they were 8 built, what we did was, just in case, nobody even talks about 9 tech hotels anymore. It doesn't happen. Those place turned 10 into office buildings. So, it was an adjusted use. It was built, but it 11 didn't satisfy the requirement that we were mandated to do 12 So, now it's office buildings. 13 here in the city. So, I'm just throwing that out there, Mr. Sherman. 14 15 I do know there are adverse impacts. I just want to always, 16 I always push and push, to continue to make sure people work 17 the (inaudible). 18 I believe Maret should be part of the good 19 neighbor policy. And, I always have said that for years. 2.0 And, Mr. Sherman, I understand that there are some impacts, 2.1 and there is some give and take. Not just from Mr. Sherman, but from Maret as well. You might close the gap. 22 23 And, one of the things that Board Member Blake 24 said that I think was so pivotal in this case, is at some

point, incrementally, and I'm not trying to put words in your

1	mouth. I took as what he said is, incrementally put things
2	in place. And, grow to the larger need, as opposed to
3	starting off bam.
4	And, let the community work along with Maret, and
5	let's incrementally get there.
6	So, Mr. Donohue and Mr. Sherman, and others, I
7	hope you all will continue to work with Maret, because I know
8	the Board will make the decision. We're going to look at the
9	regulations within zoning. A lot of stuff is coming to play
10	that probably is not within our area.
11	But when I look at objectionable to an adjoining
12	and nearby property, I have to have a comfort level. And,
13	when you talk about noise, a referee blowing a whistle? If
L4	that's all I had in my neighborhood, I would be great.
L5	MR. SHERMAN: Yes.
16	ZC CHAIR HOOD: A referee blowing a whistle. You
L7	know how many people want that, Mr. Sherman? Instead of the
18	other things that we have.
L9	So, I guess my point to everyone is, continue to
20	work. Continue to work at it. Take some of what Board
21	Member Blake, and my other colleagues have mentioned. And,
22	lets see what we come back with. Let's try to get closer
23	together because at the end of the day, it's about the young
24	folks.

MR. SHERMAN: Chairman Hill, could I --

1	(Simultaneous speaking.)
2	ZC CHAIR HOOD: That's all I have.
3	MR. SHERMAN: could I just
4	ZC CHAIR HOOD: Yes.
5	MR. SHERMAN: give a response to that? Yes.
6	ZC CHAIR HOOD: Sure.
7	MR. SHERMAN: So, I appreciate everything that
8	you've just said. And, (inaudible) be sure that it has
9	conveyed you and the other board members, that in our
10	alternative plan, we are giving Maret 82 percent of what
11	Maret wants.
12	All we're asking is that we balance a little bit
13	better, the needs of the immediate adjacent neighbors.
14	That's all we're asking.
15	And to us, having been through this long process
16	where we sought through our ANC, without success, to get some
17	reaction to our concrete good faith offers, we're now before
18	the BZA.
19	And again, we really appreciate the party status.
20	But's it 82 percent of what they want. We frankly find it
21	hard to see why that would not be a good deal for all
22	parties.
23	ZC CHAIR HOOD: So, Mr. Sherman, I will tell you
24	where I'm stuck at is not seeing the baseball diamond. I
25	can't get beyond that.

So, if you can, help me understand why I don't see 1 a baseball. Why are we not maximizing the use? 2 3 MR. SHERMAN: Because one of the reasons why they need the netting to be so high, is because the fields are 5 pushed right to the edge of the property line, with the contiguous neighbors. And, therefore, they have to have the 6 7 netting. 8 There are very few fields in the District of 9 in the study that we revealed for you of 10 playing fields, where the fields are sided in such a way that 11 you require netting. 12 It's only when you're trying to pound 10 pounds of sand in a five pound bag that you come up with that. 13 It's too big. It's too intrusive. That's what we've been saying. 14 15 But we're not opposed to it. We also want to underscore 16 that. 17 One final thing. Maret has use of their baseball diamond at Jelleff until 2029. There is no urgency for a 18 19 baseball field on their part, at this point. It's only 2.0 because they want to use it at this field, and therein lies 2.1 the rub with the immediate neighbors. 22 This is not a difficult problem to fix, board 23 members. 24 BZA CHAIR HILL: Mr. Sherman, Mr. Sherman, I want to let you know something. You keep saying this 82 percent

thing, right? And, you're taking away a baseball diamond. 1 2 And, so, you know, I'm just saying you make it 3 sound as though you're trying to do apples for apples here. 4 Or, you know, it's not. 5 And, so I'm not saying that, I'm not saying you haven't made your argument. I'm just saying don't make it 6 7 sound like you're giving them exactly what they want. And, we all know about netting. 8 And, we know 9 about what's been put in neighborhoods. We're here every 10 week, right. And, we hear, I've heard thousands of cases. 11 And, so, you know, we are here to look at the 12 regulations, and whether or not we think this is fitting within the regulations, right. 13 14 But all I'm just trying to take issue with is 15 you're saying this 82 percent, as if you're providing an 16 apples for apples situation. 17 And, by the way, I'm not trying to rehash all Like, clearly, 18 this. you've you guys have 19 articulated. I thought your presentation was very good as 20 to why you think that they're not meeting the regulation. 2.1 So, all I was just taking issue with is that it's not an apples for apples trade. 22 23 SHERMAN: Yes, and Chairman Hill, MR. 24 trying to reiterate to you, is that we can have an amicable

outcome for everybody here. That's all I'm trying to say.

BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay.

2.1

ZC CHAIR HOOD: And, Mr. Sherman, that's why I'm trying, that's why I'm having this discussion with you. With you, and I want Maret to hear this discussion because that's exactly what I'm looking for when you come back.

I believe it can be done. The good neighbor policy is always work. And, I believe it works. But I will say this to you, Mr. Sherman, and I'm not picking on you, I'm just saying.

When I'm thinking about the field where Maret plays in our neighborhood and I'm trying to think. The houses are right there, and I was trying to think about a net. You know what the net was? The neighbors' cars.

So, and they still there even though Maret's not playing in there. So, I think there's some precautions that you may be getting, that my neighborhood did not get.

But I will tell you, Mr. Donohue, and all, and also Mr. Tummonds, lets you all continue to have the conversation.

Commissioner Speck, and it look like they did a yeoman's job. I know some people may not agree with where they landed, but they put a whole lot of conditions in there. Some are enforced by us, and some that are enforced between the two of them. And, I think that's why the Chairman has asked to talk about the MOU.

1	So, I still think all this is still do-able, but
2	it's going to take some give and take on everyone's side.
3	That's all I have, Mr. Chairman.
4	Thank you.
5	BZA CHAIR HILL: Thanks, Chairman Hood.
6	I'm going to let the commissioners, I mean out of
7	the ANC you'll get a conclusion. And, then as will Mr., did
8	I lose the attorney?
9	Where's Mr. Donohue? Oh, there we go. Sorry.
10	Hi, Mr. Donohue.
11	So, Mr. Donohue, you'll get a conclusion also.
12	I'm going to deal with the commissioner first, and then you,
13	Mr. Donohue. And, then end with the applicant in terms of
14	a conclusion.
15	And, before I go, this is to Mr. Tummonds and the
16	team. There was this whole question of the bleacher seating.
17	And, like the bleachers being faced somewhere, or something,
18	or there wasn't enough seats maybe.
19	Can you just provide some feedback to that
20	testimony?
21	MR. HOLLOWAY: Sure. So, we're proposing moveable
22	bleachers on the site for the multi-purpose field, and then
23	permanent bleachers on the baseball diamond.
24	The reason we're proposing movable bleachers for
25	the multi-purpose field is because depending on the sport

that depends on where you need to put the bleachers. 1 Sometimes the teams are on the same side of the 2 Sometimes they're on the opposite side fo the field. 3 field. 4 And, so those bleachers, that set of two, would 5 hold about 40 people each, we're estimating. And, so that's what we think will accommodate the majority at the games, 6 7 that we're talking about holding at the site. 8 So, that's what we're proposing. Does that answer 9 your question? 10 BZA CHAIR HILL: Yes. And, then is it, so as we 11 are going to learn about how you're going to have continued 12 discussions with the community, if it seems as though you need more bleachers, so that the people that are, you know, 13 the spectators are facing the correct direction and watching, 14 15 and therefore, the noise is going away from the community, 16 that is something that would get addressed at that point. 17 Is that what you're trying, I'm trying to first of all, find out if you guys are open to more bleachers if 18 you need it, and I would assume the answer would be yes. 19 20 Correct? 2.1 MR. HOLLOWAY: Yes, I mean in just kind of writ large, we are open always to continuing conversation with the 22 23 ANC, about mitigating any impacts that pop up that we weren't 24 anticipating at the time.

I mean, Marjo and I are in regular contact with

1	the chair of the ANC here, and with the park. So, we
2	certainly would do that.
3	BZA CHAIR HILL: Got it. And, so then I guess, Mr.
4	Tummonds, that's what I'm also looking for as to how you guys
5	are going back to the ANC after the construction, after the
6	construction's finished so that right, if that neighbor had
7	an issue with the sound and they thought maybe an additional
8	bleacher could get put up, you know, that would be something
9	that would be put forward with the ANC at whatever time it
10	is that you guys have now, that whatever mechanism you all
11	put forward.
12	And, so I'd be looking for that is what I'm
13	saying, Mr. Tummonds, okay?
14	MR. TUMMONDS: Got it.
15	BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay.
16	All right, do my fellow board members have any
17	questions before we go to conclusions?
18	(No audible response.)
19	BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay, so
20	(Simultaneous speaking.)
21	ZC CHAIR HOOD: could somebody just tell me
22	right quick, where is the 82 percent chart? Maybe I can look
23	and find it, but if anybody knows where it is right quick.
24	The chart that had the 82 percent that Mr. Sherman
25	was saying that they were willing.

1	I know it's in their presentation. Is it in the
2	first, second, or third, third PowerPoint presentation?
3	If somebody could just give just that information
4	so I want to
5	(Simultaneous speaking.)
6	BZA CHAIR HILL: Mr. Sherman, do you know what
7	Chairman Hood
8	ZC CHAIR HOOD: Exactly.
9	BZA CHAIR HILL: is referring to?
10	MR. SHERMAN: Yes, and we cited another document.
11	We'll follow up with you and provide that information to you,
12	Chairman Hood.
13	ZC CHAIR HOOD: Okay, thank you.
14	MR. SHERMAN: Uh huh.
15	BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay, Mr. Sherman?
16	MR. SHERMAN: (No audible response.)
17	BZA CHAIR HILL: Mr. Sherman?
18	MR. SHERMAN: Yes, uh huh?
19	BZA CHAIR HILL: I'm sorry, there just, I have to
20	close the record except for things that we ask for. So,
21	that's why I've got to try to understand what is it that you
22	want to try, or is it somewhere that's in here and you're
23	going to try to pull from it?
24	MR. SHERMAN: It's the count of Maret sports teams,
25	that would benefit from one multi-purpose field without the

1	baseball diamond.
2	BZA CHAIR HILL: I got you. So, you can submit
3	something into the record that
4	(Simultaneous speaking.)
5	MR. DONOHUE: It's in the record. We're going to
6	give you an exhibit number.
7	BZA CHAIR HILL: You're going to, I'm just saying,
8	you're going to add that to the record?
9	MR. DONOHUE: It's in the record. We're going to
10	give you the slide identification so you know it. But it's
11	in the record.
12	BZA CHAIR HILL: I understand. But I'm saying
13	(Simultaneous speaking.)
14	ZC CHAIR HOOD: No, it's in the record. I probably
15	still have it
16	BZA CHAIR HILL: you have to let us know that
17	
18	ZC CHAIR HOOD: shortly, so.
19	BZA CHAIR HILL: you have to let us know that
20	somehow, is what I'm trying to get at.
21	And, then it's something that's going to get put
22	into the record, Mr. Donohue. That's what I'm just trying
23	to understand.
24	So, you're going to submit something into the
25	record that points out where it is in the record, is that

1	what you're saying, Mr. Donohue?
2	MR. DONOHUE: Yes, sir.
3	BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay, there you go.
4	All right, so Commissioner Speck, you want to give
5	us a conclusion, please, and then Mr. Donohue, and then Mr.
6	Tummonds.
7	MR. SPECK: It's been a very long day, I know for
8	all you. We really appreciate the Board's attention through
9	all of this. And, I know it's very complicated in some
10	respects, but you've handled it extremely well, and we
11	appreciate that.
12	I don't have anything else to add. Thank you.
13	BZA CHAIR HILL: Thanks, Commissioner Speck.
14	Commissioner Speck, we always appreciate the ANCs,
15	and all the work they do. And, I know that it's taken a long
16	time for you to get to this point. I don't know what's going
17	to happen, but it took you a long time to get here.
18	So, congratulations to you all, as well, and
19	surviving it as well, and doing your best.
20	MR. SPECK: Thank you.
21	BZA CHAIR HILL: Mr. Donohue?
22	MR. DONOHUE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
23	Briefly, just a few things I want to go over.
24	One, a little while ago we heard testimony from Dr. Nash,
25	from ECC. And, I thought that was very compelling. Because

ECC I know, has had a presence there in that community for 1 a long time. 2 3 This case is not about ECC. But I think the neighbors are encouraged that ECC hopes to reopen, perhaps 5 in the fall. But embedded in her testimony was that they hope 6 7 to open with some 20 students. That's a small presence 8 there, so we're hopeful that that comes back as a more robust 9 program. 10 Secondly, I've been involved with this group since 11 November, as I explained to you a few moments ago. 12 aware of anyone being bullied into a position. When we asked you for party status, you asked us about what our numbers 13 And, at the time, I said approximately 250. 14 15 That number has grown to about 272, 274. I'm not aware of any instances where people are bullied into a 16 17 position. 18 There were some things said; there were 19 allegations made at ANC meetings. There's hard some 20 feelings, I suppose, but I'm not aware of any bullying. 2.1 We did propose two alternate schemes. 22 testimony from Mr. Patton, and Mr. Sherman. Mr. Sherman has 23 that amplified, and he tried to address your questions about 24 how that might work.

Admittedly, these aren't fully engineered plans,

	liowever, they are less impactful. They re better at saving
2	trees. They're better at saving heritage trees. We think
3	it's 82 percent of what Maret has asked for; perhaps not.
4	Mr. Hood will do the math.
5	But we think it's a good proposal, and we think
6	it merits further discussion. We got very little interest
7	on the part of the Maret team.
8	I point out by the way, that Maret has a, the
9	opportunity to continue its use of Jelleff Fields until 2029.
10	2029. And, I don't know whether that's extendable. Perhaps
11	it is; I don't know.
12	Earlier on, much earlier on, Mr. Hood asked us
13	about the AG's opinion, and asked us why they didn't cite the
14	Court of Appeals opinion. And, I don't know either.
15	We did ask them to get involved in the case. We
16	didn't guide them in their direction. We didn't do the
17	research for them, but we certainly invited their attention
18	to what we thought was a zoning problem.
19	But I do want to read you something from the case,
20	Mr. Hood, and I want to answer the question that you raised,
21	maybe seven hours ago.
22	This is from page 1 of the Court of Appeals'
23	opinion, talking about the athletic facilities attendant to
24	National Cathedral. Same campus.
25	Specifically, the BZA found that the athletic

facility constitutes either an extension of the principal use of the school, or a quote, accessory use. Because the Board's finding that it is an accessory use is sustainable, we need not consider whether the facility is reasonable characterized as a principal use.

In other words, the Court of Appeals said it's attendant to the principal use, which is an existing special exception and therefore, allowed, or it's an accessory use.

Now here, the principal use, Maret, is about three miles down the road. You actually can't get there in three miles. It's three and a half miles.

There is no special exception from Maret on the premises. So, it's got to be accessory. It's got to be an accessory, or adjunct. And, he goes on to talk about adjunct uses. And, if it's adjunct to Maret, then how can it be off the premises? How can it be three miles away?

Earlier on, I chuckled when a board member mentioned Topgolf. It's the first thing I thought of when I saw the fencing going up. By the way, the fencing, the screens are going up above 12-feet of retaining wall. And, Topgolf was the first thing that came to mind.

This also occurs to me, and I struggle with it but I'm going to suggest this to you anyway. If the Maret team's interpretation is true, that the principal use can be an athletic facility as a stand alone use, then what's to

1	prevent another school or college, from coding a sportsplex,
2	like a St. James, or some other sportsplex, unrelated to
3	their campus, on a site and fall under the special exception
4	facility?
5	You have to have the accessory part of the
6	definition we talked about so many hours ago. It's either
7	got to be attendant to the principal use, or accessory. And
8	if it's accessory, it's got to be on the same lot. That's
9	the opinion the AG took, and that's the opinion that we have.
10	And, that's where I'll close, Mr. Chairman.
11	Thank you.
12	BZA CHAIR HILL: Thanks, Mr. Donohue. Thank you
13	for your participation, and your client's participation
14	throughout the day.
15	Chairman Hood, you have something?
16	ZC CHAIR HOOD: Yes, Mr. Donohue, can you provide
17	that first page that you just read about the record?
18	MR. DONOHUE: Yes, sir.
19	ZC CHAIR HOOD: If the Chairman would allow? Okay,
20	thank you.
21	BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay, all right, Mr. Donohue, then
22	I guess you can put that in there at the same time that you
23	put in the, with the reference to the exhibit.
24	Mr. Tummonds?
25	MR. TUMMONDS: Great, thank you very much.

As noted in our initial statement, our pre-hearing statement, our response to the motion to postpone, and the information that we've provided here today, the applicant has satisfied the special exception standards for the proposed private school use.

The Office of Planning, DDOT, and the ANC 3/4G agree with this conclusion. We will submit the requested information that you've asked for, and we will address the issue of the principal use, and how this, this application and Maret's athletic fields, satisfy those requirements as agreed to by the Zoning Administrator, as noted in the precedential BZA case from NCS.

And, I think just in the idea of what we're talking about here today. It is through the discussion of this use and it impacts, that we get to the questions that are relevant.

Mr. Donohue just mentioned, you know, what would happen if this, this entity wanted to do this, this, and this? Well, you could say okay, let's talk about that, and then we can go through a process to say that's not appropriate here. That is not in keeping with the intent and integrity of the zoning regulations, pursuant to Subtitle X 901.2.

We think that the special exception process allows appropriately, the BZA to review the impacts that this

2.0

1	educational use, athletic facilities are educational uses,
2	have on the nearby property owners, nearby community.
3	That's why we think the special exception approval
4	is appropriate. That's what we'll address in greater detail
5	in our post-hearing submission.
6	And, then once you have all that information, we
7	request that you approve our special exception application.
8	Thank you very much.
9	BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay, thank you.
10	Okay, Mr. Moy, can you hear me?
11	MR. MOY: Yes, I'm here.
12	BZA CHAIR HILL: If you could walk us through like,
13	when we might get things to record, and when we might be able
14	to get back here for a decision.
15	MR. MOY: Okay, before I jump to that, Mr.
16	Chairman, just for clarity on the record, and for my own
17	edification, the Board heard testimony from witnesses for
18	those in support, and those in opposition.
19	And, there were two individuals who asked the
20	Board if you would allow what they testified, to allow their
21	written testimony into the record.
22	And, I believe one was somebody, a person by the
23	name Jonathan Axelrod. The other is Michael Sriqui,
24	S-I-R-Q-U-I. And, I believe you allowed that.
25	And, during the course of the hearing, someone

1	with the Friends of the Field, tried to submit their
2	testimony into the record.
3	So, my question is that whether for my direction,
4	if you're allowing all these written testimonies into the
5	record.
6	BZA CHAIR HILL: Go ahead and allow the Friends of
7	the Field testimony into the record. The mister, and I can't
8	pronounce his last name, the last name that started with an
9	S.
10	MR. MOY: Yes.
11	BZA CHAIR HILL: He was going to give his written
12	testimony. And, then also I think he was going to give
13	testimony of an organization that he was part of.
14	MR. MOY: Yes
15	(Simultaneous speaking.)
16	BZA CHAIR HILL: And, we can go back
17	MR. MOY: DC Soccer. Yes.
18	BZA CHAIR HILL: There you go. If you can go ahead
19	and allow that into the record. And, then you had mentioned
20	one other thing I think?
21	MR. MOY: Person by Jonathan Axelrod.
22	BZA CHAIR HILL: Yes.
23	MR. MOY: He asked as well, but, you know, these
24	two individuals actually had exhibits in the record. But I
25	suppose it doesn't hurt to allow that into the record.

1	BZA CHAIR HILL: If Mr. Axelrod wants to submit his
2	testimony into the record, I can't, you know, whatever is in
3	the transcript, you can go ahead and allow him to put that
4	into the record, right?
5	MR. MOY: Okay, very good.
6	Thank you.
7	BZA CHAIR HILL: And, then after that I guess if
8	you can come back to us with dates on
9	(Simultaneous speaking.)
10	MR. MOY: Yes.
11	BZA CHAIR HILL: on when we might get the
12	information from the team. And, then whatever happens with
13	everybody having an opportunity, and then who would give back
14	to us.
15	MR. MOY: Okay. So, what I have for you, Mr.
16	Chairman, is this for a continued hearing or for decision
17	making?
18	BZA CHAIR HILL: I think there's enough information
19	that the Board would be able to come to a decision.
20	MR. MOY: Okay, all right.
21	So, okay, let me start at the beginning.
22	So, I'm going to suggest that the appellant, the
23	Friends of the Field, submit their reference materials that
24	was asked for by the Board, by Monday, March the 14th.
25	Between now and Monday, March the 14th.

1		The applicant, to make their post-hearing filings
2	by Friday,	March the, let's say March the 25th. I'll give
3	you a littl	e bit of time.
4		And, any responses to the filing by the applicant
5	by the part	ies, by Monday, April the 4th.
6		(Pause.)
7		BZA CHAIR HILL: I lost you, Mr. Moy.
8		MR. MOY: I'm sorry. Is that too length of a time,
9	or do you w	ant me to compress it?
10		BZA CHAIR HILL: I mean, if we can get, I mean I
11	don't know w	when. I'd rather get to a decision sooner rather
12	than later,	because I want to be able to have this fresh in
13	my brain.	
14		MR. MOY: Okay, all right.
15		BZA CHAIR HILL: So, I mean, let's work backwards.
16		How fast, Mr. Tummonds, can you get us what we
17	need?	
18		MR. TUMMONDS: We can have it for you in a week.
19		BZA CHAIR HILL: In a week. So, that means the
20	16th. So,	then the 16th.
21		
		So if you're the 16th, then you, Mr. Donohue, you
22		So if you're the 16th, then you, Mr. Donohue, you your info also by the 16th?
22 23	can give us	
	can give us	your info also by the 16th?

1	BZA CHAIR HILL: Yes. And, then you guys will all
2	have an opportunity, as will the ANC, to look at that stuff
3	and give us whatever responses you have to that, Mr. Moy, by
4	when?
5	MR. MOY: Let's say a week, March 23rd.
6	BZA CHAIR HILL: 23rd. And, then we'll come to a
7	decision on the 30th?
8	MR. MOY: Yes.
9	BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay, does that work for the
10	Board?
11	(No audible response.)
12	BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay. Okay?
13	MR. MOY: That's it.
14	BZA CHAIR HILL: I guess that's it. It sounds like
15	its it.
16	This actually, I think this goes down as a record.
17	This is the longest one hearing I think we've ever had. I
18	think it really is.
19	All right, so everybody, thank you so much for all
20	of your time, and is there any questions from anyone before
21	we go?
22	MR. DONOHUE: Mr. Chairman, I have a question.
23	BZA CHAIR HILL: Sure.
24	MR. DONOHUE: Hours ago, it was suggested that the

1	this legal issue. Is that part of the applicant's, Maret
2	team's submission?
3	BZA CHAIR HILL: I think there was an email, is
4	that correct, Mr. Tummonds?
5	MALE: That's correct.
6	MR. DONOHUE: Well, we havent't seen it, but that
7	was the suggestion.
8	MALE: Yes.
9	BZA CHAIR HILL: I understand. Can you submit that
10	into the record, Mr. Tummonds?
11	MR. TUMMONDS: Yes, I can submit that in the record
12	tomorrow.
13	BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay, well you can do it at the
14	same time as everything else so at least we know, you know.
15	MR. TUMMONDS: Sure.
16	BZA CHAIR HILL: It's there, right.
17	MR. TUMMONDS: Yes.
18	BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay, any other questions?
19	MR. DONOHUE: Not from me.
20	BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay.
21	All right, well thank you all again very much.
22	And, Mr. Sherman, thank you for your time. I think you guys
23	did a great job today. And, it was a long day.
24	MR. SHERMAN: Well, it's again, our pleasure and
25	we want to thank you for allowing us the opportunity.

1	BZA CHAIR HILL: Quite welcome, Mr. Sherman.
2	All right, y'all have a nice evening. Bye bye.
3	I'm closing the hearing on the record except for
4	what we asked for. And, then I'm going to talk to my fellow
5	board members and Mr. Moy.
6	Oh my gosh, is Ms. John still here?
7	(No audible response.)
8	BZA CHAIR HILL: There is no way she's still here.
9	Oh my.
10	VICE CHAIRPERSON JOHN: Good afternoon, Mr.
11	Chairman. How are you?
12	BZA CHAIR HILL: Wow, look at that. Ms. John, wow.
13	VICE CHAIRPERSON JOHN: I feel so refreshed.
14	BZA CHAIR HILL: Oh my gosh. You could have like,
15	driven somewhere and like, who knows.
16	VICE CHAIRPERSON JOHN: I could have gone to a play
17	in New York, and come back and had dinner.
18	BZA CHAIR HILL: You really could have. You could
19	have been to the Kennedy Center, saw a musical.
20	VICE CHAIRPERSON JOHN: Could have done anything.
21	BZA CHAIR HILL: Wow. All right, so, and I feel
22	bad for the other people that are waiting around, too, but
23	I couldn't do anything about it. I tried my best.
24	So, Mr. Moy, we got 20538. I think we're just
25	going to have to postpone. I mean, we're postponing both of

1	them.
2	But do you, have you talked to anybody yet? Or
3	do you have anything? Or you want to call me, or what do you
4	want to do?
5	MR. MOY: Yes, I have spoken during the course of
6	the Maret School hearing, Mr. Chairman.
7	The party opposition to 20502, as well as
8	(Simultaneous speaking.)
9	BZA CHAIR HILL: 20502, 205 what?
10	MR. MOY: 20505 of Michael Farquhar.
11	BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay, got you. You said 20502 or
12	something.
13	MR. MOY: Yes, my mistake. It's been long for me,
14	too, I guess.
15	BZA CHAIR HILL: Yes.
16	MR. MOY: And, as well as the applicant, the
17	attorney representing the applicant, to case 20538, of TG
18	Management.
19	And, in both of these instances, it was to give
20	them a status of where the Board was in relation to these two
21	cases.
22	And, so, yes, and the possibility that this may
23	be continued to a future date.
24	So, they're very aware of that, and certainly the
25	attorney for the applicant on TG Management, had to get back,

1	was going to update her client.
2	So, obviously I don't have, I don't have that
3	information.
4	BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay.
5	So, the problem is, there was all these parties
6	in oppositions. There was a lot of people involved in both
7	cases.
8	And, I think that, oh right. We needed, there was
9	something I think, and now Mr. Moy, maybe you can help me.
10	And, I don't know whether you want to call these
11	things, and we'll have people and figure out what works for
12	everybody. Or, if anybody's around. I don't even know if
13	anybody's around anymore.
14	But
15	(Simultaneous speaking.)
16	MR. MOY: Mr. Chairman, I believe they may be in
17	the waiting room if you want to call them in. I did
18	(Simultaneous speaking.)
19	BZA CHAIR HILL: Now I'm curious. Mr. Smith, Mr.
20	Smith, you're leaving us?
21	MEMBER SMITH: I'm leaving you, so.
22	BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay, we'll let you know what
23	happens.
24	MEMBER SMITH: All right. Take care, everyone.
25	BZA CHAIR HILL: Thank you, bye bye.

1	MR. MOY: My staff has alerted me that David Brown,
2	would like to discuss and have input, on a reschedule date.
3	And, I'm hoping that both Cynthia Giordano and Andrea
4	Ferster, is also on the line.
5	BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay.
6	MR. MOY: And, perhaps Meredith Moldenhauer, as
7	well.
8	BZA CHAIR HILL: Right. Well, I just need to speak
9	to the attorneys, I guess.
10	MR. MOY: Well, these are all attorneys.
11	BZA CHAIR HILL: Right.
12	MR. MOY: These are all the attorneys.
13	BZA CHAIR HILL: I got you. I didn't know whether
14	the Deanwood Citizens Association, or the ANC is still here
15	for, I mean that's fine.
16	You can go ahead and call 20538 and see what we
17	got; see who we get.
18	MR. MOY: Okay, well then let me just call the case
19	then, Mr. Young.
20	BZA CHAIR HILL: Yes.
21	MR. MOY: So, this would be case application No.
22	20538, of TG Management, LLC.
23	This is caption advertised for a special exception
24	relief under Subtitle U, Section 203.1(e), which is pursuant
25	to Subtitle X, Section 901.2. This would allow a

1	community-based institutional facility.
2	And, I'll just say that the property is located
3	at 1614 Olive Street Northeast, Square 5167, Lot 7.
4	BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay.
5	MR. MOY: So, Mr. Young, this should be Cynthia
6	Giordano, representing the applicant.
7	MR. YOUNG: I don't see her, and I don't see David
8	Brown.
9	BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay, that's fine.
10	I mean, the only thing that I was going to
11	mention, Mr. Moy. Ms. Bajaj, or Ms. Nagelhout, if anybody
12	tells me differently, I believe that this is in my authority
13	to try to reschedule things. And, to let people know what
14	the Board needs.
15	I know that we needed something, I thought, that
16	made Ms. Giordano, and I now see her there, something that
17	authorized her as the attorney, I thought. And, I didn't
18	know whether we'd got that or not.
19	But if Ms. Giordano actually is there, and she can
20	hear me, or not, and it's also fine either way because it's
21	been a little disjointed today.
22	MS. GIORDANO: Hello? Hi, this is Cynthia Giordano
23	from Saul Ewing Law Firm, representing the applicant.
24	BZA CHAIR HILL: Hi, Ms. Giordano. I don't know
25	whether there's no one else here, I think, at this point,

1	so I don't know whether we're actually going to need you at
2	this point.
3	You can go, I don't want to whatever the word is,
4	the legal word, I can't think of it right now. I don't have
5	the other people with us.
6	So, the only thing you can kind of, I just need
7	something in the record that says that you're the attorney.
8	I don't think that's in there yet.
9	MS. GIORDANO: We provided, I provided it.
10	BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay, maybe it was late because
11	I don't see it.
12	MS. GIORDANO: It was late. It was late.
13	BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay.
14	MS. GIORDANO: I apologize for that. I actually
15	had been contacted by the non-profit groups to do this on a
16	pro bono basis, and I, not the actual property owners. So,
17	I had kind of overlooked that.
18	BZA CHAIR HILL: Ms. Giordano, since I don't have
19	anybody else with me, I don't want to talk a lot about this
20	case.
21	MS. GIORDANO: Okay, I'm sorry.
22	BZA CHAIR HILL: So, I'm just going to, I'm going
23	to excuse you, okay?
24	MS. GIORDANO: Okay.
25	BZA CHAIR HILL: Thank you.

1	So Mr. Moy, if you can just reach out to everyone
2	and let them know that we asked Ms. Giordano for the, well
3	I guess actually, we're just letting everything into the
4	record.
5	I think that, you know, that she is the attorney
6	for the applicant.
7	MR. MOY: Yes.
8	BZA CHAIR HILL: And, in terms of rescheduling, can
9	I get back to you or, I know that we want to usually do it
10	now. But what do you want me to do?
11	MR. MOY: Well, I can suggest two dates for you,
12	Mr. Chairman.
13	BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay.
14	MR. MOY: One is sooner, one is later.
15	BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay.
16	MR. MOY: The sooner one would be April 27th, where
17	we have eight cases, so this will be the ninth case.
18	Or we could push this to May 18th, where there are
19	five cases, so this would be the sixth case.
20	BZA CHAIR HILL: Right.
21	MR. MOY: Those are the two dates I would
22	recommend.
23	BZA CHAIR HILL: So, that's the same thing as the,
24	then 20505?
25	MR. MOY: Yes, I would do that as well.

1	BZA CHAIR HILL: So then we'd have two potential
2	long cases on the 27th?
3	(No audible response.)
4	BZA CHAIR HILL: So this is my question to you,
5	Mr. Moy, and we're doing this in the public.
6	I'm actually thinking perhaps, if the Board would
7	be willing to do another day, that we would actually put this
8	on a, we'd put these two cases on a special day.
9	And, we'd go ahead and have these two cases on a
10	day, as opposed to loading them up onto additional days and
11	sticking them out two months from now.
12	I get a thumbs up from Mr. Blake, and I can't read
13	Ms. John's face.
14	Ms. John, what do you think?
15	VICE CHAIRPERSON JOHN: It depends on the day.
16	What day are we talking about?
17	BZA CHAIR HILL: I like your questions. That's a
18	very good question. I don't really have a suggested day.
19	Do you, Mr. Moy?
20	MR. MOY: I'm looking now to see if we have a
21	Wednesday where there was no scheduled hearing. We do, but
22	that doesn't appear until way too late, because I believe
23	it's in June or July.
24	BZA CHAIR HILL: So, my question to you Mr. Moy,
25	goes back to the first one which is can I talk to you later

1	and we can figure out how to do this?
2	MR. MOY: Okay. I'm usually advised not to do that
3	but we can do that, and then I'll put a memo into the record,
4	as well as contacting all the parties.
5	BZA CHAIR HILL: Yes, this is a unique situation.
6	And, the Board is trying to accommodate the public by taking
7	another day, and volunteer our time because this is a
8	volunteer situation, basically. And, we're going to pick a
9	day, okay.
10	So, Chairman Hood, are you going to join us then
11	on this extra day?
12	ZC CHAIR HOOD: I was going to suggest, yes, I can
13	join that day since I'm the commissioner here, but I was
14	going to suggest that it not be a Monday or a Thursday.
15	So, that leaves you one day because the
16	Commission, we meet. And, even if y'all are in the middle
17	of a meeting at 4:00 o'clock, we probably going to have to
18	trump you. No, I'm just playing.
19	BZA CHAIR HILL: That's fine. So, a Tuesday works
20	for you, Chairman Hood, is that correct?
21	ZC CHAIR HOOD: It will work for any member of the
22	Zoning Commission, but since I'm on these two or I'm here,
23	I will do those special day. That special day.
24	BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay, a Tuesday would work best
25	for me of one of the days that we pick. Is that okay with

1	you? I'm not giving one right now, but is that okay with
2	you, Mr. Blake?
3	ZC CHAIR HOOD: That will work, oh, I'm sorry.
4	BZA CHAIR HILL: Oh, sorry. And, okay, I got a
5	thumbs up, and Vice Chair John?
6	VICE CHAIRPERSON JOHN: Yes.
7	BZA CHAIR HILL: I got a thumbs up, okay.
8	So Mr. Moy, we'll come up with a Tuesday to hear
9	these two cases sooner rather than later, okay?
10	MR. MOY: All right, sounds good.
11	BZA CHAIR HILL: Now, there was some questions
12	however. I think we do have people for 20505, I think, Mr.
13	Young. If you have Ms. Moldenhauer and Ms. Ferster?
14	MR. YOUNG: Yes, she's calling in by phone.
15	BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay, who? Both of them?
16	MR. YOUNG: Ms. Ferster is.
17	BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay.
18	(Pause.)
19	BZA CHAIR HILL: Mr. Moy, do you need to call the
20	case?
21	MR. MOY: (No audible response.)
22	BZA CHAIR HILL: Yes, go ahead and call the case.
23	I got a nod from Vice Chair John. Go ahead and call.
24	MR. MOY: Yes, there would be no harm in me doing
25	that. So, this would be okay, just wanted to be sure I had

the right case. 1 20505, of Michael Farguhar. This is as amended 2 3 for special exception from the matter-of-right accessory uses, of Subtitle U, Section 250, pursuant to Subtitle U, 5 Section 253.4, and Subtitle X, Section 901.2, to construct a detached two-story accessory apartment, in the rear of an 6 7 attached two-story principal dwelling unit, R-20 zone. 8 Property located at 1963 39th Street Northwest, 9 Square 1310, Lot 808. 10 BZA CHAIR HILL: Ms. Ferster, are you there? 11 MS. FERSTER: I am here. Can you hear me? 12 BZA CHAIR HILL: Oh, great. Yes, I can hear you. 13 Could you introduce yourself for the record, please? 14 15 MS. FERSTER: Yes, my name is Andrea Ferster, I'm the attorney for Richard Hall, who is a party in opposition. 16 17 BZA CHAIR HILL: Thank you. 18 Ms. Moldenhauer, could you introduce yourself for the record, please? 19 MS. MOLDENHAUER: Good evening, Chair Hill, members 2.0 2.1 Board, Meredith Moldenhauer on behalf 22 applicant, from the Law Firm of Cozen O'Connor. 2.3 BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay, so I'm sure some of you have 24 been listening. We're going to try to accommodate you guys And, you all can let me know if you have a on a Tuesday.

Tuesday preference, I suppose. So, you all can think about 1 that for a minute. 2 But what, I know there was some issues that the 3 4 Board was going to have questions about anyway, and so I 5 wanted to throw those out a little to you, Ms. Moldenhauer, to add to the record. 6 7 One of it was like, you know, I know there's been this issue or discussion, about the utilities and how they 8 9 would be provided to that accessory apartment. 10 So, if you could kind of provide some discussion Also, the ground floor, we're going to want to 11 about that. 12 know how that ground floor is going to be used. I know, I'm just pointing that out if you want to supplement the record 13 14 in any way. Yes, I guess that's all I kind of have. 15 know if my Board, my fellow Board members have anything else 16 17 that they wanted to ask of the applicant. 18 VICE CHAIRPERSON JOHN: I would be interested in hearing from both parties on the question of the covenant. 19 20 And, how that affects ownership of the property. 2.1 In other words, what is the Board's authority to 22 hear the case where there is a cloud on the ownership, or an 2.3 alleged cloud on the ownership. And, just one way or the 24 other, how does that affect the Board's jurisdiction?

BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay, and also Ms. Muldenhauer,

1	like, I was interested in the covenant as well. Like, I just
2	want to know what that whole thing is about.
3	And, so you were going to get asked a lot of
4	questions about that anyway. So, if you want to give us
5	anything that, you know, you want to, you're welcome to.
6	Okay, anything else from my fellow Board members,
7	that is?
8	VICE CHAIR JOHN: No.
9	BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay, and I'm going to give both
10	parties an opportunity just to ask any questions.
11	Give me one second.
12	(Pause.)
13	BZA CHAIR HILL: I don't know why I have a note
14	here. The deed restriction, whether it precludes the
15	applicant from building the accessory structure. I wrote
16	that down somewhere but, okay, anyway, I don't need anything.
17	That's all I need, Ms. Muldenhauer.
18	Those two items, I guess.
19	VICE CHAIRPERSON JOHN: I think that's the same
20	question. Sorry to interrupt you. I think that's sort of
21	the same question I was asking.
22	BZA CHAIR HILL: But it's with the covenant.
23	VICE CHAIRPERSON JOHN: Yes, uh huh.
24	BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay. I thought it was the
25	leasement was, it's been such a long day now

1	VICE CHAIRPERSON JOHN: Oh, the covenant that runs
2	the land.
3	BZA CHAIR HILL: I know it's the covenant right,
4	(inaudible)
5	VICE CHAIRPERSON JOHN: It says nothing can be
6	built on that location.
7	BZA CHAIR HILL: I know. I mean, I can
8	(Simultaneous speaking.)
9	VICE CHAIRPERSON JOHN: Yes.
10	BZA CHAIR HILL: I can see the cul-de-sac
11	diagram in my head and (inaudible)
12	VICE CHAIRPERSON JOHN: Well, I've been resting all
13	day, Chairman.
14	BZA CHAIR HILL: And, I got the Parks Service in
15	my brain right now, and I'm like.
16	Ms. Ferster, do you have anything you'd like to
17	add at this late time in the day?
18	MS. FERSTER: No, we don't have anything. We have,
19	we'd like to have a little input in the scheduling only
20	because I was able to poll my clients when I thought that the
21	scheduling would occur on a Wednesday. And, I haven't been
22	able to poll them on a Tuesday, or any other day.
23	BZA CHAIR HILL: Got it. Well, I mean that's
24	great. If you want to, we can kind of, we're trying to work
25	together.

1	I mean, the Wednesday that was apparently
2	available was April 27, and that's not what either one of you
3	want, correct?
4	MS. FERSTER: My client
5	BZA CHAIR HILL: Well, Ms. Moldenhauer, it's not
6	what you want, right?
7	MS. MOLDENHAUER: No. We, the case has already
8	been postponed six months. We would be very appreciative of
9	the Board
10	BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay, all right, so we're trying
11	to figure it out. We're trying to figure it out.
12	Ms. Moldenhauer, do you have anything that you
13	want to add?
14	MS. MOLDENHAUER: I just have two quick questions.
15	I know you guys are exhausted.
16	On slide 19, we did address the utilities, of our
17	PowerPoint. I wasn't sure if that was sufficient, or if you
18	wanted something more than what was said in slide 19.
19	BZA CHAIR HILL: I don't know.
20	MS. MOLDENHAUER: Okay.
21	BZA CHAIR HILL: I haven't gotten to slide 19 yet,
22	to be quite honest. So, if you think slide 19 does what we
23	need, then.
24	MS. MOLDENHAUER: Well, we'll determine whether we
25	supplement it with additional information, more than what was

1	on slide 19.
2	BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay.
3	MS. MOLDENHAUER: And, then I guess the question
4	that you're asking about the alleged language of the
5	subdivision plat, are you asking us to address which has been
6	referred to as the covenant.
7	Are you asking us to address whether the Board has
8	authority to review that, or if that's an issue for the D.C.
9	Superior Court? Or are you asking us to actually address the
10	issue on its merits?
11	VICE CHAIRPERSON JOHN: If you could address the
12	issue on its merits. Because I don't know what the issue is.
13	One side is saying one thing, the other one is saying the
14	other.
15	So, if you'd like to address it, it's up to you.
16	It would be good for the Board to understand what the issue
17	is. I couldn't even read the covenant because it's so
18	blurred.
19	MS. MOLDENHAUER: There's no specific covenant
20	recorded. There's a deed that, and then there's the survey
21	plat.
22	But we will supplement a written statement,
23	address that for Board Member John, and Chairman Hill.
24	VICE CHAIRPERSON JOHN: Okay.

MS. MOLDENHAUER: And, you asked us, Chairman Hill,

1	what dates. The 22nd would work for us. That's a Tuesday.
2	BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay, well give me a second
3	because now we need information. So, how long before you can
4	get the information to us?
5	MS. MOLDENHAUER: We'll file that by let's say the
6	15th. That would give seven days prior to the 22nd.
7	MS. FERSTER: Mr. Chairman, this is Andrea Ferster
8	calling. March 22nd is the one day I am not available. I
9	have a long scheduled vacation. I will be in an airplane on
10	that day, returning from it.
11	BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay, I got my thumbs up, Ms.
12	Ferster. Everybody deserves a day off.
13	Does the 29th work for everybody, kind of?
14	Okay, I got a thumbs up from Ms. Moldenhauer. Ms.
15	Ferster, you got the 29th okay?
16	MS. FERSTER: The 29th works for me. I will ask
17	my clients, but I hope it will.
18	BZA CHAIR HILL: Yay, no, I got you.
19	Does the 29th kind of work for us, you guys, Mr.
20	Chairman Hood? I got a thumbs up Chairman Hood, I got a
21	thumbs up Mr. Blake. I got a Vice Chair John thinking about
22	it.
23	(Pause.)
24	BZA CHAIR HILL: You still thinking about it Vice
25	Chair John?

1		VICE CHAIRPERSON JOHN: Oh, I'm fine. I didn't
2	realize you	u were looking at me.
3		BZA CHAIR HILL: I was waiting on you. All right.
4		VICE CHAIRPERSON JOHN: Oh, no, I'm fine, thank
5	you.	
6		BZA CHAIR HILL: That's okay.
7		Then let's come back on the 29th, or try, Ms.
8	Ferster.	
9		And, then Mr. Moy, if you can try to reach out to
10	Ms. Giordar	no, and then see if that works for them also on the
11	29th.	
12		And, we'll do, wow, we're going to do the 29th and
13	the 30th.	We're going to go back-to-back. Wow. Oh, no.
14		Oh, Ms. John, you can chuckle
15		(Simultaneous speaking.)
16		MEMBER BLAKE: We're like HPRB.
17		BZA CHAIR HILL: HPRB?
18		MEMBER BLAKE: Yes, they do that. They do, you
19	know, they	do two days, and stuff like that.
20		BZA CHAIR HILL: Yes, but not like we do. HPRB,
21	they got li	ike, they're like a bunch of you know, phew. They
22	got nothing	g going on at HPRB.
23		So, Ms. John though, maybe you can run one day;
24	I'll run o	ne day.
25		VICE CHAIRPERSON JOHN: Mr. Chairman, I'm not the

1	one that's setting back-to-back hearings. I think if you
2	break it, you fix it.
3	BZA CHAIR HILL: You break it, you broke. You
4	broke it, you buy it.
5	Oh man, it's getting late. I got to stop. My
6	wife's going to be like you are talking way too much.
7	All right, okay, so we kind of know what we're
8	doing.
9	Ms. Ferster, thanks for sticking around. Ms.
10	Moldenhauer, thanks for sticking around. We'll get all the
11	information from Ms. Moldenhauer on the, when did she say,
12	Ms. Moldenhauer?
13	VICE CHAIRPERSON JOHN: 29th, oh, sorry.
14	MR. MOY: 15, March 15th, still.
15	BZA CHAIR HILL: March 15th. Well, actually still
16	do it on
17	(Simultaneous speaking.)
18	MS. MOLDENHAUER: We can do it by maybe the 16th
19	now if we're not, if we're.
20	BZA CHAIR HILL: Right.
21	MS. MOLDENHAUER: Is that okay?
22	BZA CHAIR HILL: Do the 16th, do the 16th. Then
23	that will give a week for Ms. Ferster to add anything about
24	that by the 23rd. Ms. Ferster, do you hear me?
25	MS. FERSTER: Yes.

1	BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay, and then we'll see you guys
2	on the 29th at 9:30, 9:30.
3	MS. MOLDENHAUER: Thank you for your time.
4	BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay, thank you and you're very
5	welcome.
6	MS. MOLDENHAUER: And, your service today.
7	MS. FERSTER: Thank you.
8	MS. MOLDENHAUER: And, your long service.
9	(Simultaneous speaking.)
10	BZA CHAIR HILL: Yes, thanks. We really appreciate
11	the service. Thanks for thanking us. Thanks, thanks.
12	Okay, bye.
13	VICE CHAIRPERSON JOHN: Bye. Bye, Chairman.
14	BZA CHAIR HILL: No, no, I'm not saying bye. I'm
15	talking to you people now for a second.
16	VICE CHAIRPERSON JOHN: Oh, okay.
17	BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay, so do we need to do
18	anything? You guys, Mr. Blake, I just lost him, no? Do we
19	need anything?
20	No, do we need anything? Do you all need
21	anything? Mr. Blake's tie has stayed on the whole time. I'm
22	pretty impressed. Wow, I'm impressed.
23	All right, okay, everybody have a nice evening.
24	VICE CHAIRPERSON JOHN: All right.
25	BZA CHAIR HILL: Mr. Moy, can we adjourn?

	363
1	(No audible response.)
2	BZA CHAIR HILL: Okay, bye bye.
3	Adjourned.
4	(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter went off the
5	record at 7:35 p.m.)
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
24	
25	

<u>C E R T I F I C A T E</u>

This is to certify that the foregoing transcript

In the matter of: Public Hearing

Before: DC BZA

Date: 03-09-22

Place: teleconference

was duly recorded and accurately transcribed under my direction; further, that said transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

Court Reporter

near aus 9