

17:04:06 From ANC 3G Chevy Chase to Everyone:

Miracle Ware Bacon Tray

17:24:10 From Andrea Rosen to Everyone:

Agree with Peter about not self-editing. Will engender distrust.

17:31:23 From Andrea Rosen to Everyone:

It's all very well to be heard and to have the sense one has participated. The city engages some part of the community that way all the time. But where the city draws the line is in allowing the community to guide results. Will the ANC break the mold?

17:40:57 From Cal - to Everyone:

"community members are heard"

17:41:20 From Cal - to Everyone:

or "a multiplicity of community members are heard"

17:43:06 From Andrea Rosen to Everyone:

Perhaps you need to set as a goal or objective exactly what role the survey will play; or what effect the survey will have, and on whom/what.

17:44:16 From Andrea Rosen to Everyone:

Sorry, I meant set as a goal or objective defining what role the survey will play/what effect the survey will have, and on what/whom.

17:44:40 From Cal - to Everyone:

I think "as many...as possible" can get us in trouble down the road.

17:44:47 From Andrea Rosen to Everyone:

If no effect, why have a survey?

17:48:46 From Andrea Rosen to Everyone:

Someone pointed out last night that OP is using the SAP as a weapon, an observation I share. That is, where the SAP coincides with DMPED's goals, the SAP is cited. Where it does not — e.g., the community has prioritized green and open space — OP says, you gotta give up something! So I am wondering how the ANC will use / ignore survey results.

17:54:11 From Susan Gigli to Everyone:

The survey intro needs to include the purpose of the survey, who is sponsoring it, why opinions matter, how the results will be used, and assurance of confidentiality. It could also include how long it will take to complete it.

17:54:52 From ANC 3G Chevy Chase to Everyone:

Reacted to "The survey intro nee..." with 👍