# Q24 Finally, is there an issue you feel is important that we did not ask about, or do you have a comment you wish to make? (100 character limit)

Answered: 150 Skipped: 187

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	I find the new proposed renovation entirely inconsistent with the character of this historic neighborhood. It will ruin the neighborhood for the vast majority of the residents currently living there. If the ANC commissioner is to truly represent the interests of the community he represents he would vigorously oppose anything beyond a renovation of the existing facilities.	11/12/2023 5:06 PM
2	Use money for far more known purposes	11/12/2023 4:53 PM
3	I support affordable housing along the Connecticut Avenue corridor, BUT, not above the library and community center. There seem to be many risks regarding the mixing of public and private buildings, especially the possibility of water and flooding damage to the public buildings from apartments above. Additionally, I do not support selling public DC land to private developers.	11/12/2023 4:20 PM
4	The library should be no smaller than the current library, and its ceiling heights should be no lower. Airy spaciousness is vital.	11/12/2023 2:10 PM
5	Would be useful to have more info on alternatives for housing, such as currently empty commercial space.	11/12/2023 12:46 PM
6	If the city goes ahead with adding housing to the site, creating infrastructure to support it has to come FIRST (transportation, schools, etc.)	11/12/2023 12:08 PM
7	Muriel needs to stop rolling over and selling out to her developer buddies that she then demands that they pay her campaign funds and other "favors" that she and Beverly Perry are always fleecing developers for. Have 1st hand knowledge of her antics.	11/12/2023 10:54 AM
8	I dont feel comfortable with the wording of some of the questions and options. For example I would support affordable housing IF the height was no more than 4 stories and you PRESERVED 1-the existing basketball/tennis/pickleball court, 2- the reflection space and Chevy Chase Commons area, 3- the children's playground. These kinds of options are not displayed in the choices.	11/11/2023 7:10 PM
9	Expand critically needed out of school activities for DC kids. eg DPR gymnastics program sells out within the first hour registration opens. we need more programming for our kids. We need to create safe spaces for teenstigather and get the support services they need.	11/11/2023 11:23 AM
10	The vision of the community center and library should not be limited. The current usage of the site and outdoor space should not be diminished. If housing comes, it should all be affordable (affordable at various rates) and not an overbearing feature of the entire site, nor should it take away from the utility of the community center and library. All efforts to acquire and use the Wells Fargo site for housing should be exhausted before placing housing at the community center and library.	11/11/2023 9:32 AM
11	Please consider adding a community garden with plots to rent as this could help bring community members together.	11/8/2023 10:21 AM
12	The citizens of this area do not support development! I have resided in this community for 75 years, the first 25 on Northampton St, the 5th house down from the basketball court. My parents continued to live there for another 20 years after that. Over the years my parents were involved in numerous discussions and votes about the development of business on the east side of Connectut Avenue. We were promised there would be no further development after the Safeway was built. Then came the bank. Then came the whole block of development from Morrison st to Livingston St. When will you leave us alone? This is a quiet neighborhood and we want to keep it that way.	11/8/2023 9:18 AM
13	Any redevelopment should be tastefully done and in keeping with the character of the community. Avoid structures that feel like apartment blocks. Be sure the project serves community interests, including for affordable housing, not financial interests of developers.	11/7/2023 7:27 PM

14	I believe that CM Frumin should represent the preferences of this community rather than continue a I'll conceived plan be supported before election.	11/6/2023 9:06 AM
15	Look to Montgomery County and how they renovated the Wheaton Library & Community Center for a model!!	11/5/2023 11:33 PM
16	for those of us living near the community cneter this woul habe a =singicnatnt negstive impact on our lives and we do not feelk our voices have been adequately heard and there are no people in the redevelopment project who actually live here.	11/5/2023 4:14 PM
17	Insufficient buffer/setback as seen on SE corner Military & Conn Aves	11/5/2023 2:20 PM
18	Small cafe is acceptable, but no other retail on this site	11/5/2023 9:53 AM
19	in regard to question 23, I'm more concerned with any new housing having parking as part of the development. Broadly I'm not opposed to redevelopment, though I would prefer not to add housing, but I want to maintain the library and common green space as a safe and inviting place for children. And I'm concerned that any redevelopment be consistant with the nature of the neighborhood. I would be very upset to see massive towers such as south of Livingston St, with no amenities for the rest of the neighborhood and no green space and play space for children.	11/5/2023 8:15 AM
20	Adding more residents without a good traffic plan and parking plan is foolish. Trade offs need to be made either increase housing density and subsequently improve rush hour traffic plans by returning to changeable direction lanes putting bike lanes in rock creek, or don't increase housing density. it is disaster to increase density and decrease the ability for those people to get to jobs and school.	11/5/2023 7:53 AM
21	I am concerned that ANY housing on the site will make it less hospitable and safe for children. DC laws allow residents to own firearms, possess marijuana, drink alcohol; these will each be introduced to the site.	11/5/2023 3:19 AM
22	Favor non-profit development with strict and enforced standards	11/4/2023 11:15 PM
23	Connecticut Ave must evolve to stay relevant	11/3/2023 11:58 AM
24	I do not believe that we need parking at the community center. There is ample parking on the side streets in the neighborhood.	11/3/2023 6:12 AM
25	I live just a few doors downand am fully supportive of this project. I hope it brings density, energy, and community! Thanks to all involved!	11/2/2023 12:11 PM
26	I feel strongly that there should be ground level retail and that it should be affordable to support for locally owned businesses.	11/2/2023 11:59 AM
27	Why not combine the two centers into one?	11/2/2023 11:49 AM
28	I would want there to be an appropriate set back from Connecticut Ave for any new building in that space to allow sufficient green space and sidewalk space	11/1/2023 8:17 PM
29	Re#27 above, not sure what the other groups would be. Thank you.	11/1/2023 6:52 PM
30	No affordable housing.	11/1/2023 6:04 PM
31	attn to pedestrians/ disabled safety v. bike lanes, a shuttle Van Ness Metro-Chevy Chase Circle	11/1/2023 3:52 PM
32	Redevelopment should NOT only serve the interests of landowners in this district. We must do what is in best interest of current and potential future residents. Do not let NIMBYs' opinions outweigh the needs of our neighborsgovernment must serve us all, not the noisy few who already hold most of the power.	11/1/2023 11:53 AM
33	I am against surplusing even the air rights because we would lose control to a developer	10/31/2023 3:48 PM
34	More information on public safety would be helpful. Underground parking might need staffing. There's no enforcement of current surface lot & it gets quite hectic.	10/31/2023 3:47 PM
35	To expand on the reference to transportation earlier in the survey, it makes little sense that the proposal is to bootstrap housing onto this site at the expense of the existing community	10/31/2023 2:14 PM

	amenities when we are not near a Metro. Better to focus these resources/efforts on the Friendship Heights area to avail of the multi-modal transportation options available there.	
36	Forcin g Low Income housing on CC while eradicating already limited public amenities is wrongheaded and inherently racist. Shame on the Mayor's Office and all that support this thinly veiled developer boundoggle	10/31/2023 1:59 PM
37	It's important not to let developers make lots of money off public land by installing limited "affordable" housing and developing additional premium price housing on the site. If any housing comes in, it all needs to be high quality construction. Care must be paid to strain on existing utility, parking and transporation resources.	10/31/2023 1:46 PM
38	The question is not affordable housing, yes or no. The issue is how will it be supported? Will there be onsite support to help it work for all? And will the overall redevelopment help create a lively, vital hub for the community that helps it grow and thrive in the future and enhance its feel and services? And will there be sufficient convenient parking so it does not further burden close-by resident parking?	10/31/2023 8:16 AM
39	The exterior of the building should pedestrian friendly especially for stroller access and small children, it should match the character of the neighborhood	10/30/2023 7:32 PM
40	I am tired of waiting for this. Our community center and library are not serving our community. This needs to change.	10/30/2023 6:08 PM
41	Keep it as is	10/30/2023 3:06 PM
42	Do not want my light and view to be blocked	10/30/2023 3:00 PM
43	As the closest next-door neighbor to the site with my son's bedroom overlooking the current parking lot, I am very concerned with maintaining building setback to the neighboring houses to ensure privacy and the current neighborhood atmosphere. I am in favor of ways to increase diverse usage of the site, which can be done without housing.	10/30/2023 2:46 PM
44	I'm not a NIMBY, but I don't think redevelopment - and especially for housing - is a good use for this space. There are likely many more interesting uses for this part of Connecticut Avenue, and I don't think the options in this survey are especially creative. Our neighborhood is full of great ideas, and I don't see them reflected here. Yes for affordable housing and density closer to Metro stations. Makes no sense on this site.	10/30/2023 12:02 PM
45	Renovations to the library and community center I would support. Redevelopment of the site I am against. The proposed plan is a hand-out to developers which the Mayor has done many times in the past. It's public land. Keep it that way.	10/29/2023 4:02 PM
46	Do you want any area to be designated "surplus?"(Give definition & purpose of "surplus.")	10/29/2023 2:28 PM
47	I believe community input has been taken in poor faith and developers are not trustworthy. I am very dissapointed as an active community resident and taxpayer.	10/29/2023 2:06 PM
48	Fewer bike lanes	10/29/2023 11:04 AM
49	The opinions of the DC residents that live in the immediate area of the proposed development should be of paramount importance.	10/29/2023 10:20 AM
50	Do not surplus this land	10/29/2023 8:26 AM
51	NO AFFORDABLE HOUSING HERE. CRIME IS RISING AS IT IS AND OUR SCHOOLS ARE TOO CROWDED. MORE HOUSING IS A TERRIBLE IDEA AND IS GOING TO FURTHER ERODE THE SAFETY OF OUR NEIGHBORHOOD	10/29/2023 7:41 AM
52	No.	10/28/2023 7:36 PM
53	I support affordable housing projects in our neighborhood, just not on this particular site. Buy the Wells Fargo lot and build there. Or buy the Bus Depot, and build there. Thank you.	10/28/2023 6:39 PM
54	Redevelopment is needed, including affordable housing. It is important for these projects to maintain the overall character of the community. Change is hard to embrace for many people, so new development looking like it fits in the community will help support change.	10/28/2023 1:39 PM
55	The years and years of construction, the traffic that this will all cause on an already traffic prone area. Crime this could bring	10/28/2023 1:06 PM

56	Will community input affect the outcome of the proposed makeover of this important community amenity?	10/28/2023 12:03 PM
57	I would like to see more toys and activities for children under 5. Make the space for people and less quiet and stodgy.	10/28/2023 11:59 AM
58	Per above, we want underground parking for those residents in that building and whatever foot traffic the community center and library might generate. We DO NOT want more street parking	10/28/2023 11:23 AM
59	We support maximum underground parking, especially for new residents in the building and for increasing our available commercial parking. We would not use it because we live within walking distance.	10/28/2023 11:22 AM
60	Do not give away public land located in such a way that it is the "center" of a neighborhood. We are so lucky to have this space. Make it better! It is underused and poorly designed as it is. The Conn. Ave side of the park and facilities is not inviting and an eyesore. Do not give it to a developer with generally bad designers, high profit margins. Look at the new development at the old Perry's Lumber Yard site on Conn. Ave, just south of the beltway in MD. The design is terrible that we all have to live with forever. DC's process of choosing great architects for the new libraries built most recently was a major coup to get such a prestigious buildings. Many of those libraries have won design competitions. It makes me proud to be a resident in this city. I am an architect & know what good design can inspire, create pride for their community, enjoyment, and a felling of well being. If you continue this bad idea of developing the public site, scale it down, leave room for a park and HAVE AN ARCHITECTURAL COMPETITION for the design. Affordable housing is very important to me, just not on this center-of-town site. Please, just create a better community center with a Library in it and a fun park for all.	10/28/2023 9:15 AM
61	Careful consideration has to be given to neighboring houses, and to the fact that transit connections are poor.	10/27/2023 8:24 PM
62	I support improving the neighborhood with efforts like this. We don't need to stay static; the blocks along Connecticut including this site could be far better.	10/27/2023 4:57 PM
63	Adding to the density of overcrowded local schools is dumb. Adding affordable housing could easily negatively impact property values of those who are already served by the community.	10/27/2023 3:20 PM
64	I am deeply concerned about the potential uptick in crime resulting from low income house. Same for overcrowding of the schools in the area.	10/27/2023 3:00 PM
65	Affordable housing all over the city is critical. I oppose development that only includes a handful of affordable places and the rest at top market value.	10/27/2023 12:53 PM
66	The loss of outdoor space and recreational space to make room for intense development far from metro is deeply misguided and must be stopped by the ANC	10/27/2023 12:12 PM
67	Againwe need affordable housing; non-profit developer in order to have the best chance to preserve the units overtime.its	10/26/2023 12:35 PM
68	Main issue is height. This area is not a mini city/town. Its a village snd I believe most of our residents like it that way. Other problems are residents of sec 8. A number 10 stand around Starbucks or are in Starbucks, which is OK but I have seen people in there coughing up a storm and not having the proper knowledge of taking care of themselves and covering their mouths. It's disgusting people breathe the air that's in there and people who are the bad cops have no business being there coughing and spitting out whatever!	10/25/2023 5:20 PM
69	We should not use any public land for private housing or give the land to a for profit developer. If the city wants to add housing to this space, it should only be for DC workforce people and for low income families. I prefer no housing at all, and keeping the outdoor parking and amenities.	10/25/2023 5:15 PM
70	I support this project. My primary concern is that it not be too huge/high, and it should at least complement the style of the existing architecture (doesn't have to match exactly).	10/25/2023 1:14 PM
71	Question 9 did not offer option to redevelop Library/Community Center without option to renovate. I support only replacement.	10/25/2023 12:46 PM
72	I have loud children, and I really appreciate that there is a large space in the library where I don't feel they are bothering people as much. (We're working on library etiquette, I swear!) please keep that in mind when redeveloping the library.	10/25/2023 9:18 AM

73	As a direct neighbor to the library, I feel that the lack of transparency throughout the process was incredibly concerning. The scale of the project is not in line with the surrounding neighborhood and a far cry from what was proposed in the small area plan. Because of that, I have very little confidence that the neighborhood's opinions will be taken into consideration which is very concerning.	10/25/2023 8:36 AM
74	Chevy Chase DC must have a viable community center. Giving away DC real estate to a developer is unfair to all of us who pay DC taxes.	10/24/2023 11:32 PM
75	The experience of small children's use of the site/space and resources for them	10/24/2023 9:17 PM
76	The parking impact on the surrounding streets make a garage important to a new facility designed to attract people to the site.	10/24/2023 10:47 AM
77	Re: the housing question: I support keeping public land in public hands. We believe that Ward 3 and Chevy Chase Specifically needs social housing as outlined by Janeese Lewis George. Further we believe that the best location for social housing is at the Wells Fargo Bank across the street and not on the plot of land that currently houses the library and community center and it outdoor green spaces that must be protected. There is every reason to renovate the library and community center modestly and affordably, as they are, and possibly "grow up", by adding a third floor only for additional space. What's not necessary is to demolish these important buildings and throw them into a landfill. Further contributing to unchecked waste that fuels climate change. Further the important community programs like ballet and fencing and the outdoor spaces and children that use cccc and library as a safe after school haven will need as little Disruption as possibe.	10/24/2023 9:51 AM
78	Thanks for survey. Education more important than housing.	10/23/2023 9:36 PM
79	I support housing on the site, but only if it is 100% affordable. No market rate.	10/23/2023 7:05 PM
80	GOOD SURVEY	10/23/2023 6:45 PM
81	Without providing the services that affordable housing tenants require, I believe the City's proposals are disingenuous, harmful to the population the City is cliaming to help and detrimental to current residents. There is abuendant space int he District to build affordable housing; there is no need to destroy an existing neighborhood with too much density, traffic, and inadequate support for infrastructure, etcl	10/23/2023 2:05 PM
82	Providing exceptional education for all students should be the GOAL of DC.	10/23/2023 1:56 PM
83	I also support mixed income housing for both lower income seniors and families as well as market rate tenants.	10/23/2023 1:36 PM
84	The current surface parking is already underutilized, the ANC should not demand additional parking as it is not needed and would increase the total number of cars driving in our neighborhood.	10/23/2023 12:07 PM
85	We DO NOT want buildings over 2-3 stories tall. That would totally change the community in a very negative way.	10/23/2023 10:40 AM
86	To my knowledge there have been no studies on impacts to schools, infrastructure, traffic, parking etc. How is it feasible to move forward without this data?? I'm supportive of portions of the redevelopment but feel the decision-making process by DC has not been clear or logical.	10/22/2023 8:58 PM
87	I do not support any housing with the community center or the library.	10/22/2023 8:27 PM
88	Affordable housing and access to public transportation are my priorities before all others.	10/22/2023 8:17 PM
89	The City has a tight budget, and should spend accordingly.	10/22/2023 6:10 PM
90	The hand wringing and ink spilled on the "issue" of redevelopment is venturing into the absurd	10/22/2023 6:07 PM
91	Why is the city not taking over existing apartment buildings further down CT Avenue where scale and density are different? Library/ community center needs a refresh, very outdated, but sticking a tall building there doesn't make sense. Also worth noting that Lafayette ES is way overcapacity. I support affordable housing, also for seniors and teachers, but not thoughtless and unenvironmentally conscious development by private developers.	10/22/2023 4:57 PM
92	I think there is a definite need for affordable housing in this area and hope the redevelopment provides some.	10/22/2023 4:01 PM

93	Landlords charging big bucks to the city for affordable housing units and not responding to	10/22/2023 3:53 PM
95	maintenance and safety concerns of residents and neighbors	10/22/2023 3.53 PM
94	Whether library or comm. ctr. hosts AARP Tax Aide program, its wi-fi must be fully reliable.	10/22/2023 3:47 PM
95	Climate change should be a top concern. We need as much green space in our community as possible for the next several decades and beyond.	10/22/2023 2:17 PM
96	Do not sell our land as surplus. This is a lie. Change the law.	10/22/2023 2:17 PM
97	Pushing so more than 30% of the units are affordable is important to me, especially with the use of public lands.	10/21/2023 6:44 PM
98	I feel the best use of the space would be to have the library and community center opening directly onto Connecticut Ave., with housing behind and/or above it.	10/21/2023 6:09 PM
99	Frumin is supporting Developers using FAKE arguments about race to impose a monstrosity on us.	10/21/2023 5:26 PM
100	I hope greater weight is placed on responses from the immediate community rather than from City-wide groups such as WIN.	10/21/2023 2:39 PM
101	Taking land out of public ownership and handing it to developers is short-signed. Let's see what happens with all the housing about to come online in Friendship Heightsright by the Metro-before taking this drastic step. ADU's are a much better way to add housing in Chevy Chase.	10/21/2023 10:10 AM
102	It's important to emphasize good pedestrian and cycling infrastructure to and from the center over parking space. I would be more supportive of underground parking space to maintain green space, but NOT at the expense of cycling parking and paths, including on Connecticut Ave itself. Public transportation should be emphasized.	10/21/2023 3:24 AM
103	Don't let people who don't want change in this neighborhood manipulate this survey.	10/20/2023 10:51 PM
104	I am worried about school capacity as Lafayette is already overcrowded. I would hope that bus lines would run more frequently with the increased population density. IF housing is built, it should be 100% affordable. Building regular housing on the site completely defeats the purpose as it increases density without helping to address the underlying need this project is trying to address.	10/20/2023 2:22 PM
105	It is critically important to (1) ensure the new developments have a strict height cap, (2) ensure the outdoor space is preserved as is, (3) ensure the outdoor space remains as a buffer between the buildings and neighborhood, and (4) holistically address any collateral effects of the development BEFORE green lighting the project (e.g., school density, traffic patterns, parking, public transit, grocery stores).	10/20/2023 1:37 PM
106	I am definitely opposed to height limits being changed for the other buildings above legation st.	10/19/2023 11:41 AM
107	Will the housing residents have to pay for parking or is it included in the price. The residents nearest the redevelopment will be most affected.	10/19/2023 7:24 AM
108	This survey seems to be attempting to discourage support for development in CC. have community members. Some of these questions I think would be best answered by asking people what their needs are (eg, what configuration of bedrooms)	10/18/2023 10:20 PM
109	Fully support housing and think it's in the best interest of the community and WDC if it was added	10/18/2023 7:57 PM
110	Please include affordable housing	10/18/2023 7:05 PM
111	I want to make it clear that I support renovation/not redevelopment of the Community Center only.	10/18/2023 6:04 PM
112	The neighborhood needs affordable housing.	10/18/2023 5:06 PM
113	make the entire redevelopment "radically accessible" to signal our commitment to making our neighborhood more accessible as we remodel existing buildings and homes	10/18/2023 5:06 PM
114	There is a need for housing of all types and affordability in DC. This site is Not appropriate. Consider transportation (esp those without cars, infrastructure, overcrowded schools, character of area, availability of extensive apartments along Conn Ave etc. The Library/Commty center are the strength — if it becomes dense development — the single neighborhood m/community	10/18/2023 3:34 PM

facility will be lost. What makes this neighborhood so special is the low density. It is a gateway

	to DC. It is a semi-suburban feel with greater urban character as you go south to downtown.  How about building housing with shared gardens etc that people who live in apartments might enjoy. This is the wrong site for housing	
115	I have no confidence in the security of underground parking. There is also no indication if parking would still be free	10/18/2023 2:25 PM
116	Additional housing could severely strain already overcrowded (despite recent expansion) Lafayette Elementary School and tax our antiquated water and sewer infrastructure.	10/18/2023 12:25 PM
117	Please do not use existing community space for private housing purposes. Instead require the under-developed commercial space be used this way as it is sold.	10/18/2023 10:36 AM
118	I don't support housing, but answered questions about housing that might be built. I don't feel any land should be given to private entities, DC should lease instead to preserve some control.	10/17/2023 6:30 PM
119	I think there should be a mix of different size housing types and different income levels	10/17/2023 5:12 PM
120	The entire grounds should be leveled and entrance to Lib/Comm Ctr should be curbside on Conn Ave	10/17/2023 10:58 AM
121	Public land should be maintained for public use and not given to private developers	10/17/2023 9:23 AM
122	why build new housing here4 million ft downtown of office turned residential will be available soon	10/17/2023 8:30 AM
123	There's no public pool easily accessible without a car in this neighborhood. Please consider adding at least an outdoor pool.	10/17/2023 6:28 AM
124	Let housing and underground parking be built on some other space. Improve the existing Safeway. Reroute traffic under Chevy Chase Circle.	10/16/2023 5:36 PM
125	I think this is an outrage. I strongly support affordable housing, and the Mazza Gallery site will offer much. Let's wait and see before selling rare public green space of which there is so little in that area.	10/16/2023 4:00 PM
126	A. No luxury or market-rate housing. B. For Q21, would need to know what sort of "other community groups."	10/16/2023 2:49 PM
127	I support 100 units of housing affordable to 30%-80% of AMI.	10/16/2023 9:43 AM
128	I support taller buildings along commercial strip and letting developers decide on mix of shops, offices, and housing. I support vouchers for lower income people to live where they want.	10/16/2023 8:45 AM
129	The Library and Community Center are actively used by the community. They are NOT SURPLUS buildings. Air-rights above Metro and other solutions would not take away our public green space and well-used public library and community center. Find a location that doesn't take away well-used spaces from the citizen of this city	10/15/2023 11:49 PM
130	I support 100 units of affordable housing with a mixture of units from 30% to 80% AMI and some additional market units	10/15/2023 11:01 PM
131	If possible, the new housing should be condos providing ownership opportunities to residents, allowing them to build wealth and to help break the chain of poverty	10/15/2023 12:15 PM
132	I strongly oppose standard income housing on this site. Low income only.	10/15/2023 9:31 AM
133	We need the outdoor recreation space for both children and adults. It is the only space that is even remotely close to the neighborhood.	10/14/2023 4:24 PM
134	Surplussing is not justified as the site is completely used for multiple public purposes	10/14/2023 2:48 PM
135	I support the redevelopment, including underground parking, but with no loss of green space and public access to it.	10/14/2023 11:34 AM
136	most important to me is NOT LOSING access to the library for an extensive period of time, say more than six months. I fear the various proposals under discussion would deny me access to the library for well more than a year.	10/14/2023 11:23 AM
137	This public space does not need housing, there will be more housing development at Friendship Heights, old Mazda Gallery site, next to transit	10/14/2023 10:25 AM

138	Reiterating my opinion that open space is not as important when there are plenty of recreational opportunities at Pointer Lafayete Park	10/14/2023 9:54 AM
139	Affordable housing should be located closer to metro. For example, the huge empty Lord & Taylor building / parking lot very close to the FH Metro.	10/14/2023 8:42 AM
140	This is a well done survey, thank you!	10/14/2023 8:40 AM
141	Surplussing of the property as proposed by DMPED is inappropriate since the site is fully utilized at present. To surplus this site is an abuse of the law.	10/13/2023 11:07 PM
142	How can you prevent people from taking this survey multiple times?	10/13/2023 5:48 PM
143	Yes - if the city does opt to use a developer and include affordable housing, there should be a stringent :rd party legal review.	10/13/2023 5:39 PM
144	NA	10/13/2023 3:38 PM
145	The two things I feel strongly about are that the redevelopment should include affordable housing for a range of incomes (from very low to workforce) and that surface parking is a horrible waste of public space.	10/13/2023 3:37 PM
146	any upgrades of the library should respect the community views.	10/13/2023 3:23 PM
147	This should remain public space, not turned over to private developers.	10/13/2023 2:50 PM
148	I support common sense development here and am comfortable with compromises to achieve the best use for most, along with wholehearted support for prioritizing affordable housing.	10/13/2023 2:10 PM
149	Thanks for getting this survey put out. I look forward to seeing the results!	10/13/2023 1:58 PM
150	I wouldn't use parking because I live close enough not to need it, but see the value for my neighbors who live farther away. Ultimately, this is the best way to get affordable housing into our neighborhood and it is a generational opportunity to help address the housing crunch, particularly for our first responders and other community workers	10/13/2023 1:49 PM