# Q24 Finally, is there an issue you feel is important that we did not ask about, or do you have a comment you wish to make? (100 character limit)

Answered: 136 Skipped: 158

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	A bike repair area inside would be great.	11/12/2023 6:12 PM
2	Would love to see affordable housing at other sites along our two major neighborhood corridors (Wi & Conn)	11/12/2023 3:11 PM
3	Please avoid use of public space for housing. If additional housing is needed the city should purchase one of the adjacent sites and build it there.	11/12/2023 8:03 AM
4	I feel that that little public space available should be preserved for public use	11/12/2023 7:44 AM
5	Avoid crowding the space with residential buildings	11/12/2023 7:31 AM
6	Our neighborhood is low density. The current library and community enter are the right scale and have nice outdoor areas. Ceding this property to a private developer is shamefulcenter are is NO reason to. Uildhigh density housing on these lots and ceding the lacurrent library and community center are the right scal for their with nice griubds, the	11/12/2023 12:27 AM
7	Any redevelopment should respect the architectural character of the neighborhood and focus density along Conn. Ave. with lower density/height at rear (where surface parking now located) to provide buffer for existing SF homes (note: I do not own/live in one of these houses). Could be accomplished by taller structure/s along Conn Ave and townhouse size (containing multiple units) at buffer area	11/9/2023 11:01 AM
8	There is every reason to renovate the library and community center modestly and affordably, as they are, and possibly "grow up", by adding a third floor only for additional space. What's not necessary is to demolish these important buildings and throw them into a landfill. Further contributing to unchecked waste that fuels climate change. Ward 3 and Chevy Chase Specifically needs social housing as outlined by Janeese Lewis George. Further we believe that the best location for social housing is at the Wells Fargo Bank across the street and not on the plot of land that currently houses the library and community center and it outdoor green spaces that must be protected. Large community programs like ballet and fencing spaces must be protected	11/9/2023 9:19 AM
9	The area is made of beautiful historic homes that should maintain integrity by the community. Apartment buildings or condos in that area would denigrate the community.	11/8/2023 2:43 PM
10	some questions re bdg. ht., % lot coverage. etc apply to new constrution. Answers MANDATORY! No option for oppose all new. I oppose all new.	11/8/2023 8:24 AM
11	The community center/library site is the only location in the area that provides indoor and outdoor public services. This site is essential to the community, most especially so because of the open green space with mature trees and landscaping. The surface parking is equally important because it enables patrons of the library and community center to park close to the buildings. Underground parking will be much less accessible for seniors and people who have trouble walking. Why should we fix something that isn't broken?	11/7/2023 1:58 PM
12	New building(s) should be part neighborhood commerical corridor, urban and street-facing.	11/7/2023 11:14 AM
13	Do not sell any public land at the library/community center site.	11/6/2023 9:20 PM
14	I would like parking but I live two blocks away so I would use it. Am worried about vacancy rates with all these new units in area. Why so much more?	11/5/2023 8:53 PM
15	There was no space to say do not approve when you asked about development!	11/5/2023 7:30 PM
16	I support this redevelopment, but if it does not get final approval I hope the city will give the cite some attention. The area is terrible shape, with rats running about and homeless	11/5/2023 6:19 PM

	sleeping/living near the playground.	
17	It is very hard to find apartments with 2 or 3 bedrooms to rent here and a regular house is outside of our range event to rent. It would be nice to have more housing options here.	11/5/2023 12:22 PM
18	Dissapointed in this survey. Where are Qs re folks w/ disabilities & senior center? Subjective ambiguous terminology inappropriate. Reads like WIN & Ward 3 Vision editted final version. City "indicates", how about a commitment? Sad this survey effort ended up trying to steer outcome towards certain individual's & group's preferences. Not the promised objective. I expect Ron E and Lisa G are pleased.	11/5/2023 12:14 AM
19	I hope that adding affordable housing will add more people who look like me to the neighborhood.	11/4/2023 11:26 PM
20	Where are the disability questions??? My mother and I are permanently disabled and there were NO questions about disability access and the needs of the disabled	11/4/2023 8:32 PM
21	It is disingenuous to pretend that the City has committed to 30% affordable housing. "Indicating" the intention is not a promise, much less a legally binding commitment. This survey is full of loaded questions and half truths and questions that dance around outright lies. Disappointing.	11/4/2023 8:32 PM
22	What about using the commercial space where Mazza Gallery was for affordable housing? That seems to be more logical, as affordable housing is indeed needed. Green spaces are also needed in upper northwest.	11/4/2023 7:28 PM
23	I would like to see the progams and staff expanded to provide activities comparable to the senior centers in Montgomery County.	11/4/2023 12:41 PM
24	What is happening during construction - how will people access services? If housing is added - changes need to come to the community - more public transportation, bigger/addl grocery; affordable family style/fast food restaurants	11/2/2023 9:55 PM
25	We clearly need more affordable housing. Having police, firemen, teachers and others like that living here would greatly enhance our community.	11/1/2023 7:45 PM
26	Why are you trying to destroy my neighborhood?	11/1/2023 7:07 PM
27	Adding high density housing to a small neighborhood business district endangers the character and viability of small businesses	11/1/2023 6:34 AM
28	Parking is a critical concern. Thought should consider Chevy chase Rec center overlap	10/31/2023 10:54 PM
29	What think it is absolutely critical that we have more affordable housing in our neighborhood so that more people can enjoy our wonderful community.	10/31/2023 9:50 PM
30	Thanks for allowing us to offer feedback	10/31/2023 3:24 PM
31	No bike lanes in this area or changes to current parking availability	10/31/2023 1:15 PM
32	Given the city's track record, I do not trust them to maintain any affordable housing. Developers will want greater height and lot coverage than is appropriate for the site than is appropriate for the neighborhood.	10/31/2023 1:14 PM
33	The impact of any redevelopment on the traffic crisis that will be created by the ill-founded bicycle plan.	10/31/2023 11:39 AM
34	Need more density in this area to support local businesses. To many old and out of touch people, need a younger demographic and more units allows this	10/31/2023 11:00 AM
35	We've been here since 1978. Before moving to Chevy Chase we lived in an apartment in SW DC, then ten years in Shepherd Park, before being able to afford our Chevy Chase house. No family wealth. Just hard work.	10/30/2023 6:43 PM
36	I AM APPALLED AT THE EFFORT TO DESTROY THIS VALUABLE COMMUNITY RESPONSE TO THE LACK OF RESOURCES FOR YOUNG PEOPLE ,FAMILIES AND SENORS. I URGE THE ANC REGARDLESS OF THE OUTCOME TO ENCOURAGE BOTH THE LIBRARY AND THE RECREATION DEPARTMENT TO CREATE MEANINGFUL PROGRAMS FOR OUR COMMUNITY NOW. WITH SUITABLE OUTREACH WHICH NEITHER FACILITY DOESS	10/30/2023 12:46 PM

37	Redevelopment for the intended use of the library and recreation facility is warrented. Turning it into a public housing facility without all the social support services found downtown makes no sense and is a recipe for problems.	10/30/2023 8:38 AM
38	Encourage use of public transportation, not more parking.	10/29/2023 6:17 PM
39	Parking is important for people who would live in the housing that is being proposed - as a resident and taxpayer of zone 3, it is hard to find parking on my own street. DC also needs to make sure the neighborhood has sufficient restuarants for residents, and pays attention to qualify of life issues - crime, traffic enforcement, etc.	10/29/2023 4:58 PM
40	Please ensure that if this community sacrifices public land to be used to build affordable housing, it is ALL affordable housing. It would be a travesty for residents to sacrifice public land and then have a developer build high priced housing units on it. We already have plenty of high priced units, apartments as well as houses, in this neighborhood.	10/29/2023 12:57 PM
41	Why did you ask what race I was?	10/29/2023 12:07 PM
42	Please consider people who have worked hard to afford a low density neighborhood within the city. Increasing density is not the solution.	10/29/2023 9:18 AM
43	No housing and do not surplus the land	10/29/2023 8:30 AM
44	The mayor's plan to build a highrise tower is a Disaster of great proportions for our neighborhood. It is contrary to the covenant against high rise development that was executed as a condition for development when the Community Center and Library were built. That covenant against high rise development is still in force	10/28/2023 5:12 PM
45	While I am not opposed to affordable housing, there is plenty of existing housing the in neighbourhood that could be occupied if housing vouchers were expanded, new housing isn't needed and is a giveaway by the city to property developers.	10/28/2023 3:57 PM
46	You need to take the wishes of the community into account	10/28/2023 1:18 PM
47	The Community Center andLibrary are an integral part of our neighborhood and should remain for the welfare of the residents who live in this area.	10/28/2023 12:09 PM
48	Affordable housing should not require reducing green space. On the contrary, affordable housing mandates should include a mandate for substantial green space in the form of fields big enough to play soccer, playgrounds, and many trees. In general, I do not support the redevelopment of the community center and library site because in every redevelopment project except the Walter Reed site, the city has allowed developers to build concrete mazes that dramatically reduce the amount of sunshine, tree canopy, green space, and human-scale architecture. Examples: Fort Totten, Columbia Heights, Navy Yard, Ivy City, Friendship Heights (and that one is getting redeveloped to be even worse, Anacostia metro.) Density doesn't have to equal shoddily built mid-rise buildings with canyon-effect winds and all-day shadows and no parks except for pocket playgrounds and dog parks. We have huge expanses of our city whose residents suffer from poorly designed investment programs and developer-controlled building plans. The amount of time and money the city has spent trying to convince the rich residents of Chevy Chase to support bad development in their neighborhood is inane. Spend the money where we need it. Free health care for all Washingtonians. Free and more abundant transit. Small, effective schools. Job training. Social workers. Fix the existing affordable housing stock and program. It's a disgrace. Build affordable housing that is beautiful and high quality and that residents will feel good about. Stop wasting tax money on deals for developers. The math only works for them. They are parasites.	10/27/2023 6:55 PM
49	the style of whatever development gets downideally something that is urban and residential, not necessarily commercialand hopefully not the glass and bronze look so popular currently	10/27/2023 6:41 PM
50	This location should be a space for knowledge-sharing, recreation and community gatherings. There is absolutely no reason to include either housing or retail when there are abundant locations nearby for those purposes.	10/27/2023 5:32 PM
51	What guarantees are there that once constructed affordable housing will be included, our city council plays fast and loose with the rules	10/27/2023 2:52 PM
	econom prayer tack and recess man the raise	

53	I'm still confused by the trade-offs. Does adding more/affordable housing mean the library and community center would permanently close? Also, I'm concerned that any housing built doesn't end up being mostly luxury-priced studios or 1 bedrooms (like 5333 Connecticut). I don't think the city should sell public land for that.	10/26/2023 10:50 PM
54	I do not support increasing the neighborhood population density or altering the feel of the area by raising high limits.	10/26/2023 3:17 PM
55	It boggles my mind that Ch Ch residents' opinions are not determinate. I deeply oppose increased density.	10/25/2023 9:53 PM
56	I am all for affordable housing. Based upon the apartment buildings on Connecticut Avenue, going south, I would imagine there is enough affordable, available housing for lower- or middle-income families. Especially those that may receive a DC or federal subsidy.	10/25/2023 12:21 PM
57	As a beneficiary of a rent-controlled unit in my building, I'd like to continue to be able to afford to live in the area. Regardless of the plans, please don't price out the folks who can't yet afford to buy. Thank you!	10/24/2023 6:48 PM
58	Thank you for the thoughtful survey. For clarity, non-profit space/community all would be great if the don't impact the amount of impact housing for our teacher/firepeople/police/workers etc.	10/24/2023 4:15 PM
59	By adding housing you encourage more noise, crime, trash in an area which has worked well the way it is.	10/24/2023 3:18 PM
60	There is every reason to renovate the library and community center modestly and affordably, as they are, and possibly "grow up", by adding a third floor only for additional space. What's not necessary is to demolish these important buildings and throw them into a landfill. Further contributing to unchecked waste that fuels climate change. Ward 3 and Chevy Chase Specifically needs social housing as outlined by Janeese Lewis George. Further we believe that the best location for social housing is at the Wells Fargo Bank across the street and not on the plot of land that currently houses the library and community center and it outdoor green spaces that must be protected. Further the important community programs like ballet and fencing and the outdoor spaces and children that use cccc and library as a safe after school haven will need as little Disruption as possibe. Ward 3 and Chevy Chase Specifically needs social housing as outlined by Janeese Lewis George.	10/24/2023 10:05 AM
61	It is hard to know whether housing would work at the site without seeing actual plans that a developer would consider feasible. Some survey questions say if there will be development do you prefer x, but then give a choice of no development. This makes it difficult to state a preference on housing without seeming to definitely support housing there.	10/24/2023 4:27 AM
62	Transparency in any relationship between the City, city employees, and any developers or related parties.	10/23/2023 11:17 PM
63	Obviously, including a library and community center on the site are the basic minimum. I also support affordable housing. But as with anything, it is how these goals are implemented in practice, not just how they appeal in theory. Development may be done by the city, by a nonprofit, or by a for-profit developer, but the development must be for the community first.	10/23/2023 9:45 PM
64	Since affordable housing is in such limited supply in our area, I think we should prioritize its use by city employees, particularly teachers, police, firemen, etc, who currently have to travel great distances to work in our community. I think this would be a way to honor those who serve the community while enhancing the community at the same time.	10/23/2023 5:50 PM
65	Money was allocated for improved community center and library five years ago. Let's just go back to that level of redevelopment.	10/23/2023 11:38 AM
66	We highly support mixed-use development at the current library and community center site. Affordable housing would be fantastic for the diversity of the area and to help the housing shortage. Also, I would love to see some ground-level retail, ideally restaurants and/or cafes for gathering and enlivening the area.	10/23/2023 11:32 AM
67	Don't limit affordable housing to only seniors	10/23/2023 11:02 AM
68	Hard to support affordable housing option when it looks like the city is giving a gift to rapacious developers	10/23/2023 9:34 AM
69	New retail space is needed more than new housing.	10/23/2023 8:44 AM

70	I strongly support affordable housing and redevelopment of the public facilities	10/23/2023 8:36 AM
71	DC government's voucher program with no follow-through mental health services has made some Conn Ave buildings unlivable. Why would I trust them to remodel the neighborhood?	10/23/2023 2:41 AM
72	I do not want the neighborhood to become a historic district which I think Mary Rowse will propose if the CCVoice people do not get their way. The petition requesting is disingenous and aggressive. I was chased by one person in the Barlow building on my way to an appt. I was demanded to tell why I would not sign the petition. I have been asked FIVE different times. CCV is taking a lot of heart and time in our community. Let us please progress in the 21st century.	10/22/2023 9:59 PM
73	I don't feel that an abundance of green space is critical for this. An attractive indoor space will be the primary driver for revitalizing this.	10/22/2023 6:21 PM
74	Please don't let the loudest voices dominate your decisions! I live in this neighborhood and love it here and am a heavy user of the library. I would love to see others like me (not wealthy) be able to live here too and help contribute to make this community the best it can be.	10/22/2023 6:21 PM
75	If a wealthy for profit gets it is no different then the one one's that have supported Bowserit has happened in every ward	10/22/2023 5:45 PM
76	There has been a lot of vocal outcry against this redevelopment on the neighborhood listserv; I would just like to reinforce that those voices largely comprise a (loud) minority, most of whom seem reluctant to embrace any change in a neighborhood already facing transitions.	10/22/2023 4:24 PM
77	Keep current Rec programs (ballet, fencing) with little disruption. Housing is great but why here?	10/22/2023 3:44 PM
78	1-Regarding housing on the civic core site, I support keeping public land in public hands. We believe that Ward 3 and Chevy Chase Specifically needs social housing as outlined by Janeese Lewis George. Further we believe that the best location for social housing is at the Wells Fargo Bank across the street and not on the plot of land that currently houses the library and community center and it outdoor green spaces that must be protected. There is every reason to modestly and affordable renovate the library and Community center as they are and possibly "grow up", by adding a third floor only for additional space. What's not necessary is to demolish these important buildings and throw them into a landfill. Further contributing to unchecked waste that fuels climate change. 2- My children study ballet at CCCC. at DPR's award wining ballet and dance program run by Balletilte.org, which uses the community center and outdoor spaces year round. It's an important program which has mentored 100's of children from low income households from K-12 across all 8 DC Wards by helping them obtain college scholarships. It is a valued Chevy Chase institution that DPR supports. This and other programs like fencing need as little disruption to their programming as possibe, provision for suitable alternate space during construction and dedicated space in any new community center. A professional sprung ballet ballet floor of the same size as currently exists must be maintained.	10/22/2023 3:37 PM
79	Loss of community spaces because they become simply developers' benefits to attract tenants	10/22/2023 3:22 PM
80	I am not a proponent of developing this site and allowing developers to have such an outsized ability to change what doesn't need to be changed. The city has multiple empty buildings, workforce members are no li get going downtown leading to multiple empty spaces and buildings more appropriate for thoughtful redevelopment.	10/22/2023 2:42 PM
81	Pool at the community center!	10/22/2023 2:41 PM
82	I would like to emphasize the importance of green spaces and tree canopies. I do not believe this preference was reflected in my answers due to my own technical error.	10/22/2023 2:30 PM
83	We need more on foot and motorized police patrolling the neighborhood. Crime is on the rise and any additional redevelopment necessitates more police security.	10/22/2023 2:29 PM
84	Many answers depend on what else will be developed in that area on CT Ave. Can't look at in isolation	10/22/2023 2:28 PM
85	More Affordable housing please	10/22/2023 2:17 PM
86	Renovate existing only	10/22/2023 11:33 AM

87	Renovations of library and community center would best serve neighborhood	10/22/2023 11:02 AM
88	I have lived two blocks from the CC/L for over 40 years and have always felt the site could be put to better use. Here's an opportunity to do just that by upgrading the facility and contributing to our greater community by increasing affordable housing, which we need so critically.	10/21/2023 1:19 PM
89	Hey, here's a question: how did this city hemorrhage tens of thousands of residents since 2020 yet the people simping for developers want to say there's a neverending housing shortage? It's almost as if the facts don't matter to them. Oh wait ~ they don't!	10/19/2023 4:29 PM
90	Sunlight is key-all renderings have left out change in sunlight with taller structures.	10/19/2023 12:22 PM
91	Eco-sustainable buildings.	10/19/2023 11:47 AM
92	I do not think the 1.68 acres is enough area for a community center, housing complex, library, green space and play areas. It only can only be done if the housing is added to the top or the library or community center. I think the height of that development would not be consistent with the look of the surrounding neighborhood.	10/19/2023 10:33 AM
93	This survey is biased in favor of the racially exclusionary status quo. I support as much housing as possible.	10/19/2023 9:04 AM
94	Proposed development not consistent with neighborhood look/feel. Transportation, school and infrastructure inadequate. Leave Connecticut Ave as is, develop Wisconsin as already high rise	10/19/2023 8:17 AM
95	Definitely need underground parking. There has not been enough parking.	10/19/2023 6:19 AM
96	Surface parking is very important for both the library and community center for convenience of use. I have taught classes at the community center and know firsthand the importance of parking. Any development should include additional parking both surface and underground. If new housing is added include a parking space for every unit which will give the new residents equal opportunity to enjoy their housing and neighborhood.	10/18/2023 10:57 PM
97	Current arts & athletic programs (ballet, fencing) must continue after redevelopment.	10/18/2023 6:33 PM
98	We need MORE market based housing. Your questions do not allow for someone who wants 2x or3x the proposed units but without creating units that only go to those who can play the bureaucracy game. MORE housing on a big scale will lower prices. Adding a few units will not and then you have this complicated system where those who know how to navigate the paperwork will get a unit. We need MORE market based units. And then build more. Affordable housing is a misnomer. It is income restricted housing units for those adept at playing the bureaucracy game.	10/18/2023 5:55 PM
99	I would prefer to give priority to people working in the district. There should be eco friendly building practices. i.e. I think the rooftops should be green or Solar	10/18/2023 1:58 PM
100	No one has asked what importance the community places on eco-friendly building practices — I would encourage a green roof, or solar panels to power some of the building's needs. Likewise, I would like to see storm water recycling, and other eco-friendly design practices for this site	10/18/2023 1:58 PM
101	Build more housing with less restrictions.	10/18/2023 1:47 PM
102	Who maintains upkeep of facilities?	10/18/2023 12:57 PM
103	Completely separate the housing issue, which can be addressed in many ways, from the library/CCCC issue. It's far too disruptive, divisive, and will forever change our main street for the worse.	10/18/2023 12:42 PM
104	If low-income housing is inevitable, support services are essential.	10/18/2023 12:26 PM
105	Selling or giving property to a developer	10/18/2023 12:19 PM
106	I don't feel that it was appropriate to ask for the age and race of respondents. It's irrelevant to the survey, and such information has been used by District agencies in the past to vilify or downplay the opinions of certain groups of respondents.	10/17/2023 10:25 PM
	I believe that surplusing any part of the Civic Core site is illegal. Public land should be	10/17/2023 9:29 PM

108	There must be adequate and safe parking for bicycles and other forms of micromobility.	10/17/2023 4:53 PM
109	It is critical to maximize space. Use rooftop; avoid duplication of functions in library and community center. If building both market-rate and affordable housing, have single entrance and identical finishes in units. No second-class citizens!	10/17/2023 9:58 AM
110	I would like all new buildings to have the most modern of materials that will limit enviromental impact in a negative way.	10/17/2023 8:18 AM
111	Overall size, density, scale, and design of the building are most important - 4-6 stories, significant step-down on east side toward the neighborhood.	10/16/2023 6:09 PM
112	Increase neighborhood diversity of age, economic status, race & education = critically important to vitality of this area AND make the (now moribund & depressing) community center an attractive amenity; support improved library use.	10/16/2023 4:11 PM
113	Important that the buildings be designed to relate to Connecticut Avenue directly. Right now they contribute nothing to a sense of vitality on the street.	10/16/2023 12:01 AM
114	How wd affordable housing on Commons address past racial exclusion? White collgrads at entry civ svc \$\$ cd buy/rent!	10/15/2023 9:47 PM
115	Do not support surplusing any portion of the public land of the current Library and Community Center site.	10/15/2023 5:58 PM
116	I'm concerned we could end up trying to fit too many functions (library, community center, housing, recreation) into a small space. My personal #1 priority is development of a new world-class community library. Consider that some space-intensive amenities such as tennis and basketball courts might be better provided at locations away from this commercial corridor.	10/15/2023 4:33 PM
117	I'm very disappointed that you didn't ask respondents up front how they used the site, rather than simply whether they lived in 3/4G or DC. I am on the boundary of 3/4G, and many of my neighborswho fall outside our ANCare far closer to the civic core, and likely more frequent users of it, than some who live in distant sections of 3/4G. Commissioners have no way of evaluating that based on this survey's construction, and I consider that a very serious flaw.	10/15/2023 3:39 PM
118	There is a great deal of new development happening just a few blocks away at the Friendship Heights metro/Wisconsin Avenue my understanding is that there are affordable housing plans there, in tall buildings where those buildings better fit with the existing infrastructure of the area. Upper CT Avenue is a very special "main street" area that is not overcommercialized so that it feels like a small town inside this big city. Adding towering buildings right at the circle does not fit with the neighborhood. We can be pro-affordable housing without this one small public space being overdeveloped. There are many opportunities for affordable housing in the tall buildings that exist, and are new ones being built, throughout the neighborhood in other areas.	10/15/2023 3:18 PM
119	I do not believe the site should be sold to a developer. I think a different site should be found for an apartment block that includes affordable housing. I believe the height and density of the current plan will destroy the design of the neighborhood made up of small businesses and enough green space to make the neighborhood inviting, walkable, and on a human scale. A tall apartment block will have the opposite effect. There are other spaces throughout the city that would be better suited for such a redevelopment rather than our community center and library. I am open to having affordable housing throughout the city, but I think the way the DC government is going about it will destroy the distinct character of our various neighborhoods around the city and the city will become less green and walkable and more densely developed and ugly. Ways need to be found to turn the many empty office block into housing that the city needs rather than selling off our community spaces to developers.	10/15/2023 12:40 AM
120	Developers should use public space. If the city wants to redevelop the library, great! But a developer has many opportunities around and the govt and work with them at that point. Do not give public space to developers.	10/14/2023 5:51 PM
121	Two outstanding issues: in order to change zoning, the community must agree. That hasn't happened to	10/14/2023 5:24 PM
122	The issue is not affordable housing, I oppose building any housing on the site.	10/14/2023 4:48 PM
123	This is a very divided neighborhood in terms of public opinion. There are very vocal and also siloed. I would also like to make sure there is plenty of bike parking. I use a cargo e-bike with	10/14/2023 2:33 PM

my kids as do many young families in the neighborhood

	my kids as do many young families in the heighborhood	
124	Bike racks, bike/pedestrian accessibility and safety	10/14/2023 1:38 PM
125	I hope the redevelopment will be architecturally attractive and serve as a aesthetic focal point for the community.	10/14/2023 8:26 AM
126	Green building practices, LEED certification	10/14/2023 8:03 AM
127	I think there has been plenty of outreach and community input on this project especially through the small area plan process, community meetings, and this survey. My sense is that there is broad albeit measured support for this project in the neighborhood despite some very vocal minority opposition. I hope our leaders understand this and proceed with the project. It will be good for our neighborhood and good for the city.	10/14/2023 7:58 AM
128	Please publish the survey results. I hope that some strategies for preventing people from completing the survey many times are in place.	10/14/2023 6:49 AM
129	Save our library and its lovely grounds, housing should go somewhere else as we need to retain the low-density neighborhood which is so lovely and has restaurants and shops that are so useful for our residents	10/13/2023 9:38 PM
130	There are already several 90 foot buildings on Connecticut Ave. Such buildings on this site are appropriate.	10/13/2023 9:10 PM
131	Any parking should be underground. I wouldn't use it, as I walk to the site. But I strongly oppose using street level space for parking.	10/13/2023 8:51 PM
132	AFFORDABLE HOUSING HERE WILL BE A WELCOME ADDITION TO THIS NEIGHBORHOOD. IT SHOULD BE LOWER ON NORTHAMPTON AND HIGHER ON MCKINLEY. THE LIBRARY SHOULD BE A SPECIAL ARCHITECTURAL DESIGN ANNOUNCING THE GATEWAY TO THE CITY.	10/13/2023 4:11 PM
133	No parking space minimums. Let's reduce car dependency	10/13/2023 3:00 PM
134	Environmental Impact Study should be required! Why pave a park when 1,000 units going in at Friendship Heights which will have better public transportation red line	10/13/2023 2:29 PM
135	Redo the library and community center on the same footprint. No housing please.	10/13/2023 1:59 PM
136	I enthusiastically support bringing affordable housing to our neighborhood.	10/13/2023 1:45 PM